The Press.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 1864.

☞ We can take no notice of anonymous communications. We do not return rejected manuscripts.

☞ Voluntary correspondence is solicited from all parts of the world, and especially from our different military and naval departments. When used, it will be paid for.

MR. W. W. REITZEL, No. 504 Ninth street, two doors north of Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington City, is the Washington agent of THE PRESS. MR. REITZEL will receive subscriptions for THE PRESS in Washington, see that subscribers are regularly served at their residences, and attend to advertising.

Awakening.

The great political issue is now organized, and from two candidates for the highest office in their gift the American people will be called to choose that man in whose hands they can best confide the principles of peace and war. There is no other tangible issue than that between ABRAHAM LINCOLN and General MCCLELLAN. All other difference is virtually absorbed, in this one great question. Between the present time and November, the nation must consider its duty, and resolve its conviction of choice. Above all, the earnest friends of liberty, union, and good government must be active and earnest.

The issue is one of great moment, and never has the Republic, and the party dear to the heart and life of the Republic, had a more solemn and engrossing mission. Upon the side of ABRAHAM LINCOLN are ranged all who have earnestly believed, all who have nobly suffered, in the cause to which the nation pledged its honor and faith. With it are the prayers and aspirations of the liberal, the suffering, and the heroic throughout the world. The tribunal of mankind tests the righteousness of our cause, and our soldiers foretell its triumph. On the other hand, we have the representative of many discontents, many schemes, but of no intelligible faith or reliable purpose—a negative and personal opponent, supported by many who believe the war a failure; by many skeptic of the very cause, in which General MCCLELLAN was a leader; by others who are willing to see the Union disunited for the sake of peace; by others still who bitterly disapprove the military acts of their candidate; by some who prefer the rebel South to the loyal North; and others who would restore the principle of Secession as a basis of agreement; by many who have conspired to resist the Government—by all, in fine, who hate the Administration. We shall not dispute that Gen. MCCLELLAN and the better men of his party desire the perpetuity of the Union. This much, at least, every soldier owes to his honor, and every popular politician must assert for his interest. But the nation must test the purposes of his supporters by the solvent of character and the evidence of the record. He will receive most earnest support from those who have always opposed the war, and from the hopes and prayers of a failing rebellion. The issue is joined between the President and the General whom he relieved of command. The latter is living proof of the courage and the fidelity of the former, but, in the hands of the enemies of the Government, has become the weapon to assail him. But there is no such issue between the President and Gen. GRANT, and the long line of heroes who are now before Atlanta and Richmond.

The friends of the Union have a great and noble work before them, worthy of their highest and broadest energies. The Union party has achieved its success because its creed was based upon the intelligent moral convictions and the largest and profoundest interests of the masses of the people, upon their long-suffering experience of the wrongs committed against the Union by the treason of the South, and upon their sympathy with freedom and progress. Spite of the infamous cause which produced the war, the Opposition have not advanced one step beyond the calamitous policy of BUCHANAN and the delusion which led them to support a covert traitor for the Presidency. Their defeat will be the victory of the national cause; for, in such a defeat, the South will be deprived of that indulgence in the North which first gave its treason success, and which would encourage it again to deceive.

In 1860, MR. LINCOLN, the candidate of the laboring, native, intelligent masses of the country, was elevated into power with a popular enthusiasm such as our Presidential elections have seldom or never known. This simple and honest citizen of the West, the competitor and the friend of DOUGLAS, became the representative man of the people, the type of their aspirations, and the pledge of their faith. They had long wanted an honest and a brave ruler, and they found him. Years of unparalleled trial have tested MR. LINCOLN'S honesty and ability, and a Convention of his fellow-citizens, still more notable for its high character than the body which first presented him to the people, have nominated him for re-election. This fact will stand nobly against all opposition. ABRAHAM LINCOLN is the undoubted choice of the loyal and earnest masses of the people. Though they have been watching the war with an interest which has absorbed all other interests, they are now aware that the great battle-field of the Union is at their doors. ABRAHAM LINCOLN will be re-elected the President of the United States with the same emphasis of the popular voice as when first the nation confided its great trust to his keeping. The enthusiasm of the people only awaits its summons. *Let the leaders awake.*

The Latest Slander.

