

THE HOSTLER'S STORY.

BY J. T. THORNTON.

What amused us most at the Lake house last summer was the performance of a bear in the back yard.

He was fastened to a pole by a chain, which gave him a range of a dozen or fifteen feet. It was not very safe for visitors to come within that circle, unless they were prepared for rough handling.

He had a way of suddenly catching you to his bosom, and picking your pockets of peanuts and candy—in a manner which took your breath away. He stood up to his work on his hind legs in a quite human fashion, and used paw and tongue with amazing skill and vivacity. He was friendly, and didn't mean any harm, but he was a rude playfellow.

I shall never forget the ludicrous adventure of a dandified New Yorker who came into the yard to feed his fastidious dog, and did not feed him fast enough.

He had approached a trifle too near, when all at once the bear whipped an arm about him, took him to his embrace, and "went through" his pockets in a hurry. The terrified face of the man, glancing and screaming, and the good-natured, business-like expression of the fumbling and munching bear, offered the funniest sort of contrast.

The one-eyed hostler, who was the bear's special guardian, lounged leisurely to the spot.

"Keep still, and he won't hurt ye," he said, turning his head. "That's one of his tricks. Throw out what you've got, and he'll leave ye."

The dandy made haste to help bring to the last of the seed-cake, and escaped without injury, but in a ridiculous plight—his hat smashed, his necktie and linen rumpled, and his watch dangling; but his fright was the most laughable part of all.

The one-eyed hostler made a motion to the bear, who immediately climbed the pole, and looked at us from the crook of the top.

"A bear," said the one-eyed hostler, turning his head again, "is the best-hearted, kindest critter that goes on all-fours. I'm speakin' of our native black bear, you understand. The brown bear ain't half so respectable, and the grizzly is one of the ugliest brutes in creation. Come down here, Pomp."

Pomp slipped down the pole and advanced toward the one-eyed hostler, walking on his hind legs and rattling his chains.

"Playful as a kitten!" said the one-eyed hostler, fondly. "I'll show ye."

He took a wooden bar from a clothes-horse near by, and made a lunge with it at Pomp's breast.

No pugilist or fencing-master could have parried a blow more neatly. Then the one-eyed hostler began to thrust and strike with the bar as if in downright earnest.

"Rather savage play," I remarked. And a friend by my side, who never misses a chance to make a pun, added: "Yes, a decided act of bear-beauty."

"Oh, he takes so respectable the one-eyed hostler. 'Ye can't hit him.'"

And indeed it was so. No matter how or where the blow was aimed, a movement of Pomp's paw, quick as a flash of lightning, knocked it aside, and he stood good-humoredly waiting more.

"Once in awhile," said the one-eyed hostler, resting from the exercise and leaning on the bar, while Pomp retired to his pole, "there's a bear of this species that's vicious and blood-thirsty. Generally, you let them alone and they'll let you alone. They won't run from you, maybe, but they won't go out of their way to pick a quarrel. They don't swagger round with a chip on their shoulder lookin' for some fool to knock it off."

"Will they eat you?" some one inquired; for there was a ring of spectators around the performance by this time.

"As likely as not, if they are sharp, and you lay yourself out to be eaten, and it ain't their habit to go for human flesh. Roots, nuts, berries, bugs and any small game can pick up, satisfies their humble appetite as a general thing."

The one-eyed hostler leaned against the pole, stroked Pomp's ear affectionately, and continued somewhat in this style:

"Bears are particularly fond of fat, juicy pigs; and once give 'em a taste of human flesh—why, I shouldn't want my children to be playin' in the woods with the good man looking after 'em."

"Which reminds me of Old Two Claws, as they used to call him, a bear that plagued the folks over in Ridgeway, where I was brought up—wall, as much as forty year ago."

"He got his name from the peculiar shape of his foot, and got that from trifling with a gun-trap. You know what that is—a loaded gun set in such a way that a bear or any game that's curious about it must come up to it the way it pinte; a bait is hung before the muzzle, and a string runs from that to the trigger."

"He was a cunning fellow, and he put out an investigatin' paw at the piece of pork before tryin' his jaws on it; so instead of gettin' a bullet in the head, he merely had a bit of his paw shot off. There were two claws left on that foot, as his bloody tracks showed."

"He got off; but this experience seemed to have soured his disposition. He owed a spite to the settlement."

