The Press.

TUESDAY, JULY 12, 1859.

The News.

By the City of Baltimore, one day's later news from Europe has been received. Count Portales, the Prussian ambassador, had arrived at the headquarters of the Austrian army, which have been removed to Verona. France is preparing to move an army on the Rhine. General Gyulai, late Austrian commander-in-chief, has taken command as colonel of the regiment bearing his name. Further details have been received of the battle of Solferino, from which it appears to have been well contested and terribly destructive. The Zouaves are said to have been much cut up.

The steamship Arago has arrived at New York, with dates to the 29th ult., which have been anticipated.

The case of William Murphy, charged with murder in killing William Neal, on board the Peytona, while coming up the river Delaware from Gloucester, was called up for trial in the Court of Oyer and Terminer yesterday. A full report of the proceedings will be found in our court report this morning.

In the United States Circuit Court an opinion was delivered by Judge Cadwalader yesterday, over ninety-nine pages long, in the famous gold-dust case, which has occupied the attention of the court for fully eight years.

The corner-stone of the New York State Agricultural College, at Ovid, Seneca county, was laid on Wednesday last, by ex-Governor John A. King, with appropriate ceremonies. Three thousand persons were present. The college is located to the east of the farm house, on an elevation overlooking the lake. The architect is Mr. S. E. Hewes, of Albany. One peculiarity of the edifice is the perfect system of ventilation adopted by him. Every room in the college will be abundantly supplied with pure air, a desideratum that cannot be too highly prized in the construction of public buildings. Besides, water will be introduced in every room. It is brought from a never-failing spring, between one and two miles from the college, at an elevation of seventy feet above the level of the college.

The weekly bank statement of the Philadelphia Bank, made up to yesterday afternoon, presents the following aggregates: Loans, $25,248,246; specie, $4,892,111; deposits, $14,794,693; circulation, $3,849,160; a decrease of $1,140,000.

Mr. J. Bennett Nolan, Superintendent of Public Printing, and under an indictment for malfeasance in office, has returned to the city of Washington.

It is reported that the Liberal forces in Mexico, at Guanajuato, have been defeated by Gen. Woll, and that a revolution has been attempted in Campeachy.

The Doings of Death.

Death has been busy in this State within the last month. Some of our most prominent men have been called hence in the very prime of life. The accident which resulted in the decease of the Hon. James Burnside, of Centre county, has already been noticed in these columns. He had many friends in Philadelphia, and throughout the State, and we are not surprised at the intense excitement which prevailed at Bellefonte, where he resided, when his death was announced, and the wide-spread sympathy for his bereaved family.

The *Central Press* of Thursday last comes to us in mourning in consequence. We regret we have not room for the eloquent editorial of the *Press*, and must therefore content ourselves with the following sketch of the accident from the same paper:

"As near as we can gather them, we give as follows the particulars of this melancholy and heartrending accident. Judge Burnside was standing in front of his residence in company with his wife and children, when his nephew, Harvey Mann, a son of Judge Mann, of Bellefonte (brother of Judge D.), drove to and stepped out at the door. Mrs. Mann alighted, when an invitation was extended to the uncle by the nephew, to take a seat in the buggy for an evening ride. The horse was of a fractious disposition, and excited a good deal of interest, from its unmanageable and dangerous speed. He had torn from the hold of young Mann on Friday morning, and completely demolished a carriage. Knowing this, Judge B. seemed to hesitate; but being assured that there was no danger, he took a seat in the buggy, and before his nephew could seat himself by his side, and while he was in the act of stepping in, with one foot on the side of the buggy, the horse became frightened, began to rear and started to run. Young Mann could neither remain clinging to nor get in the buggy, and in this position threw the lines to the Judge. The horse dashed off at a furious speed down the corner opposite Rev. Linn's, and in full view from the residence of Judge B., where his wife, children, and sister, Mrs. Mann, were standing, he (the horse attempted to turn the corner, one of the front wheels was broken, upsetting the buggy and throwing the Judge violently to the ground. In a few minutes the immense assemblage gathered to the spot, and quickly by his side were Drs. McCoy, Potter, Mitchell, and Dobbins. He made but two or three convulsive gasps, and expired."

Judge Burnside was in the fifty-first year of his age at the time of his death. He was the eldest son of the late Hon. Thomas Burnside, for many years president judge of the Fourth Judicial district, and at the time of his death one of the justices of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. He leaves a wife (a daughter of the Hon. Simon Cameron) and three children. His loss to this community seems now to be almost irreparable.