"Although no one will, at this day, question our perfect loyalty to GEORGE B. MCCLELLAN, our faith in his character; our confidence in his unsullied honor; our trust in the greatness of his intellect, and, more than all, our knowledge of his overflowing, burning devotion to that flag to whose service he was given in early boyhood, and which he has so often borne in honor and in glory—yet, notwithstanding all this, we would much prefer to go before the country upon alone upon the personal qualities of our noble candidate, which, wherever known and understood, will command such heartfelt homage, but rather upon those great principles of public policy announced at Chicago, of which he is now the distinguished exponent, and with which no word or act of his life is in conflict. But if the friends of Mr. Lincoln (whom we can and must control) will have it otherwise; if they will leave the high ground of our discussion in order to mislead the people by wretched falsehoods, uttered against Democratic candidates, then, much as we regret the necessity, we will not expose their calumnies, but we will become aggressive, and print the truth about those for whose longer retention in high places they solicit votes. There will be blows to give as well as blows to take. We shall recoup, not by falsehood, but by facts capable of proof; facts which, for public honor, had better be kept buried. We will strip from Abraham Lincoln the false garb of honesty he has worn so long! We will, if need be, show up, among other things, the infamy—yes, that's the word, infamy—of the White House! If necessity requires, we will call Senators and tradespeople, in this city and elsewhere, to attest the truth of what we say. We have no heart to expose such public and personal infidelity as, since Mr. Lincoln's advent, has festered there, because of the disgrace it would bring upon so many innocent persons; but yet this war of malignant falsehood and detraction against Gen. McClellan, which the partisans of Mr. Lincoln have begun, has got to stop. Does the *Tribune* comprehend? If not, let the editor take this article to the White House and ask what it means."

We ought, perhaps, to apologize to our readers for transferring the above passage to our columns, but as the Spartans exhibited to their children drunken slaves, that they might be disgusted with drunkenness, we believe that good may be done by the republication of Copperhead slanders.

No "wretched falsehoods" have been uttered against the Democratic candidates; we appeal to our own columns, to those of each prominent Union journal of the land, in proof of this. General MCCLELLAN has been treated with all courtesy, but, had he been grossly abused, it would hardly be possible for any abuse to rival this atrocious and disgraceful insinuation the *World* has made. Is it in this way that the campaign is to be conducted by the opponents of the Administration? These ambiguous hints are contemptible; if the *World* has facts which prove the infamy of the White House, it is bound to publish them or be silent. We challenge it peremptorily to make good its charges, and assure it that the American people despise nothing so much as the slanderer and the bully.

THE resolutions of the Chicago Convention, and the speeches of its managers, are not only silent concerning the policy of the rebels, but contain not one word of condemnation of the rebellion itself. The Administration and the Union party are actually treated as criminals who are rebelling against JEFF DAVIS! Who can have confidence in a party which accuses its own Government of every base principle and ruinous act, and virtually absolves the open enemies of the nation from any guilt? For there cannot be two criminals in such a case. If, as HORATIO SEYMOUR says, the Administration is responsible for the war, the rebels are not responsible, and if he believes them innocent it is not strange that any word condemning the rebellion is carefully excluded from the platform of the party. Our correspondent has clearly stated the antagonism of the Union soldiers to the antagonists of the Government.

GENERAL GRANT is reported, on good authority, to have said that with one hundred thousand more men he could end the rebellion this fall. It scarcely needs his word to obtain credence for this statement. The rebellion has put forth its full strength; its sinews are stretched to their utmost tension; it could not resist another army. The man who believes that the re-election of ABRAHAM LINCOLN is necessary to the preservation of the Union should remember that GRANT'S success will make that re-election triumphant. If one hundred thousand good Union men, now at home, are with GRANT in November, we shall not fear any evil from their absence from the polls. Volunteers are better than voters.

The Late Political Trial in France.

It is peculiar of France at the present time that it will neither recognize slavery in the Southern Confederacy nor tolerate a political association of more than twenty persons. These curious extremes are reconciled not with the morals of the world so much as the policy of NAPOLEON. The trial in Paris of GARNIER PAGES, CARNOT, and eleven more, on the charge of breaking the law of associations, was concluded on the 6th of August. These thirteen gentlemen made themselves a committee to recommend to the country suitable candidates for the French Legislature, but though they undoubtedly conformed to the law, which limits a number in such a case to twenty, they were convicted and fined five hundred francs each, on the subterfuge that although but thirteen, they were in conspiracy with other associations in the departments. M. GARNIER PAGES, one of the most distinguished statesmen of France, protested against the outrage of his arrest, the police having broken the locks of his desks and tables, seized his papers, outraged the representatives of the people, who, by the Constitution, were inviolable, and violated the secrecy of the ballot by ransacking papers in order to discover which way the electors had voted. When, in the midst of his indignant protest, the president of the court warned him to be more measured in his language, he replied, that "he was ready to give his blood for his principles. He was sixty-nine years old. He had the honor to govern France, and he, the most moderate of men, who had been subjected to the worst treatment, who had been humiliated by domiciliary visits which spread alarm among his family, how could he help being animated in his words?"