"One night a great row was heard in my uncle's piggery. He and the boys rushed out with pitchforks, a gun and a lantern. They knew what the trouble was, or soon found out."

"A huge black bear had broken down the side of the pen; he had seized a fat porker, and was actually lugging him off in his arms! The pig was kicking and squealing, but the bear had him fast. He did not seem at all inclined to give up his prey, even when attacked. He looked mean and ugly; but a few jabs from a pitchfork, and a shot in the shoulder, convinced him that he was making a mistake."

"He dropped the pig and got away before my uncle could load up for another shot. The next morning they examined his tracks. It was Old Two Claws."

"But what sp'ilt him for being a

quiet neighbor was something that happened about a year after that.

"There was a roving family of Indians encamped near the settlement; hunting, fishing and making moccasins and baskets, which they traded with the whites."

"One afternoon the Red-Sky-of-the-Morning, wife of the Water-Snake-with-the-Long-Tail, came over to the settlement with some of their truck for sale. She had a papoose on her back strapped on a board; another squaw traveled with her, carrying an empty jug."

"Almost within sight of Gorman's grocery, Red-Sky took off her papoose and hung it in a tree. She followed around the store had made fun of it when she was there once before, so she preferred to leave it in the woods rather than expose it to the coarse jokes of the boys. The little thing was used to such treatment. Whether carried or hung up, it always wept and cried."

"The squaw traded off this truck, and bought, with other luxuries of civilization, a gallon of whisky. They drank out of the jug, and then looked at more goods. Then they drank again, and from being shy and silent, as at first, they giggled and chattered like a couple of silly little girls. They spent a good deal more time and money at Gorman's than they would if it hadn't been for the whisky, but finally they started to go back through the woods."

"They went chattering and giggling to the tree where the papoose had been left. There was no papoose there."

"This discovery sobered them. They thought at first the fellows around the store had played them a trick by taking it away; but by-and-by the Red-Sky-of-the-Morning set up a shriek."

"The Indians found the board not far off, but no papoose strapped to it, only something that told the story of what had happened."

"There were bear tracks around the spot. One of the prints showed only two claws."

"The Red-Sky-of-the-Morning went back to the camp with the news; the other squaw followed with the jug and the bear's tracks."

"When the Water-Snake-with-the-Long-Tail heard that his papoose had been eaten by a bear, he felt, I suppose, very much as any white father would have felt under the circumstances. He yowled vengeance against Old Two Claws, but did himself with a drink of the fire-water before starting on the hunt."

"The braves with him followed his example. It wasn't in Indian nature to start until they had emptied the jug, so it happened that Old Two Claws got off again. They braves can't follow a trail without a drink of whisky."

"Not very long after that a woman in a neighboring settlement heard her children scream one day in the woods near the house. She rushed out, and actually saw a bear lunging off her youngest."

"She was a sickly, feeble sort of woman, but such a sight was enough to give her the strength and courage of a man. She ran and caught up an axe. Luckily she had a big dog. The two went at the bear."

"The child had no notion of losing his dinner just for a woman and a mongrel cur. But she struck him a tremendous blow on the back; at the same time the pup got him by the leg. He dropped the young one to defend himself. She caught it up and ran, leaving the two beasts to have it out tooth and nail."

"The bear made short work with the cur; but instead of following the woman and child, he skulked off into the woods. The settlers got together for a grand hunt; but Old Two Claws—for the tracks showed that he was the scoundrel—escaped to the mountains, and lived to make more trouble another day."

"The child? Oh, the child was scarcely hurt. It had got squeezed and scratched a little in the final tussle; that was all."

"As to the bear, he was next heard of in our settlement."

"The hostler hesitated, winked his one eye with an odd expression, put a fresh quid into his cheek, and finally resumed: 'A brother-in-law of my uncle, a man of the name of Bush, was one day chopping in the woods about half a mile from his house, when his wife went out to carry him his luncheon at home, a boy and a girl, children at about five years old, and a baby just big enough to toddle around.'

"The boy had often been told that if he strayed into the woods with his brother a bear might carry them off, and she charged him again that forenoon not to go away from the house; but he was an enterprising little fellow, and when the sun shone so pleasant and the woods looked so inviting, he wasn't one to be afraid of bears."