On the 24th of June, Hon. David F. Rohr, late member of Congress from the Franklin and Adams district of this State, died at his residence in Chambersburg, from the effects of the Washington city National Hotel disease. Thus is added another to the long catalogue of victims of the mysterious malaria which has baffled the skill of the scientific men of all parts of the country. In the Chambersburg *Times*, of Friday morning last, we find a beautiful obituary sketch of Mr. Rohrer, in which we think we recognise the style of an old friend. It is a faithful tribute to a noble, truthful, and generous man. The following is an extract:

"A lawyer, he was acute, able, and learned—an advocate he was bold, eloquent, and fearless. His talents commanded the admiration; his truth and integrity won the esteem, and his frank and manly deportment gained the confidence and respect of all his brethren of the bar. Endowed by nature with high and vigorous faculties of mind, culture had adorned them with the graces of taste and the accomplishments of scholarship. His reasoning powers were warmed with the glow of imagination, and his compositions were striking specimens of chaste, strong, and beautiful English. With great force of character and decision of purpose, he had yet the simplicity of child-like mind and the tenderness of woman. A friend true, firm, and steadfast, he was at home honorable, and honest. In every feature of character, and in every feeling of nature, he was kind, social, and generous. Courteous and upright in every walk of society—steadfast and unbending in the assertion of power and of honor. And what a delightful companion, making the path of all around him joyous and happy by the sunlight and sympathy of his own bright and genial nature. But how shall we speak of him in that other and more sacred relation, in which he was known, and will always be known, to the world? How tell all the fervor and tenderness of early attachment? His devotion had still all the pathos and enthusiasm of the youthful heart. It is perhaps more fitting, answered by the all-abiding and the all-absorbing memory of her who was the light and the pride of his existence, the joy of his heart, and the comfort of his home—her, who adorned the daily hours of his life—sweetened by gentle voice and triumphant love, the last dread agonies of death, and heard the mingling tones of sorrow and hope, breathed over the sinking form."

"But his whole character was shaded and rounded by the soft and elevating light of religion—the great picture was touched into the manly beauty and full proportion of immortal feature and repleted with the coloring of all-redeeming love. And now David Fullerton Robison sleeps in an honored grave, amid the regrets and blessings of the people."

Acknowledgments.

(For The Press.)

The Vice Regent, for Pennsylvania, of the Ladies' Mount Vernon Association, has the pleasure to acknowledge the following receipts since the last report:

Through Miss Fahnestock, Lady Manager for Fifth ward	$14 00
Through Mrs. W. H. Hart, Lady Manager for Sixth ward	68 69
Through Mrs. E. S. Handy, Lady Manager for Seventh ward	15 40
Through Miss L. Dickinson, Lady Manager for Ninth ward	76 85
Through Miss Mary C. Smith, Lady Manager for Tenth ward	25 00
Through Miss Jas. Goodwin, Lady Manager for Twelfth ward	55 00
Through Mrs. W. L. Hasbrook, Lady Manager for Fairmount ward	202 26
Through Miss Sarah Anderson, Lady Manager for Southwark ward	83 91
Through Mrs. W. M. Holstein, Lady Manager for Montgomery county	207 90
Through Mrs. C. W. Pershing, Lady Manager for Lancaster county	240 50
Through Mrs. W. H. Keim, Lady Manager for Berks county	206 00
Through Mrs. R. D. Morris, Lady Manager for Delaware county	191 32
Through Mrs. S. Catharine Yeates, Lady Manager for Lancaster county	124 87
Through Mrs. C. L. Ward, Lady Manager for Bucks county	126 00
Through Mrs. E. Newman, Lady Manager for Luzerne county	63 00
Through Mrs. Ann E. Parker, Lady Manager for Juniata county	44 00
Through Miss Anna L. Parvisaw, Lady Manager for Butler county	32 00
Through Miss P. Dennis, Lady Manager for Northumberland county	55 00
Through Mrs. Jas. Gowen, Lady Manager for Montgomery county	17 00
Through Miss Josephine Bayley, Lady Manager for Schuylkill county	10 00
Through Miss Sarah Bayley, Lady Manager for Schuylkill county	10 00
Through Miss Mary Ann Bayley, Lady Manager for Schuylkill county	10 00
In addition to what is in the Vice Regent's hands	53 00
Total	$1,766 86

Stocks and Real Estate.—We refer, to-day, to the valuable city and country property, by order of Orphans' Court, Executors, &c. See Thomas & Sons' pamphlet catalogue and advertisements.

Sunday Travel upon the Passenger Railroads.

The manifest determination of several of the passenger railway companies of this city to run their cars upon Sunday, unless they are prevented from doing so by effective legal interposition, has aroused a warm feeling among those who wish to avail themselves of the facilities thus offered, on the one hand, and those who are determined to use every possible exertion to preserve the sanctity of the Sabbath, on the other.

Under the laws of our State, we believe, travelling on Sunday is expressly permitted; and, at all events, it is extensively practised. On all the great lines of travel one or more trains are run on that day for the accommodation of through passengers and others, and to carry the mails. Every railroad running out of our city runs one or more trains on that day. The steamboats also ply up and down the Delaware. Many persons who own horses or carriages, or who can afford to hire them, are in the habit of riding out, unmolested, on Sunday, both in this city and throughout all portions of the State. Many of the citizens of Pennsylvania go to church in this way, and in the country many of them pay friendly visits on Sunday to their neighbors and friends. Sunday travel by steamboats, by locomotives, by private or hired conveyances, is, therefore, sanctioned alike by law and custom, and no one dreams of interfering with it.