The late trial was one of extraordinary interest. Though charged with the same offence, the thirteen accused were each represented by an advocate, the defence including thirteen of the most distinguished lawyers of France, JULES FAVRE, BERRYER, MARIE, and DUFAURE among the number. The latter gentleman is *battonier*, or leader of the Order of Advocates, whose council usually attends and assists professionally in cases where their own members, as in the present case, are brought to trial. The president of the court, however, ruled that this was not a case in which the characters of the accused, as lawyers, were upon trial, and hence the council of advocates could not be admitted to the court. M. DUFAURE, therefore, acted also as the representative of his professional order upon this occasion. The terms of the law upon which the accused were convicted are as follows:

"ART. 1. The terms of the Art. 291 of the Penal Code are applicable to associations of more than 20 persons, even should these associations be divided into sections of not less number, and that they should not meet every day, or on fixed days. The permission given by the Government may at any time be withdrawn. ART 2. Whoever forms part of an association not authorized shall be punished by imprisonment of from two months to one year, and a fine of from 50f. to 1,000f. In case of a repetition of the offence the penalties shall be doubled. The individual convicted may, in the latter case, be placed under the supervision of the high police during a time which shall not exceed double the *maximum* of the penalty. ART. 463 of the Penal Code may be applied in every case."

Applying this law to his argument, the Imperial advocate, M. MALHER, spoke for more than four hours, relying for his proof of the illegal character of the committee upon the papers seized by the police agents at the house of the accused, who, of course, protested forcibly against this method of demonstration. M. MALHER was several times corrected in his history by the counsel of the accused. On the second day, the celebrated M. JULES FAVRE, counsel for M. GARNIER PAGES, and a leader of the Legislative Opposition, rose to reply. A sketch of his masterly defence is given in the *Times:*

He said that he experienced profound sorrow in replying to the charge made yesterday by the Crown prosecutor. When he considered the efforts and sacrifices made within the last sixty years, the tears, the bloodshed, he asked himself whether the theory of progress is not a cruel delusion, and whether we are not condemned to turn forever within the same circle of passions and misfortunes. He should experience the same feeling of sorrow were he the friend of the Government. He would ask what it could gain by such a contest? It is invested with immense force; it meets no resistance; it disposes of public opinion, and, by means of an ingenious system, it holds in its hands the property and liberty of all. It is the only one who can say I have a right to speak. When an election is to take place it has a legion of functionaries obedient to its will. It chooses its candidates and facilitates their success by all the means it alone has at its disposal. Such is its power, and during a period of public tranquility it comes to complain of the resistance of its adversaries and to demand against them the application of a law which has existed for thirty years, and which never has had the meaning attributed to it which it has at present. M. Jules Favre then read a passage from the works of Napoleon III., a passage in which comparing the English laws with the French, and remarked that in England authority is disarmed, for that reason domiciliary visits and the violation of correspondence are not known in that country. He could not place a sound interpretation upon the patronage that of Prince Louis Bonaparte, who wrote those generous words. It did not become him to inquire how such generous maxims have been forgotten. He could not believe that it was to arrive at a wretched trial for an illegal association that this prosecution was commenced. Quite a different result was expected. He would not describe all the efforts made to arrive at the figure 20, which did not prevent the prosecutor saying at number 12, which is at the same time the satire and the condemnation of his impotent accusation. M. Jules Favre next examined the legislation existing under preceding Governments with regard to associations. M. Baroche declared that the law was made against associations, and not against accidental and temporary meetings. If the object of the meeting was to exercise a constitutional right, to suppress it would be to violate the law itself. In order to carry out the law of 1834, the prosecutor should prove that the association consisted of 21 persons, and he should produce the 21 before the court. M. Guizot, being called upon by a member of the Opposition, declared not only that he had formed part of an association which had a complete organization, a treasury and subscribers, but that he gloried in the object of the association. He said, moreover, that the Society Aide-toi was perfectly consistent with the law of 1834, which he proposed. M. Jules Favre read an account of an electoral banquet, written by M. de Lamartine, at the head of which were MM. Abbatucci, Cambacerès, and even Drouyn de l'Huys, the present Minister of Foreign Affairs. In conclusion, he said he felt confident, when he saw Berryer, who is the defenders of liberty—when he saw Berryer, who is the eloquence of orators, but who has been always the passionate lover of liberty."

At the conclusion of this speech the veteran BERRYER, long regarded as the most eminent of French lawyers, rose on behalf of the other counsel, and declared, with true French enthusiasm, "We do not find in our minds and hearts," he said, "anything which can attain the truth, the nobleness, and the height of reason to which M. FAVRE has risen." That M. FAVRE'S speech must have been an eloquent and overwhelming proof for the cause of his clients the reader may imagine, though the thirteen members of the Opposition have been convicted of the offences of "twenty," in a judgment which has outraged both law and common sense. NAPOLEON has accepted his humiliation, and France is not disturbed. But for the sake of consistency, NAPOLEON should at once proceed to try all the associations with which it was alleged the committee were affiliated. At the very least consideration, it must be allowed *seven* are wanted to make up the number twenty.

— Charles Sumner is at the Ocean House, Newport. A correspondent says his is a singular head to see in that frivolous throng, strangely in contrast with the smooth, meaningless, inexpressive countenances of the Newport type.

— Mrs. Robert E. Lee, says the Buffalo *Courier*, is residing in the village of Niagara, at the mouth of the Niagara river.

LETTER FROM "OCCASIONAL."

WASHINGTON, D. C., Sept. 1, 1864.

