



POTTSVILLE.

Saturday Morning, July 20, 1844.

IMPORTANT. Every citizen has in mind that it is not only his duty but his duty to purchase every thing that he can at home.

THE POOR MAN'S BILL. Those who are compelled to labor ought to have in mind that the tariff is essentially the poor man's enemy.

Opinions of James K. Polk on the Tariff. I AM IN FAVOR OF REDUCING THE DUTY ON THE 30th OF JUNE, 1842.

FRILINGHUYSEN, AND MARKLE. DEMOCRATIC WHIG COUNTY MEETING.

The Democratic Whigs of Schuylkill County in favor of presenting the present Whig Tariff as a friendly to the establishment of a good National currency.

THE BUCKEYE BLACKSMITH. By invitation we have attended the meeting together with several other workers, who will address them in the German language.

OUR REASON—THE WHIG TARIFF OF 1842. There are not few persons who have undertaken to calculate the immense advantages which the laboring portion of our population, connected with mining, are now deriving from the Whig Tariff of 1842.

MURDERS.—The times are sadly out of joint—the papers are almost filled with riots, murders and assassinations, some of which are of the most horrible and revolting character.

THE LOCOFESCO PAPER OF THIS BOROUGH. On Saturday last, stated that Mr. Clarkson, is the "St. Francis" for the Whig Councils of Philadelphia.

THE BUCKEYE BLACKSMITH addressed a meeting at Reading on Wednesday evening last. He is in excellent health and spirits—and defied the whole Lochofesco crew to take the stand and refer to his arguments.

ANOTHER CLAY CLUB.—We are pleased to learn that a Clay Club was formed at Reed's Mines, during the present week, which already numbers nearly one hundred names.

MORE EFFECTS OF THE TARIFF.—RAILROADS.—Since the Protective principle has again obtained a foothold in our government, we are beginning to feel its effects in the renovation of the dilapidated Rail Roads in this region.

Ordered. Arrived. Tons. Tons. Philadelphia and Reading RR Co. 8,350 5,300

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. On our first page will be found the correspondence which has recently taken place between Messrs. Clarkson and Hughes, and below, we give the correspondence between the committees, who made the necessary arrangements for carrying on this discussion.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. Our first proposition to you was, that in the discussion of the questions joined between Mr. Hughes and Mr. Clarkson—that the party having the affirmative of each issue to maintain—shall be entitled to all rules of Logic, Law, and common sense.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We propose that F. W. Hughes, Esq. have the affirmative side of the question upon each issue offered by him, and that J. G. Clarkson, Esq. be entitled to the affirmative side of the question upon each issue proposed by him.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. On our first page will be found the correspondence which has recently taken place between Messrs. Clarkson and Hughes, and below, we give the correspondence between the committees, who made the necessary arrangements for carrying on this discussion.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. Our first proposition to you was, that in the discussion of the questions joined between Mr. Hughes and Mr. Clarkson—that the party having the affirmative of each issue to maintain—shall be entitled to all rules of Logic, Law, and common sense.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We propose that F. W. Hughes, Esq. have the affirmative side of the question upon each issue offered by him, and that J. G. Clarkson, Esq. be entitled to the affirmative side of the question upon each issue proposed by him.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. On our first page will be found the correspondence which has recently taken place between Messrs. Clarkson and Hughes, and below, we give the correspondence between the committees, who made the necessary arrangements for carrying on this discussion.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. Our first proposition to you was, that in the discussion of the questions joined between Mr. Hughes and Mr. Clarkson—that the party having the affirmative of each issue to maintain—shall be entitled to all rules of Logic, Law, and common sense.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We propose that F. W. Hughes, Esq. have the affirmative side of the question upon each issue offered by him, and that J. G. Clarkson, Esq. be entitled to the affirmative side of the question upon each issue proposed by him.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. On our first page will be found the correspondence which has recently taken place between Messrs. Clarkson and Hughes, and below, we give the correspondence between the committees, who made the necessary arrangements for carrying on this discussion.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. Our first proposition to you was, that in the discussion of the questions joined between Mr. Hughes and Mr. Clarkson—that the party having the affirmative of each issue to maintain—shall be entitled to all rules of Logic, Law, and common sense.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We propose that F. W. Hughes, Esq. have the affirmative side of the question upon each issue offered by him, and that J. G. Clarkson, Esq. be entitled to the affirmative side of the question upon each issue proposed by him.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. On our first page will be found the correspondence which has recently taken place between Messrs. Clarkson and Hughes, and below, we give the correspondence between the committees, who made the necessary arrangements for carrying on this discussion.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. Our first proposition to you was, that in the discussion of the questions joined between Mr. Hughes and Mr. Clarkson—that the party having the affirmative of each issue to maintain—shall be entitled to all rules of Logic, Law, and common sense.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We propose that F. W. Hughes, Esq. have the affirmative side of the question upon each issue offered by him, and that J. G. Clarkson, Esq. be entitled to the affirmative side of the question upon each issue proposed by him.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