Now that it is proposed, however, to extend facilities for Sunday travel to the great body of our city population, the laws are appealed to to prevent this extension of privileges, and practically, the principle is laid down, that while facilities for Sunday travel can be freely enjoyed by those who have the means to travel long distances, or who can afford to keep or hire carriages, the many thousands of our citizens who are confined to their workshops and their routine of hard labor on the six secular days of the week are to be debarred from one of the few kinds of travel which their limited means and their onerous duties render available to them.

While we deeply respect the religious sentiment which aims to enforce a rigid observance of the Sabbath, we think the principle referred to a false one. There is no class of the citizens of Pennsylvania to whom the privilege and opportunity of riding on Sunday is more important, for the preservation of health, and the recuperation of their exhausted energies, than the very class which it is proposed to debar from this privilege by harsh legal interposition.

Through the summer a very large portion of our citizens—nearly all indeed whose means and business engagements permit—go off to pleasant resorts by the seaside, to fashionable watering places, or to country retreats; and though their city homes are commodious and cool, situated in pleasant streets, and fitted up with luxurious furniture and "all the modern improvements," they consider it essential to their health that these summer journeys should be made. While they thus pleasantly enjoy themselves, hundreds of thousands of human beings are packed away in little houses in narrow streets, and through all the secular days of the week the heads of these families are compelled to toil unceasingly, in confined workshops, or beneath a broiling sun, to earn their bread by the sweat of their brows, and to provide the necessaries of life for those dependent upon them. If the cool and balmy air of a rural district is ever to be enjoyed by these men and their wives and children, it must be on Sunday. All their week days are wholly dedicated to hard toil. And when the hope of a little recreation is held out to them, is it humane, or even Christian-like, to snatch it away from them by a harsh law, which no one thinks of creating or invoking to destroy the Sunday travel of the rich, and of those who can travel any day of the week if they wish to do so? In England, we believe, railroads are not only *allowed* to give workmen opportunities of travelling on Sundays, but, in some cases, they have been *compelled* to run trains by law, even against their own interests, for the purpose of giving workmen such facilities.

We do not believe that any good moral end is served by compelling men to be penned up on Sundays in dingy alleys, and preventing them from going to Fairmount or any other open rural neighborhood in which they can breathe the pure air of heaven, on a sultry summer Sunday. Those whose aims is vice and criminality cannot possibly get to worse spots than those which are festering sores in every quarter of the city. If they do not attend church; they will in all probability enter an improved moral as well as physical atmosphere by going to the rural depots of the passenger railway lines; and while such travel ministers to their physical welfare, it does not necessarily surround them with new moral dangers; for all familiar with the phases of city life know full well that those who are disposed to evil can most conveniently gratify their propensities in the very heart of the city, and that they do so, on Sundays as on all other days.

We are aware that these views differ from those of many of our readers, whose opinion we respect, and whom we should be sorry to offend. But the question we have discussed is one of considerable public importance, and we have not hesitated to express our honest convictions upon it. At the same time, however, our columns will be open to communications of reasonable length upon the other side of the question.

How Does the War Stand?

Bit by bit, details of the last great Italian contest, fought on June 23d, and to be called the Battle of Solferino, are oozing out through the foreign journals. The *City of Baltimore* steamer, which touched at the Cove of Cork on the 30th ult., brings telegraphic news a day later than any previously received.

Little appears to be known of the battle beyond what was previously reported, except that, after twelve hours fighting, the French reserve of 50,000 men was brought up to oppose the Austrian reserve of 80,000, and that, after three hours fighting, (making the contest extend to over fifteen hours,) the Austrians had to retreat, with great loss. They retired to Villa Franca, and subsequently to Verona, a strongly fortified city, through which runs the railroad from Milan to Venice. It is considered one of the strongest fortresses in Lombardy. The Emperor of Austria had returned to Vienna. His interference with the plans of his military commanders had been any thing but pleasant to them.

The *Moniteur* exhibits a careful avoidance of all particular facts respecting the late battle which would indicate the actual loss of the Allies. The Piedmontese *Gazette* estimates that one thousand Sardinians were killed and the like number wounded. The *Moniteur* avoids estimating the French loss. But it has transpired that the Zouaves suffered greatly; that one general was killed, with four wounded; that orders had been sent to Milan to prepare fourteen thousand beds for the wounded, and that Napoleon directs large reinforcements to be sent to him from France. The National Guards, of whom, as citizen soldiers, the Imperial rule has been very jealous, is to be reorganized, so as to garrison Paris, while the regular troops go on active service. There was a rumor, too, of an intention to move a French army to the Rhine.