The nominations of the Chicago Convention for President and Vice President are strangely and significantly incongruous. General McClellan is presented as the embodiment of military excellence, and George H. Pendleton as the embodiment of sympathy with the rebellion. The one is put forward simply as a military chieftain, the other simply as an apostle of peace on any terms. Those of us who recollect the determined hostility of the distinguished father of General McClellan to the Democratic party, and recall the period when he was one of the magnates of the old Whig organization, will be somewhat puzzled to know how the present Democracy can be truly represented in the person of his son, unless, indeed, it is upon the hypothesis that the old Whigs of 1840, 1844, and 1848, are now the high priests in what is called the Democratic party. That General McClellan inherited this antagonism to Democracy from Dr. George McClellan is only a natural and by no means violent presumption. It may be contended, however, that his title to become the leader and the representative of the present Democratic party is in the fact that for many years he was the prime favorite of Jefferson Davis, now the life and soul of the rebellion against the Government. This title would seem to be sealed by the circumstance that, notwithstanding the Union declarations of the Convention that placed him in nomination, the presiding controllers of that Convention were among the most active and remorseless enemies of the policy which would have saved the country from this war, had Mr. Buchanan not been driven from that policy by these men. You will observe, as you run over the list of the prominent actors in the Chicago Convention, that not one man closely and conscientiously identified with the Douglas Democrats, who fought Mr. Buchanan's oppressions and aggressions, took any part whatever in its deliberations. The temporary president was William Bigler, of Pennsylvania, who, from the first (notwithstanding his pretence of sympathy with Governor Walker, when that incorruptible patriot took issue with the "old public functionary" on the Kansas question), was the veriest slave of power, and the ready and eager echo of every calumny hurled against the brave and independent men who made their stand against the slaveholding tyrants who sought to deprive the people of Kansas of the right to manage their own affairs in their own way. Then comes the permanent chairman of the Convention, that "smooth and pliant Damocles," Horatio Seymour, of New York, who, when the great commercial metropolis was overrun with fiends seeking the lives of unoffending citizens, and destroying the property of men devoted to their country, did not hesitate to address these demons as his "friends," and who has with Satanic industry devoted himself to embarrassing the Government in its efforts to raise men to put down this foul revolt. Like Bigler, Seymour was the endorser of James Buchanan's infamies, and the proscriber of all Democrats who opposed them. But why should I prolong the list? Why call the attention of the people of Pennsylvania to the fact that it was not only Bigler and Seymour who aided the nomination of McClellan, and who stood as his sponsors, but that Vallandigham himself moved to make the nomination unanimous; that Governor Powell, of Kentucky, (unquestionably the most daring, if not the most able of all the men in the Senate of the United States who sympathized with the public enemy,) pledged his most earnest efforts for the ticket; that Governor Wickliffe, of the same State, whose treasonable utterances even his most intimate friends have not hesitated to deny, was alike emphatic on the same side; and that Harris of Maryland, who was publicly censured for his disloyal declarations in the House of Representatives, as well as Long of Ohio, who rivalled Harris in declaring his hostility to the war for the maintenance of the Union, are committed to support the nomination of Major General George B. McClellan? These are the high priests who officiated at the baptism of this new leader of the Democratic party; these are the men who are to conduct, in the event of his election, the concerns of the Federal Government, and to make peace on the basis of the perpetuity of the Union.

As if to point the moral and adorn the tale of the Chicago Convention, however, George H. Pendleton, of Ohio, has been placed on the same ticket with Major General McClellan as their candidate for Vice President. I desire to speak of these two men as public characters, and trust they may be so discussed so far as THE PRESS is concerned. I could name, without disrespect to Mr. Pendleton, fifty young barristers in Philadelphia who are his superiors. His nomination, however, was a tribute, not to his talents, nor to his experience as a statesman, nor to his claims upon the country, but because he is (after Mr. Vallandigham, who is his bosom friend, and with whom he resided in the city of Washington almost ever since he was first elected to Congress) the most determined and bitter enemy of the war in the National Legislature. I propose, on another occasion, and in another place, to classify the votes of Mr. Pendleton, and to show how far, and how completely, and, indeed, how honestly, he has opposed the war, and almost openly avowed his desire that the slaveholding enemy should triumph. Mr. Pendleton is a Virginian, now resident in Cincinnati, Ohio, with all the prejudices of his school. His very name indicates that he has no more feeling in common with those who really desire to see the Union restored, and peace secured on the basis of this restoration, than those of his family in the Old Dominion who are now fighting in the ranks of Jefferson Davis against the old flag. Thus much for the Democracy, relatively, of George B. McClellan and George H. Pendleton. As to the platform of the Convention, that part of it in which the delegates unanimously pledged themselves to the Union is to be received for no more than it is worth, for this is a compelled tribute of the leaders to the fact that the Democratic masses are sincerely in favor of the Union. And as such I accept it. The only difficulty here is the apprehension that this tub is flung to the great public whale so as to satisfy it and keep it away from the deeper feeling and designs of those who made this concession. In any case, the rebels themselves will now perceive that both the great parties—one with a fierce, and fanatical, and solid feeling, that which stands by Mr. Lincoln, and the other with a very far different inspiration—are formally committed to the maintenance and restoration of the Union. But General McClellan's election to the Presidency, after such a canvass as we have before us, could not secure that restoration of the Union. Then he would be in power—then he would act not upon the pledge to which I have called your attention, but upon the instructions and by the directions of the men whom I have named, and who have been from the beginning of this war the conscientious and consistent advocates of peace on any terms, however dishonorable.