"The woman stopped to see her husband fell a big beech he was cutting, and then went back to the house; but the oldest boy coming out of the woods on the other side. He was alone. He was white as a sheet, and so frightened at first that he couldn't speak."

"Johnny," says she, catching hold of him, "what is the matter?"

"A bear!" he gasped out at last. "Where is your little brother?" was her next question."

"I don't know," said he, too much frightened to know anything just then. "Where did you leave him?" says she."

"Then he seemed to have gotten his wife together a little. 'A bear took him!' said he."

"You can guess what sort of an agony the mother was in."

"Oh, Johnny, tell me true! I think! Where was it?"

"In the woods," he said. 'Bear come along—I run.'

"She caught him up and hurried with him into the woods. She begged him show her where he was with his little brother when the bear came along. He pointed out two or three places. In one of them the earth was soft. There were fresh tracks crossing it—bear tracks. There was no doubt about it."

"It was a terrible situation for a poor woman. Whether to follow the bear and try to recover her child, or go at once for her husband, or alarm the neighbors; what to do with Johnny meanwhile—all that would have been hard enough for her to decide even if she had had her wits about her."

"She hardly knew what she did, but

just followed her instinct, and ran with Johnny in her arms, or dragging him after her, to where her husband was chopping."

"Well," continued the one-eyed hostler; "I needn't try to describe what followed. They went back to the house, and Bush took his rifle and started on the track of the bear, vowing that he would not come back without either the child or the bear's hide."

"The news went like wildfire through the settlement. In an hour half-a-dozen men with their dogs were on the track with Bush. It was so much trouble for him to follow the trail that they soon overtook him with the help of the dogs."

"But in spite of them the bear got into the mountains. Two of the dogs came up with him, and one, the only one that could follow a scent, had his back broken by the bear's paw. After that it was almost impossible to track him, and one after another the hunters gave up and returned home."

"At last Bush was left alone; but nothing could induce him to turn back. He shot some small game in the mountains, which he cooked, and then he slept on the ground, and started on the trail again in the morning."

"Along in the forenoon he came in sight of the bear as he was crossing a stream. He had a good shot at him as he was climbing the bank on the other side."

"The bear kept on, but it was easier tracking him after that by his blood."

"That evening a hunter, haggard, his clothes all in tatters, found his way to a backwoodsman's hut over in White's valley. It was Bush. He told his story in a few words as he rested on a stool. He had found no traces of his child, but he had killed the bear. It was Old Two Claws. He had left him on the hills, and came to the settlement for help."

"The hunt had taken him a round-about course, and he was then not more than seven miles from home. The next day, gun in hand, he took his skin strapped to his back—the carcass had been given to his friend the backwoodsman—he started to return by an easier way through the woods."

"It was a sad revenge he had had, but there was a grim sort of satisfaction in lugging home the hide of the terrible Old Two Claws."

"As he came in sight of his log house, out ran his wife to meet him, with what you run suppose—little Johnny dragging at her skirts, and the lost child in her arms."

"Then, for the first time, the man dropped, but he didn't get down any further than his knees. He clung to his wife and baby, and thanked God for the miracle."

"But it wasn't much of a miracle, after all."

"Little Johnny had been playing around the door, and lost sight of the baby, and maybe forgotten all about him when he strayed into the woods and saw the bear. Then he remembered all that he had heard of the danger of being carried off and eaten by a bear. He had a terrible fright. When he saw his little brother he didn't know any more about him, and I suppose really imagined that the bear had got him."

"But the baby had crawled into a snug place under the side of the rain-trough, and there he was fast asleep all the while. The bear woke up by day three hours after, and the mother heard him cry; her husband was far away on the hunt."

"True—this story I've told you?" added the one-eyed hostler, as some one questioned him. "Every word of it!"

"But your name is Bush, isn't it?" I said.

The one eye twinkled humorously.

"My name is Bush. My uncle's brother-in-law was my own father."

"And you?" exclaimed a bystander.

"I, said the one-eyed hostler, "am the very man who was what you call the bear when I was a baby!" — *Youth's Companion.*

The Cause of a Mine Explosion.