It is evident, though the Allies won the Battle of Solferino, and the Austrians had to retreat their way beyond the Mincio, that the Allies also sustained losses so very severe as to prevent their pursuing immediately, or following up the victory, by a subsequent attack, between the 24th and the 30th of June. History shows us that some victories are so dearly purchased as to be nearly as bad as defeat, and it would not surprise us to learn that the victory of Solferino is one of these. Napoleon's non-mention of his losses on that hardly-won field has a suspicious look, to say the least of it.

Mr. H. D. Saunders.—This eminent sculptor (whose studio is at 811 Locust street, opposite Model Fund Hall) has returned to town, after an absence of nearly three months. During this time, he has been professionally engaged at Washington; subsequently at Georgia, where he executed a fine bust of the Hon. Alex. H. Stephens; and finally in Savannah, where his splendid marble bust of Pulaski (removed from the Rotunda of the Capitol, at Washington) is highly appreciated, and will be purchased, we hope, by the city to which fame that of Pulaski is brilliantly associated.

Germantown Peremptory Sale.—We would call the attention of our readers to Messrs. M. Thomas & Sons' sale of this evening, in which are included two very superior residences on Tulpehocken street, the most fashionable locality in the place, and within ten minutes' walk of the Railroad depot. These properties are well worth the attention of persons desirous of purchasing in this healthy and rapidly improving neighborhood.

The Clearfield Senator as Clear as Ever.

Our distinguished Senator, the Sage of Clearfield, has again illuminated the nation, by writing a long and confused epistle to the Tammany Society at New York, in answer to an invitation to join in the celebration of the 4th. The following is an extract, remarkable for opaqueness and bad English:

"Then, as to the question in the Territories, the policy having been settled by various acts of Congress, and made part of the Democratic creed, to which I doubt not that party will adhere with unyielding fidelity, that the *people*, clothed with whatever authority it may be competent for Congress, under the Constitution, to confer upon them, and *not Congress*, shall *legislate for the Territories*, in order that they may do what they please as to slavery, and other matters of domestic concern; through the agency of their local Legislatures, 'subject only to the Constitution of the United States,' accompanied with a pledge for their admission into the Union, on terms of perfect equality with the original States, with or without slavery, as they may determine when they form a Constitution and a State Government. And the Supreme Court, having defined the meaning of the Constitution to be, that a Territory deriving all its law-making powers from Congress is a dependency, and not a sovereignty; and that Congress, not being possessed of power to exclude slavery or slave property from the Territories, cannot confer that authority upon a Territorial Legislature; and further, that slaves are property; that the Territories belong to the States in common; and that the citizens of each and all the States have an equal right to the occupancy of such common domain, there to possess, use, and enjoy property of every description, including that of slaves. There would, therefore, seem to be but little room for further controversy, either in Congress or in the States. As for a code of Congressional laws for the benefit of one species of property in the Territories not necessary for the rest, I am emphatically opposed to any such measure, and think the proposition for it as impolitic as the effort for it will prove vain and fruitless. For what end, then, shall Congress legislate? Whose rights have been violated in any of the present Territories? Or wherein has the people or the Legislature of any Territory attempted to nullify the constitutional laws as expounded by the Supreme Court, or in any other way transcended their legitimate authority? I have heard of no such case. Even Kansas—factions and insubordinate as the spirit in that Territory at times has seemed to be—has done none of those things. Then, are we to legislate on some speculative theory, in anticipation of wrongs which may never occur? But, even if these wrongs had already been committed, the proper remedy is with the judiciary, and not with Congress.

"Whoever imagines himself aggrieved in the ure of slave or any other property in the Territory, should seek redress through the courts, and not through Congress; and, further, should it at any time be alleged that the Legislature of a Territory has transcended its authority, *to the detriment of private right*, it will be for the judiciary, and not Congress, to ascertain that fact; and by its decrees vindicate the injured party. It is for Congress to confer the law-making power upon a Territorial Legislature, but it is the province of the judiciary to determine the extent of the power. Indeed, in the case of Kansas and Nebraska, because of difference of opinion about the extent of the power possessed by Congress, this question was expressly referred to the courts, should the occasion arise for its decision. The authority of the Legislature over the concerns of a Territory, under the policy in view, is restrained only by the Constitution, and that instrument will restrain Congress to an equal extent. It is not pretended that Congress is possessed of authority to create rights for slave property in the Territories, and it need not be alsimed that Congress should attempt to define what those rights now are; because, as I have already intimated, that is clearly the province of the judiciary. There is, therefore, nothing—absolutely nothing—for Congress to do on the subject. It has been referred to the people and the courts, and there it should be permitted to rest; unless, indeed, some overt act of rebellion to the laws, as in the case of Utah, should demand the attention of Congress or the Executive Department of the Government."

We are not exacting about grammar ourselves, knowing little of philology, and caring less; but some of Senator Bigler's friends should rescue him from the perils of pen, ink, and paper. We have not the slightest doubt that he sincerely turned his senatorial coat when he left the people of Kansas to take care of themselves, after he promised to take care of them. It is therefore no business of ours to inquire into motives; but he should have employed somebody to make out his case for him. It is clear he does not understand what he writes about. When a man brings bad grammar, and a bad style, and confused sentences, to clarify a very bad cause, it seems to us like sending a toad into a puddle to muddy it still more. The above passage from Senator Bigler's letter to Tammany Hall is a most dismal illustration of this rule.