OCCASIONAL.

The Chicago Nomination.

[From the Washington Chronicle of yesterday.]

GEORGE B. MCCLELLAN, the son of the celebrated surgeon, George McClellan, of Philadelphia, was yesterday nominated for President by the so-called Democratic party, which held its convention in the city of Chicago. There is something in this nomination which suggests curious and significant reflections. General McClellan, during the administration of Franklin Pierce, was the special favorite of Jefferson Davis, who was the Secretary of War under that dynasty. By him, in company with Col. Delafield and Maj. Mordecai, he was sent to Europe during the Crimean war, for the purpose of acquiring such military information as might be important to this Government. The father of Gen. McClellan was a violent Henry Clay Whig; in fact one of the most intolerant of the old Whig partisans who made Philadelphia their headquarters. The son, after a somewhat successful service in the Mexican war, and after his visit to Europe, returned to Philadelphia, resigned his commission, and became an officer in the Illinois Central Railroad Company. General McClellan never had any politics, so to speak. In the Illinois Senatorial convention of 1858, he sympathized with Judge Douglas; but, during all the years between the period while Jefferson Davis was serving as Secretary of War under Franklin Pierce, and the Presidential contest of 1860, his *beau ideal* of a soldier and statesman was Jefferson Davis. When the rebellion broke like a mighty hurricane upon the country, Governor Dennison, of Ohio, offered to Mr. McClellan the command of the forces of that State. Subsequently he was called to take charge of the Federal army in West Virginia. After the first Bull Run disaster, in July of 1861, he was called to take command of the troops in and around the city of Washington. Two major generals had to be appointed, and President Lincoln selected George B. McClellan and John C. Fremont. A question of rank or precedence arose, and, after a decided struggle, Mr. Lincoln gave the priority to McClellan. He was shortly after, by the virtual retirement of General Scott, placed in practical command of the United States forces. It is unnecessary to recount his several campaigns. There are a part of history. But it will not be regarded as indecorous or indelicate if we state that, in the midst of suspicion and criticism and open assault, this same General McClellan had no firmer or faster friend than Abraham Lincoln. If there was a victory to applaud, or a mistake to hide, or a defeat to explain, Abraham Lincoln stood forth as the good angel of George B. McClellan. Nay, it might be distinctly asserted that the bitterest enemies of Abraham Lincoln's own party are those who could not induce him to join in an assault upon the soldier whom he had first honored with his entire confidence. The published correspondence between the President and Gen. McClellan shows not only how reluctant he was to yield his early preference for General McClellan, but how desirous to overlook his mistakes. And now George B. McClellan is the candidate opposed to Abraham Lincoln, nominated at Chicago, and selected by a Convention dominated by the Southern authors of this great rebellion! The temporary chairman of the Convention itself was William Bigler, of Pennsylvania, who sanctioned and sustained all the Lecompton frauds under the Administration of James Buchanan, and the permanent president Horatio Seymour, Governor of New York, who apologized for the July riots of 1863, in the great city of his own State, where human blood flowed like water at the bidding of the fiends of anarchy, and who, from that day to this, has been striving to precipitate a new carnival of carnage, because of the demands of the Government for more men to defend the old flag. The nomination of Gen. McClellan will be most acceptable in the South among the enemies of the Republic. It will be perceived that it has been hailed with equal enthusiasm by Vallandigham, Belmont, Fernando Wood, Dean Richmond, and all the opposing elements of peace and war in the so-called Democratic organization. Always a negative man, he will be more negative now, and until the Presidential election, than ever. In the South his nomination will be accepted as a declaration on the part of the Democratic party in favor of the separation of the Union. There is no escaping this issue; for all those who are the known champions of McClellan are the known champions of disunion. Jefferson Davis understands his pupil well. Educated in his school of politics, he and his partisans will hail the action of the Chicago Convention with a supreme satisfaction. And they are right in this; for whatever George B. McClellan himself may desire, the peace leaders at home, and the traitors at the South, will decide adversely. It must not be forgotten that the action of the Chicago Convention is as interesting to the rebels as it is to us. They will hail the nomination of General McClellan as their nomination. They will charge that, because he has quarrelled with Mr. Lincoln's Administration, therefore he will agree with them. They will assert that, as a consequence of this quarrel, he will be ready dishonorably to compose our national difficulties, and they will gladly prefer to forget that Mr. Lincoln has been at all times ready to offer them frankly and fair terms of peace than could have proceeded from any other authority. However General McClellan himself may feel, he is undoubtedly the apostle of a degrading peace. He runs upon this issue alone, and his peace is a peace of separation and disunion. The soldiers in the field will have to decide between a General who retains his epaulettes and takes his pay, and a President who has been his firm friend and theirs from the beginning of the war. James K. Polk defeated Henry Clay when the whole Whig party were in favor of the latter. Franklin Pierce defeated Winfield Scott when more than the whole Whig party were in favor of the latter. And we have a sublime confidence that the American people will stand by, support, sustain, and adhere to, and carry over all obstacles and against all appliances Abraham Lincoln, the Union candidate, into the Presidential chair.