THE DISCUSSION ON THE TARIFF. We understand our proposition in your last communication to be that Mr. Hughes shall have the beginning and the conclusion on the proposition we claim him to be entitled to have, and that Mr. Clarkson shall speak on their proposition as long as he may choose, and that Mr. Hughes may occupy as much time as he may desire in speaking.

ORWIGSBURG CLAY CLUB. At a meeting of the Orwigsburg Clay Club, held at the Whig Reading Room, on the 16th inst., the following officers were elected for the ensuing three months:

President, JEREMIAH REED, Esq. Vice Presidents, JOHN H. HILL, DANIEL S. BRADDOCK, ANDREW DEIBERT, WM. R. BRADDOCK.

Recording Secretary, JOHN W. ROSEBERG, Esq. Corresponding Secretary, JOHN BANMAN, Esq. Treasurer, JOHN MOYER.

Executive Committee, Jas. H. Graef, Esq., John C. Kelsey, Isaac D. Priel, Elijah Hammer, Samuel Leffer.

Committee of Vigilance, Jacob Hanner, Jacob Mathews, William H. Hill, Linson Walker, J. P. Schart, Esq., James K. Griffith, Jacob Schuber, William Garrett, Michael Linder, Danl. K. Graef.

In future the meetings of the Club will be held on Tuesday evening of each week. W. L. WHITNEY, Rec. Sec.

Mr. Bush, the former, retained editor and proprietor of the Baltimore Republican, the only Lochofesco paper in Baltimore, has renounced Lochofescism, and intends publishing a Penny Whig paper in that city.

To the Editor of the Miners' Journal. HARRISBURG, July 17th, 1844. The Locos made another attempt to raise a meeting here on Saturday last. It was announced by the friends of the Locos in the county, for weeks previous, and all their efforts were used, in order to arouse the Polkites out of their slumber, and bring them forth to the meeting, but all proved unavailing.

WISCONSIN.—A deal of mischief and misery is not unrequently done in families, by the interference of relatives between man and wife, and in many instances the unhappiness of a married couple's existence is owing to the malignity of mistaken kindness of their friends.

There is a degree of intimacy and communion of thought and feeling between a wife and her husband, which cannot remain unbroken after marriage. Pure and beautiful as is the tie of sisterhood, it is not right that it should continue in all its strictness and exclusiveness when marriage has divided them; for the husband has still stronger claims upon his wife, and it is impossible this can exist unbroken if the tie of sisterhood is retained in all its former power.

Corrected carefully for the JOURNAL. Wheat Flour, per Bbl. \$4 25. Corn, do. 1 00. Oats, do. 30 00. Timothy Hay, do. 2 50. Butter, do. 12 00. Lard, do. 10 00. Sugar, do. 10 00. Tea, do. 10 00. Coffee, do. 10 00. Rice, do. 10 00. Beans, do. 10 00. Peas, do. 10 00. Potatoes, do. 10 00. Apples, do. 10 00.

At Fishing Creek, Columbia County, on the 29th ult. by Abraham W. Riddle, Esq., the Rev. James Street Hays, Recur of the Universalist Church at Catskill, and Miss Abigail Riddle, daughter of John Riddle, of Columbus, Lewis County, N. Y.

LITERARY ASSOCIATION.—All the young men are respectfully invited to attend the Town Hall, on Monday evening next, July 22, at 8 o'clock. By order of the Association.