If some of the Southern Senators are asked whether they agree with Mr. Bigler, that the people of a Territory derive all their powers to make their local laws from *Congress*, and that a Territory is a *Congressional dependency*, they will say, we think, that they once regarded this as the *extreme doctrine of the Abolitionists*. It is, indeed, the doctrine on which the Wilmot Proviso was introduced. The corollary from it is that *Congress is sovereign*. We are not stupid enough (although Senator Bigler may be) to see how it is that Congress has the power to *authorize and empower* the people in a Territory to make such laws as *Congress* deems right and constitutional, and *yet not enact such laws itself*, and we deny and repudiate the whole as a heresy. We are for the *inherent, inalienable, inextinguishable, right of the people of the Territories to make their own laws* as they see fit (provided they are constitutional), without asking leave of Congress. This right is not derived from Congress. Congress cannot, and does not, "confer" the law-making power. The Supreme Court of the United States have not determined any such nonsense in the Dred Scott case, or in any other case. Senator Bigler is right when he says "the authority of the local Legislature over the concerns of a Territory, under the policy in view, (what the phrase means we cannot divine,) *is determined only by the Constitution.*" But how he can reconcile this with the assertion that all its law-making powers are *conferred and derived from Congress* we are at a loss to comprehend. Does he understand them himself?

Outspoken Public Men.

Among the best signs of the times is the candor of our leading public men on all the great questions of the day. There seems to be a rivalry to avoid all equivocation. The day for Delphic phrases has evidently passed away, and we are happy in felicitating ourselves upon the fact. The letters of Mr. Winthrop, of Massachusetts; Mr. Rives, of Virginia; Judge Douglas, and Governor Wise—though expressing different views on the various questions of the day—are fine specimens of outspoken frankness. In the same school we are glad to rank the late speeches of Senator Brown, of Mississippi; Mr. Stephens, of Georgia, and Mr. Boyce, of Virginia. This example has had the happiest effect upon the Conventions of the two great parties. And now, instead of ambiguous resolutions and double-meaning declarations, it is a matter of frequent occurrence to see these political assemblies laying down their doctrines emphatically and clearly. In this connection it is curious to notice how rapidly the great principle of Popular Sovereignty is working its way under the process of public investigation and frequent discussion to which it has been subjected since Mr. Buchanan assumed the arduous and responsible task of betraying it. We have already shown what the Democrats of New England and the great Northwest have to say on this subject. The decision of the People's Convention, held in this State last June, was a strong demonstration in the same direction, and the Republicans of Maine, in their Convention of Thursday last, unanimously adopted the following resolution:

"*Resolved*, That we hold unequivocally to the doctrine of genuine Popular Sovereignty and the right of the people in every State and Territory to establish their own institutions in their own way, subject only to the constitutional powers of Congress and the restraints of a just morality."

M. F. Maury.

An apprehension having been entertained that Commander M. F. Maury, of the National Observatory, Washington, would be required to go upon active duty, we have the gratification of stating that both the President and the Secretary of the Navy are too deeply impressed with the value of his eminent scientific services, to think of permitting his removal, upon such a pretext as this. Nautical science owes much to Commander Maury, and it is only just to say that successive Administrations have shown themselves aware of this, by giving him all the facilities in their power for his studies and observations. Mr. Buchanan's remark, on a recent occasion, as we know from good authority, was thus brief, but emphatic, "Maury is in no danger of being removed from the Observatory, where he has rendered so much valuable service." We cheerfully give Mr. Buchanan the credit of appreciating this able and earnest man, and of desiring to retain him where he has done so much for science, and, we hope, where he will long continue his labors.

Phil. Herbert, Sam. Flowers, and some thirty or forty Americans, were recently ordered out of Hermosillo, Mexico, by a mob. Herbert will be remembered as the California Congressman who killed a waiter at Willard's Hotel in Washington city. He was about starting for the Gadsden Purchase.

A Philosophical Statesman.

Hon. Alexander H. Stephens, of Georgia, is one of the boldest and most original statesmen of the day. His speech lately delivered at Augusta, Georgia, on his retirement from Congress, an imperfect report of which we find in Col Gardner's *Constitutionalist*, is filled with novel and striking suggestions, among which are the following:

"The Missouri Compromise doctrine, the Texas doctrine, the Territorial doctrine of Rufus King in 1817, have all been abandoned. In the admission of this principle, it was not a triumph of the South, but a triumph of justice, truth, and right. The settlement was fully up to the demands of the South. She never asks but for what is right. The principle is now settled that Congress shall abstain from all legislation on the subject of slavery in the Territories, whether as to the North or the South; and the Territories are now open to all sections, and have the privilege of adopting slavery or not, as the people may choose, when they come to form a Constitution. These measures, however, did not go as far as he wished; he would have Congress to give protection to slave property in the public domain as long as it remained in a Territorial condition.