The Union Forever.

[From the Washington Chronicle of yesterday.]

Whatever may be said of that portion of the resolutions of the Chicago Convention which criticises the Federal Administration, every patriot must be rejoiced to see that important body, representing so large a portion of the American people, *solemnly declare that the Union must be preserved.* Mark the words in which this determination is expressed: "We will adhere, with unswerving fidelity, to the Union and the Constitution as the only solid foundation of our strength, security, and happiness as a people, and as the frame-work of Government equally conducive to the welfare of all the States, both Northern and Southern." This expression is probably the most significant admission that could be presented to the enemies in arms against the Republic. These enemies have looked to the Democratic party and to the Chicago Convention for encouragement in their expectations of separation and disunion. They have been flattered by the idea that because Mr. Vallandigham, Mr. Fernando Wood, and a few others, have preached peace *doctrines*, therefore this preaching meant dissolution, or, in other words, Southern independence. The resolutions of the Chicago Convention have taken this last prop from under their feet, and they now see that there is no party so committed in the free States as that which advocates peace on the basis of separation, and that all parties in our section are in favor of the unity of the Republic. So significant has been the action of the Chicago Convention on this subject, that when Mr. Long, of Ohio, proposed to introduce a qualifying resolution looking to peace, he was ruled out of order, and the resolutions, as reported by Mr. Guthrie, were adopted, as the report says, "with few dissenting voices." This being the case, the query to the patriotic and intelligent mind is, which of the two candidates, Abraham Lincoln or George B. McClellan, can best serve and save the Government? Suppose we admit that General McClellan is the ablest military chieftain in the world; the most accomplished statesman; the most thorough and experienced philanthropist; one who is read in national and international law, and who, if translated into the Presidency, would be another Cæsar; still the great fact remains that he is surrounded and counselled by men whose dream it is to restore the Union on the basis of the perpetuity of slavery, or else to peace on the basis of separation. The Democratic masses are in favor of this Union. They may not favor Mr. Lincoln's Administration. Their old political hatreds may impel them to accept and to echo all the slanders against him and those who surround him; but, nevertheless, they look upon the Union as they look upon their God. It is to them a secondary religion. Having faith in a Supreme Being, they have faith in the perpetuity of the Republic; and hence it is that the Democracy could not be taken now into the August interpreted the Democratic sentiment by avowing a determination to sustain the Union.

The only safe road to the salvation of the Union is in the re-election of Abraham Lincoln. He, unlike Gen. McClellan, is surrounded by the true friends of the Union. That he has committed mistakes, those who are nearest to him have never denied; indeed, one of his chief difficulties has been to explain acts of his which he has at his disposal. But he is an experienced President. He has passed through the terrible ordeal of the last three years. He understands the situation. He knows the public men of the country. He knows the prejudices of the people. Disliked by many of his own party who thought him too slow, and by others who thought he was too fast, he is still the captain of the citadel.

Now imagine an exchange was made between George B. McClellan in the position occupied by Abraham Lincoln. It is sufficient to state the proposition without entering into or stopping to discuss it. The friends of General McClellan—admitting him to be as patriotic as he himself claims to be—are, undoubtedly, the friends of slavery. They have been reared in this school. They have been so accustomed to attack the Administration, since the beginning of the war, that they would scarcely know how to run the Administration except in opposition to the war. There is not a soldier or a seaman who has covered himself with glory that they have not assailed or refused to applaud. There is not a defeat of the Union army that has not filled them with joy. There is not a Union victory that has not filled them with sorrow. In the midst of this mighty struggle for the preservation of the only free Government on the earth, while foreign nations have looked upon it with satisfaction, these friends of General McClellan have yearned for foreign aid, and have desired foreign interference. They have labored to depreciate our national resources. They have even predicted that our obligations—those obligations which connect us as well with the masses of the people as with those who labor for the country—those evidences of public credit and indebtedness which permeate all classes, and so attach all classes to the country itself—should be repudiated; in other words, that because we were fighting this battle for the maintenance of the Government, the money expended in this cause should never be repaid to those who had loaned it. Their agents have been sent to all the nations of the earth for the purpose of arousing hostility against our country. And yet, in the face of all these facts, so patent as to be understood by all the men who have placed General McClellan in nomination, they have been constrained to express, in the most emphatic words, a fervent devotion to the Union. They could have taken no better way than this to express their preference for Abraham Lincoln. The leaders, to be consistent with themselves, should have announced a peace platform, a separation platform, a disunion platform, a revolutionary platform; but, in their attempt to outbid Mr. Lincoln on the score of Unionism, they only pay a higher tribute to him; for, after all, there is not a soldier in the ranks, nor a sailor on the seas, who does not remember Abraham Lincoln, and who does not remember him to bless him. How many of these bronzed and brave men think of George B. McClellan? Is there one in a thousand? No. We desire to speak of General McClellan with profound respect. He wears the uniform and receives the pay of our country. He was the spoiled darling of Jefferson Davis, under the Administration of Franklin Pierce. He came to Washington, and was taken into Abraham's bosom. His commands and his demands, almost like those of Monte Cristo, described in the novel of Alexander Dumas, were all responded to. But General McClellan must not forget that, with all the public recollections of his achievements, he is still the idol of disunionism. It is no part of our purpose to identify General McClellan with disunion, or even with separation; but it becomes us to say that, standing in the relation that he does to a party which, at the beginning of the war hated and despised, and trampled upon him, because they desired disunion and separation, he cannot now become the emblem or embodiment of national unity. Hence it is, that while we shall the resolution of the Chicago Convention declaratory of devotion to the Republic, and of a determination to save the Union, we prefer Abraham Lincoln, with all his experience, and with all his knowledge of the situation, to George B. McClellan.