Some peculiar features of mining casualties were developed at a corner's inquest on the bodies of William Crone and Thomas Tierney, who died from injuries received by an explosion of fire-damp, at the Lower Rausch Creek colliery, near Pottsville, Pa. These men were working with dynamite on the bottom level of the mine, 1,900 feet below the surface. The vein in which they worked made no gas, but another beneath it, with about nine feet of slate between, gave forth gas in quantities so great as to force up the solid slate-capping in the centre of the breast, the pressure of the strata above, and the help. The movement caused a rumbling and cracking, which the men thought came from the roof, and they, together with the fire-boys, James O'Neill, and a miner named Jacob Inschweller, watching that part, when the noise became so violent that they ran into the heading, fearing that the roof would fall. The roof, however, remained undisturbed. The men had scarcely left the breast when the floor heaved up, opened, and a volume of gas poured forth, which at once filled the whole place. O'Neill and Inschweller, fortunately for them, darted into the passage leading inward from the breast; but Crone and Tierney entered the "intake" passage. Crone, knowing that a strong current of air would force the flame through the meshes of his lamp and set fire to the gas, shielded his lamp as he ran, but Tierney neglected this precaution. The gas ignited from his lamp, and a terrible explosion followed. Crone and Tierney were so badly burned that they died in a few hours, while the others, being behind the explosion, which always takes an outward course, were only slightly injured by being dashed against the coal-work of the mine. The work was shattered for a distance of 100 yards, and a boy named Grady received fatal injuries from a door which fell on him. The mine was then being inspected for the third time that day (the explosion occurred at noon), and 16,578 cubic feet of air per minute was then passing through the mine. The jury returned a verdict that "the deceased came to their deaths from the effects of an explosion caused by running through the gas with their safety lamps against, instead of with, the air-current." — *Exchange.*

TIMELY TOPICS.

The Sacred Right of Petition

The sacred right of petition has been vindicated to the extent of 10,167 petitions introduced in the House of Representatives during the Forty-fifth United States Congress. They relate to all sorts of subjects, and come from private individuals, aliens, corporations, literary, scientific, and labor-reform societies, boards of trade, State and Territorial legislatures; in fact, from almost every branch of trade and industry. Under the rule of the House petitions are not presented in open session, but are placed on file, and as a general thing are never heard of.

Sixty-nine libel suits for one libel

Ambiguity has been the death of one poor paper in Marseilles, France. The *Nouveliste*, of Marseilles, stated some months ago that the tax receiver of St. Etienne had embezzled \$10,000. The proprietor, however, had more than one "bad quarter of an hour" when he discovered, as he very quickly did, that there are sixty-nine St. Etienne, towns or communes in France. Every one of the tax receivers of these places brought an action against the paper, which has been ordered to pay \$20 damages to each collector, besides \$40 fine.

A "first exhibition circuit"

of the Melbourne International exhibition of 1880 has been received. It contains long lists of commissioners and committees and the "system of general classification," apparently based to a considerable extent upon that of Philadelphia. The president is the Hon. Wm. John Clarke, member of the legislative council at Melbourne. Applications for space should be sent in not later than June 30, 1879. The reception of exhibits will commence June 1, 1880, and none will be admitted after August 31. The exhibition will remain open for six calendar months, commencing October 1, 1880, and closing March 31, 1881. Full particulars can be obtained from James E. Denison, No. 123 Collins street, West Melbourne, who will act as general agent for American exhibitors.

A subject more than ordinary interest

is now under consideration by a committee of the Medical-Legal society, and it is deemed probable that the result will be the passage of a law providing for the verification of every case of supposed death occurring in New York city. The wisdom and necessity of such a law, the *Herald* remarks, can hardly be questioned by any one who has given the subject any careful thought; and so thoughtful is it acknowledged by Europeans that in every principal country of Europe legal cognizance is taken of the possibility of a syncope being mistaken for death. And in nearly all, if not all of the principal cities on the continent there is a clause of the law whose duty it is to decide in every case of apparent death whether it is or is not real. In England and America, however, no protection is afforded by the statutes against the possibility of a live person being buried.

An original character, well known in the coin quarter, has just died in Paris

of an adenoid fever. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which—and the one that roused the most adherents—was to make every other day a day of rest. He habituated himself to eating only on alternate days, and used to argue that by sleeping twenty-four consecutive hours and then working for a like period, the same sum of labor would be produced with a saving of food and rest. He was a man of high character, and his name was called, fancied he was an unappreciated genius, and amused himself in inventing new systems which were to renovate society. He set up a new religion, one article of which