"A majority at the South differed with him—not more than twenty five men in Congress agreed with him—but he finally yielded to the doctrine of non-intervention, because it was not aggressive; and because it secured, for all practical purposes, what we wanted. *If climate and soil do not favor slavery, it will not go into the Territories.*

"Many thought all the discussion on the slavery question had nothing in it; slavery wouldn't go to Kansas, Nebraska, &c. What harm would be done if the Wilmot proviso was passed? It is true, it was an abstract principle which had been gained; but some of the greatest questions in the Governments of the world have been abstract. He would advise as strong resistance to abstract as to practical questions. Nations which submit to abstract questions of wrong will not long maintain their independence. Let no man, then, say that all their discussion about slavery in the Territories was for personal motives, and that all the details amounted to nothing.

"Mr. Stephens repeated that he had endeavored to discharge his duties faithfully. The settlement of the questions to which he had alluded was a practical good, if we are but true to ourselves; the settlement was affirmed by the judiciary as well as the Executive; and we can divide Texas and the slave States and get Chihuahua, Sonora, &c., *if we have the slave population.*

"He had been asked what are the prospects for the future, what is to become of the anti slavery sentiment of the North, and whether slavery is as secure as it was?

"As he said in 1850, he would repeat now—*there is very little prospect of the South settling any territory outside of Texas; in fact, little or no prospect at all, unless we increase our African stock.*

"This question his hearers should examine in its length and breadth; he would do nothing more than present it. This is the point to which the attention of our people should be directed, *we have not the population, and might as well abandon the race with our brethren of the North in the colonization of the Territories.* It was not for him to advise on these questions, but only presented them; the people should think and act upon them. If there are but few more slave States, it is not because of Abolitionism or the Wilmot proviso, but simply for the want of people to settle them. He cannot make States without people; rivers and mountains do not make them, and slave States cannot be made without Africans. I am not telling you, he said, to do it, but it is a serious question concerning our political and domestic policy, and we do not want voters and declaimers so much as thinkers and reasoners. It is unnecessary to say more about abstract rights, or to repeat what has been granted. There are more men at the North to day who believe in the social and moral condition of slavery than when he went to Congress. Wilberforce's theory has failed; Carlyle has repudiated his Abolition doctrines; and Harriet Beecher Stowe has partially kept up with him in his opinions. Freedom for the negro has been tried in the West India islands, and failed, and the defeat is now attempted to be remedied by the introduction of Chinese coolies, under the title of 'apprentices.' They had better resort to the original institution at once.

"All, he continued, depends upon ourselves for the future. With our constitutional rights, and with the present principles of political policy, in his judgment we are just as safe, and even safer than we ever were. We must remain united; if we are ever divided, our day of doom will surely come. All nations, when they cease to grow, begin to die; we should, then, endeavor to expand and grow. Central America and Mexico are all open to us. He does not believe that the country is large enough, but believes that a diversity of interests will strengthen the Government better than if all were homogeneous. He looks forward in the future to the acquisition of Cuba, but was never in favor of paying much money for it—not more than one or two millions of dollars. If Cuba wants to come into the Union, he would not ask Spain, but would be in favor of repealing the neutrality laws, so as to give our people a chance to help her in her wish.

"He say no reason why we should pay thirty millions for it; we have already spent several millions in preventing Americans from going to Cuba—and he would like the United States to quit holding the island while Spain skins it. [Cries of go on! go on!]

"The best time to quit, resumed the speaker, is when nobody wants you to quit. What I have said about the principles of slavery is now thing. Philosophers have thought much about a government founded on nature. All things now are slow in development, &c. He alluded to the theories of Copernicus, of Galileo, of Adam Smith, of Watts, of Newton, of Fulton—to the steamboat, the telegraph, &c.—all were slow in development, and in the same principle will apply to our Government."

Now, while there is much in these thoughts to which we take exception, any fair mind will perceive that there is much eminently worthy of reflection. Mr. Stephens states, in the first place, a great truth in the declaration that abstract questions in a free Government often contain the germ of great practical issues, and that the true statesman should never despise them. In illustration, take the allegation, now so common, that the agitation in favor of the revival of the African slave trade is an abstraction, and compare this with the remark of Mr. Stephens, "that the South must increase its African stock if it desires more slave States." It requires little sagacity to perceive that the moment the South is impressed with the soundness of Mr. Stephens' advice it will proceed to act upon it, precisely as the extreme men are now acting in favor of a code to protect slavery in the Territories. Where he counsels the Southern people that it is impossible for the South to compete with the North in the Territories until she has people to send there, he displays the highest and most consummate wisdom. In this tribute to the practical advantages of Popular Sovereignty in the Territories he is consistent with himself and with his own frank and fearless character. The prevailing error of Mr. Stephens, and his school, is the heresy that there is a distinction between slavery and other property in the Territories of the United States, and that, while conceding to the people a full right to legislate on all their domestic institutions while in a Territorial existence, they deny to them any control whatever over slavery until they come to form a State Constitution. On this proposition there can be no union between the North and the South, and it is folly and madness to expect it. We commend the theory of Mr. Stephens, as to Cuba, to the admirers of the thirty million project of the Administration.