WASHINGTON.

WASHINGTON, Sept. 1, 1864.

THE RICHMOND VISIT OF JAQUES AND GILMORE.

The *National Republican* of to-day says: We are authorized and requested to announce, notwithstanding all that has been written and said on the subject, that neither Mr. GILMORE nor Colonel JAQUES on the one hand, nor MR. GREELEY on the other, has ever been or is now authorized to express any desires, views, or opinions of the President of the United States, either in Canada or Richmond, on the subject of "negotiations of peace" beyond what he has plainly and carefully written over his own signature.

That the mission to Richmond was initiated and executed by Messrs. GILMORE and JAQUES on their own private account; that they had no authority whatever to speak directly or indirectly for the President of the United States, officially or unofficially, or for ABRAHAM LINCOLN, unofficially or privately.

If Mr. BENJAMIN'S report of the sayings of Mr. GILMORE and Colonel JAQUES, while they were at Richmond, is correct, they assumed a responsibility not given to them, and made statements wholly untrue.

Indeed, while on the subject, it is proper to state that the President, after repeated solicitations, consented to give GILMORE and JAQUES a pass through our military lines.

He did not request General GRANT to open a correspondence with General LEE to give them a safe-conduct to Richmond and return. General GRANT did that upon his own responsibility.

President LINCOLN'S request was merely that General GRANT would pass them through his military lines, and nothing more.

DEPARTURE OF ARMY INSPECTORS.

Mr. GEORGE H. STUART, chairman; STEPHEN CALDWELL, member of the executive committee, and Rev. B. D. AMES, secretary of the United States Christian Commission, left for City Point to-day on a tour of inspection of the army work of the Commission. The growing work of the Commission has led to the erection of a large frame warehouse on Tenth street, which answers the purpose of a store-room, with dining and sleeping accommodations for a hundred of its delegates.

LOAN SUBSCRIPTIONS.

The subscriptions to the seven-thirty loan, as reported to the Treasury Department to-day, amounted to one million fifty-nine thousand, and to the ten-forty loan five hundred and ninety-five thousand five hundred dollars.

MONEY FOR THE SOLDIERS.

Seven million dollars were furnished to-day by the Treasurer, two millions of which are for the payment of Gen. GRANT'S army, three for SHERMAN'S, one for the troops in Washington, and the remainder for similar disbursement elsewhere. Two millions were recently sent to New Orleans.

EXECUTION OF A DESERTER.

Sergt. E. CONLEY, of the 19th Regiment of Veteran Reserves, is to be shot to death to-morrow, at Alexandria, Va., for a violation of the twenty-third article of war, and for aiding soldiers to desert.

ASSISTANT SOLICITOR APPOINTED.

JOHN J. WEED, of Illinois, has been appointed Solicitor of the Court of Claims, vice MCPHERSON.

MISCELLANEOUS.

H. G. OSBURN, of Maine, has been found guilty by a military court of proceeding to the front and using a pass forging to and intended for another. He was fined two hundred dollars. The Government is in need of horses. $175 is paid for cavalry, and a trifle more for artillery horses. Seventeen rebel deserters took the oath of allegiance yesterday. Two hesitated, and when told it was not compulsory to do so, complied.

The National Finances.

THE AMOUNT OF THE PUBLIC DEBT—STATUS OF THE NATIONAL LOANS—COMMISSIONS TO SUBSCRIPTION AGENTS.

The official statement of the public debt on the 30th of August shows the amount outstanding to be $1,878,565,234, and the interest in both coin and lawful money, $77,447,122, or an increase of the public debt since the 2d of August of $63,074,000, and of the interest, $1,027,000. The debt bearing interest in coin is now $889,899,492, and the debt bearing interest in lawful money, $469,197,000; the debt on which interest has ceased, $357,470; the debt bearing no interest, $19,111,267.

The unpaid requisitions are $78,795,000, and the amount in the treasury, $17,189,513.

It further appears that since the 1st of August the six per cent. bonds, under the act of July 17th and August 5th, 1861, exchanged for seven-thirties, have increased to the extent of fifteen millions; that the seven-thirty three year notes, under the act of July 17th, 1861, have been reduced about the same amount, and the six per cent. certificates of indebtedness, under the act of March 1st, 1862, increased nearly twenty-eight millions.

The Secretary of the Treasury has just issued a circular specifying the commissions which will hereafter be allowed on all subscriptions and deposits obtained on and after this date for three years' seven-and-three-tenths Treasury notes, known as the "ten-forty bonds." On any aggregate of deposits, exclusive of the accrued interest for either of the said securities of not less than twenty-five thousand dollars, and not amounting to two hundred and fifty thousand dollars, one-quarter of one per cent.; on any aggregate of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars and upwards, and less than one million dollars, three-eighths of one per cent.; on one million dollars and upwards, one-half of one per cent.

Any person is authorized to act as an agent for obtaining subscriptions, but no notes or bonds will be issued except upon the certificates, in the form heretofore prescribed, of the treasurer, assistant treasurers, designated depositaries, or such proper officers of a national deposit bank, that the moneys have been deposited to the credit of the Treasurer of the United States. All deposits made by subagents must be in the name of the principal to entitle the sums so deposited to be included in one account. *Commissions may be allowed, at their* discretion, by banks or other agents obtaining subscriptions, to subscribers, not exceeding one-half of their own commissions.

It is understood that the bonds for the balance of the six per cent. loan of 1861, now offered for public competition, are all printed and ready for delivery. Persons intending to bid will, therefore, do well to address their proposals directly to the Treasury Department, Washington, without the intervention of third parties.

A New Postal Arrangement.

A new plan of distributing mail matter is about to be introduced into our postal system. It will result in a decided gain to the public, by saving much of the time now occupied in assorting the mails after their arrival at the offices. The distributions now done at leading offices are to be done hereafter in the cars by clerks detailed for the purpose, so that the delay hitherto required for the work will be obviated, and the matter can be taken out at once for a direct assault, and our line was not driven back.

Everything was quiet yesterday and last night along our lines, and the position of affairs is not materially altered. Recruits and convalescents continue to arrive daily, in increased numbers, and a greatly improved feeling prevails in the army, both among the officers and men.

Yesterday a very respectably-appearing family of refugees, from the vicinity of Ream's Station, succeeded in getting within our lines, with a view of going North.

DEPARTMENT OF THE GULF.

THE SURRENDER OF FORT MORGAN.

NEW YORK, Sept. 1.—The purser of the steamer Yazoo, which arrived this morning from New Orleans, reports that our fleet attacked Fort Morgan, on the morning of the 22d, and the rebel General Page answered unconditionally, at 2 o'clock P. M. on the 23d. The fort was much damaged by the rapid firing of our fleet. The enthusiasm of our forces was unbounded. The steamships Tennessee and Bienville were passed in the river by the Yazoo, on the 24th, with the prisoners from Fort Morgan on board.

ARRIVAL OF FARRAGUT'S OFFICIAL DESPATCHES AT NEW YORK—AN UNFINISHED REBEL RAM BLOWN UP.

NEW YORK, Sept. 1.—The steamer McClellan, from New Orleans on the 24th ult. via Mobile Bay on the 27th, arrived at this port this afternoon. She brings official despatches from Farragut's fleet regarding the capture of Fort Morgan and the flags of the rebel ram Tennessee, the rebel steamer Selma, and of Fort Gaines and Morgan.

The rebel ram Nashville was blown up by the United States gunboat Metacomet, on the night of the 25th, just below Mobile. She was 360 feet long, and was to mount three guns.

The gunboat Oneida blew up six torpedoes on the 25th, in the channel below the forts.

The ram Tennessee would soon leave for New Orleans.

The Selma is doing duty in the Union cause.

Our forces ashore and on shipboard are in excellent condition.

The McClellan touched off Key West, and brought the mails in chance.

The fever was decreasing at that point.

THE CAPTURE OF FORT MORGAN—DETAILS OF THE MOVEMENTS OF FARRAGUT AND CANBY—PRELIMINARY OPERATIONS—THE BOMBARDMENT OF THE 22D—BUT SLIGHT RESISTANCE OFFERED BY THE FORT.

By the New Orleans steamer, which arrived at New York yesterday afternoon, we have details of the operations, by land and water, against Fort Morgan, and its final surrender, with all its garrison and appurtenances.

On Sunday afternoon, the 14th ult., our land forces completed their first battery, and at half past six fired the first experimental shot at the fort. During the whole week the