The Crops in Illinois.

(Correspondence of The Press.)

PARIS, Edgar county, Ill., July 7.

In looking over the agricultural items in *The Press*, I see considerable complaint and apprehension expressed in regard to the prospect of the crops in the West. I can speak for the counties of Edgar, Coles, and Clark, and can assure you that the promise of an abundant yield of wheat and corn was never better. Our farmers are now about finishing up their wheat cutting, and a better quality of grain was never harvested anywhere. The straw is bright, the head large and full, the kernel plump. The corn crop was never more promising. A richer and more heart-cheering sight is hard to imagine, than to see its luxuriant blades waving in the prairie breeze. From present indications the yield must be enormous, altogether above what has ever been produced, in one season, for the last ten years.

Aside from the crops, the principles of Democracy, as expounded by the gallant Douglas, have taken deep root in the hearts of the people here, and promise an immense harvest. J. T.

BY MIDNIGHT MAIL.

Letter from "Occasional."

[Correspondence of The Press.]

WASHINGTON, July 11, 1859.

The misfortune of Washington city has always been, that whenever an effort has been made to promote its substantial welfare, jobbers and speculators have intruded their plans, and the result has been conflict and delay. I belong to neither of the parties interested in the passenger railroad intended to run from the navy yard to Georgetown, but it is notorious that if there had been proper concert at the last session of Congress, the road would have been laid down before this, and would have been doing a successful business. The prosperity of your passenger railroads in Philadelphia is an earnest that a similar enterprise here would be a profitable investment, and it will be a great shame if Congress does not, early in the coming session, pass a bill incorporating a company to construct such a road. One of the great curses of this town is to be found in the exorbitant charges of its hackmen. When we contemplate Washington from the present it is easy to see that it must become a great centre of population, for many reasons, political, social, and otherwise. A railroad connecting the Capitol with the departments would be a source of constantly increasing revenue, whether extended along the side street north of the avenue or running along the avenue itself, or both. Facilities would be cheaply furnished to the multitudes having business in the departments or with Georgetown, and the Capitol and the navy yard: and as population increases, as it must increase, the income of the roads would also increase, and the hack-drivers would be compelled to charge moderate fares. My own opinion is, that a road should be run directly through Pennsylvania avenue. Strange as it may appear to say so, the avenue is too wide, and a passenger railway laid directly along the centre, and flanked on either side by rows of trees, would give this magnificent thoroughfare the classic and beautiful appearance of the great promenade in Berlin, which is spoken of as being refreshingly beautiful on this very account. I understand there is to be a rivalry between certain companies composed of local and distant politicians, to take possession of the railroad along Pennsylvania avenue; but I hope that after the jobbers have surveyed the ground they will agree to come together, and do something for the general welfare. I am not objecting to speculation, because in all such schemes as this speculation is almost unavoidable. I plead only for the good of the people.

It is a great source of satisfaction to me to announce that Hon. Reverdy Johnson, who has lately been sorely afflicted with an affection of one of his eyes, is doing much better. He enjoys fine health, but has been considerably disturbed on account of this affliction. The amount of labor performed by Mr. Johnson in the last forty years as a member of the bar, alike in his own city of Baltimore, and in New York and Washington, would hardly be credited if stated to the people, and yet, while he is conscientiously attentive to his clients, and always on hand when needed, he has never failed in the most refined hospitalities, and is always ready to serve his friends.

Governor Wise has placed himself in a singular attitude before the Southern people by assuming to lay down a platform on the *slavery* question which refers that question to the Territorial Legislatures, and demands that these Legislatures should only *protect* slavery. This is denying to them the right to prohibit it. I notice that some of the Alabama papers are quite indignant that the Governor should not accept the whole responsibilities of his position, instead of standing on this most illogical dogma. If there is to be intervention, let us have it on both sides.

Mr. Theodore S. Fay, resident minister at Berne, Switzerland, is, I perceive, roundly rated in one of our local papers here, and his removal demanded. This is all wrong. Is not Mr. Fay a most eminent fossil? Has he not earned continuance in office by long *residence* therein? Has he not belonged to all parties in turn and to none in particular? If he were to die, would it not be a national calamity, and could any man be found to fill his place? Besides, he has the advantage of having been one of Mr. Buchanan's models of diplomacy, of having been held up as one of the few Americans fit to serve his country abroad, and he has learned to serve it so well that he has almost forgotten it entirely. I wonder that the newspaper in question should have ever dreamed that such a man as Mr. Fay could be removed by the present dynasty. Having been abroad during the best part of a quarter of a century, I suggest that he should be permitted to close his career where he may be said to have begun it. It is clearly impossible to find any one among the early and most devoted friends of Mr. Buchanan in your State to take Mr. Fay's place, now that the list of those who have always most bitterly opposed him in your State seems to be about exhausted.

I am indescribably amused "occasionally" when I happen to be present at the morning reception of President Buchanan. Since it has been announced that he intends to be a candidate for re-election (for notwithstanding his denial he receives every intimation to that effect with unassembled satisfaction) every applicant for place makes it a point to congratulate him upon his irresistible popularity; and yet I think he is not so easily fooled as they suppose. For instance: the other day a gentleman took occasion to remind him of his great strength in Pennsylvania, upon which I thought I could perceive an expression of intense contempt on the Presidential physiognomy. You know that Mr. Buchanan has always been anxious to be considered strong in his old Congressional district, composed of the three counties of Chester, Delaware, and Lancaster. He came very near carrying this district in 1856 by industriously causing it to be understood that he intended to be true to his declarations; but since then the ballot-box has convinced him that he is himself more hopelessly in the minority in the three counties alluded to than any man that could be named. At the election in 1856, if I am correct, the majority against him, counting the Fillmore vote as against the Opposition, was not more than twenty-five hundred, while in 1858 the three counties alluded to declared against his Administration, in the election of members of Congress, taking the votes of his candidates for Congress as the strength of his Administration, by a majority of nearly fourteen thousand. I need not add Berks county to this list, because "comparisons," as Dogberry says, "are odorus," but Mr. Buchanan doubtless remembered whilst his flatterer was before him, that the falling off in his old Congressional districts, to say nothing of the reduction in other quarters of Pennsylvania, was quite enough fatally to damage the compliment that he had any strength whatever in his native State.

Douglas seems really to be the Democratic "man of destiny." The Democrats of St. Louis, a few days ago, laid down a series of flat-footed popular sovereignty, Douglas resolutions, in their late convention to nominate county officers and members of he Legislature. It was a clear Douglas triumph, the Administration leaders retiring under a declaration that "the resolution would sink the Democratic nominations in 1860, so deep that forty thousand hyenas could not disinter them." You will perceive that the Orleans *Bee* and the New Orleans *Crescent*, the New Orleans *Delta*, the Mobile *Register*, and other Southern journals of similar influence, take the strongest ground in favor of Douglas since his celebrated Dorr letter. It is well known here, that in Baltimore, at the late delegate election in that city, nothing prevented the triumph of the Douglas delegates but the most offensive interference of the Government officials. I am enabled to assert, and to defy contradiction, that in the city of Richmond Judge Douglas has many active and devoted friends in the Democratic party, while in Raleigh, North Carolina, Montgomery, Alabama, Memphis and Nashville, Tennessee, Louisville, Kentucky Little Rock, Arkansas, and even in Jackson, Mississippi, there are to be found hundreds of Democrats who look upon him as the only man to save the Democratic party next year. So much for the South. The North can take care of itself. As an old politician said to me yesterday, "The Democratic party is a wise and sagacious organization, full of practical instincts, and never indisposed to yield to availability when consistent with principle. Judge Douglas is the double availability of the day. He has proved his fidelity to the South by his past experience, and he is rallying the North about him by his present war upon an Administration which has deserted the Democratic party." There is a good deal of hard sense in this observation.

I regret to be compelled to say that Mr. Buchanan is about setting another example of disorganization in the Democratic party. He countenanced the war upon regular nominations in Illinois when Judge Douglas was a candidate, and he is now at the bottom of the intrigue in New York against the Softs, who are unmistakably in the majority of our party there, and who have determined to ignore the Hards. Headed by such enthusiastic Administration men as Fernando Wood and Daniel S Dickinson, Mr. Buchanan insists that if the Softs do not recognise the Hards, the latter must break, and set up an independent organization. You will be surprised at this announcement; but when I tell you that Bennett, of the New York *Herald*, has laid this down as the New York programme for 1860, you will, of course, be convinced of the justice of my predictions. Occasional.

The *Green Mountain Farmer* states that a little girl, daughter of Mr. George Cady, of Northfield, Vermont, recently met with an accident by which five of her front teeth were displaced and fell from her mouth. In about fifteen minutes after the accident Dr. Gilbert replaced the teeth in the child's mouth, and under his treatment they became firm in their sockets, and are now, to all appearances, as substantial, useful teeth as they ever were.

The following catalogue designates the order of merit and the place of the new passed midshipmen on the navy list:

George A. Bigelow, R. F. Crawford, W. L. Pythian, Augus P. Cooke, William E. Evans, George S. Shryock, Leroy Fitch, Joseph W. Harris, Thomas H. Eastman, Chester Hatfield, Charles J. McDougall, Thomas R. Porter, James C. Mosely, George H. Perkins, George Blodgett, W. W. Allen, Nathaniel Greene.

One Day Later from Europe.

ARRIVAL OF