

MINEERS' JOURNAL,

AND POTTSVILLE GENERAL ADVERTISER.

I will teach you to pierce the bowels of the Earth and bring out from the Caverns of the Mountains, Metals which will give strength to our Hands and subject all Nature to our course and pleasure.—DR JOHNSON.

Weekly by Benjamin Bannan, Pottsville, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania.

VOL. XVI.

SATURDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER 26, 1840.

NO. 39

Terms of Publication.

Two Dollars per annum, payable semi-annual in advance. If not paid within the year, \$3.50 will be charged.
Papers delivered by the Post Rider will be charged 25 cents extra.
Advertisements not exceeding twelve lines will be charged \$1 for three insertions and 50 cents for one insertion. Larger ones in proportion.
All advertisements will be inserted until ordered out unless the time for which they are to be continued is specified, and will be charged accordingly.
Yearly advertisers will be charged \$3 per annum, including subscription to the paper—with the privilege of keeping one advertisement not exceeding 2 squares standing during the year, and the insertion of a smaller one in each paper for three successive times.
All letters addressed to the editor must be post paid otherwise no attention will be paid to them.
All notices for meetings, &c. and other notices which have heretofore been inserted gratis, will be charged 25 cents each, except Marriages and Deaths.

PENNSYLVANIA HALL.

POTTSVILLE, SCHUYLKILL CO., PA.
This elegant and commodious establishment will be open for the reception of travellers from this date. It has been completely refitted, and supplied with Furniture entirely new; the Bedding &c. is of the first quality, and particular attention has been devoted to every arrangement that can contribute to comfort and convenience.
The Wines and Liquors have been selected in the most careful and liberal manner, without regard to expense or labor, and will embrace the most favorite brand and stock.
The Proprietor solicits therefore, the support of his friends and the travelling community in general. Should they think proper to visit his house, he hopes by an assiduous attention to their wants, to establish for it such a character, as may ensure a return of their favors.

RAIL ROAD IRON.

A complete assortment of Rail Road Iron from 2 1/2 to 12 1/2 inch RAIL ROAD TIRES from 33 in. to 56 in. external diameter, turned & unturned.
RAIL ROAD AXLES, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82, 84, 86, 88, 90, 92, 94, 96, 98, 100, 102, 104, 106, 108, 110, 112, 114, 116, 118, 120, 122, 124, 126, 128, 130, 132, 134, 136, 138, 140, 142, 144, 146, 148, 150, 152, 154, 156, 158, 160, 162, 164, 166, 168, 170, 172, 174, 176, 178, 180, 182, 184, 186, 188, 190, 192, 194, 196, 198, 200, 202, 204, 206, 208, 210, 212, 214, 216, 218, 220, 222, 224, 226, 228, 230, 232, 234, 236, 238, 240, 242, 244, 246, 248, 250, 252, 254, 256, 258, 260, 262, 264, 266, 268, 270, 272, 274, 276, 278, 280, 282, 284, 286, 288, 290, 292, 294, 296, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 308, 310, 312, 314, 316, 318, 320, 322, 324, 326, 328, 330, 332, 334, 336, 338, 340, 342, 344, 346, 348, 350, 352, 354, 356, 358, 360, 362, 364, 366, 368, 370, 372, 374, 376, 378, 380, 382, 384, 386, 388, 390, 392, 394, 396, 398, 400, 402, 404, 406, 408, 410, 412, 414, 416, 418, 420, 422, 424, 426, 428, 430, 432, 434, 436, 438, 440, 442, 444, 446, 448, 450, 452, 454, 456, 458, 460, 462, 464, 466, 468, 470, 472, 474, 476, 478, 480, 482, 484, 486, 488, 490, 492, 494, 496, 498, 500, 502, 504, 506, 508, 510, 512, 514, 516, 518, 520, 522, 524, 526, 528, 530, 532, 534, 536, 538, 540, 542, 544, 546, 548, 550, 552, 554, 556, 558, 560, 562, 564, 566, 568, 570, 572, 574, 576, 578, 580, 582, 584, 586, 588, 590, 592, 594, 596, 598, 600, 602, 604, 606, 608, 610, 612, 614, 616, 618, 620, 622, 624, 626, 628, 630, 632, 634, 636, 638, 640, 642, 644, 646, 648, 650, 652, 654, 656, 658, 660, 662, 664, 666, 668, 670, 672, 674, 676, 678, 680, 682, 684, 686, 688, 690, 692, 694, 696, 698, 700, 702, 704, 706, 708, 710, 712, 714, 716, 718, 720, 722, 724, 726, 728, 730, 732, 734, 736, 738, 740, 742, 744, 746, 748, 750, 752, 754, 756, 758, 760, 762, 764, 766, 768, 770, 772, 774, 776, 778, 780, 782, 784, 786, 788, 790, 792, 794, 796, 798, 800, 802, 804, 806, 808, 810, 812, 814, 816, 818, 820, 822, 824, 826, 828, 830, 832, 834, 836, 838, 840, 842, 844, 846, 848, 850, 852, 854, 856, 858, 860, 862, 864, 866, 868, 870, 872, 874, 876, 878, 880, 882, 884, 886, 888, 890, 892, 894, 896, 898, 900, 902, 904, 906, 908, 910, 912, 914, 916, 918, 920, 922, 924, 926, 928, 930, 932, 934, 936, 938, 940, 942, 944, 946, 948, 950, 952, 954, 956, 958, 960, 962, 964, 966, 968, 970, 972, 974, 976, 978, 980, 982, 984, 986, 988, 990, 992, 994, 996, 998, 1000.

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The right of the People to write and to speak openly and freely upon all matters of public policy is the palladium of all civil and religious liberty. The authors of our Constitution must have known that it would be subject to abuses, to be used for improper purposes, and indeed sometimes for criminal purposes, yet they declared it without restriction. More than half a century has passed away since it came into operation, and although, upon one memorable occasion, it was resorted to for the purpose of giving effect to councils tending to paralyze the efforts of the nation, in the midst of a dangerous war, and to encourage the enemy to persevere in supporting their unjust pretensions, still these declarations of rights in relation to writing, speaking, and publishing, have been suffered to remain in all their pristine force. I should be the last person who could, under any circumstances, consent to restrict them by legal enactments. I must, however, use this occasion to repeat, what I have before declared, that the discussion of the right of one portion of the States, which compose our Union, to hold slaves, by an assemblage of citizens of other States, which hold none, is in my opinion not sanctioned by the spirit of the Constitution. If it is tolerated by the broad and unrestricted declaration in the Constitution, to which I have referred, it is forbidden by the general tenor of that instrument, and the fundamental principle of the Government which it has established. Our Government is certainly one of a very complicated character—difficult in some of its aspects to be well understood. To foreign Governments it presents, and was intended to present, a power clothed with the most important attributes of sovereignty, and so far as our relations with them may be concerned, they are to see nothing beyond that which is described in our glorious motto, "E Pluribus Unum." We are, however, not "one," in the sense that it would be understood, if applied to other nations which have been formed from once dis-jointed and separate parts. Our Union is not that which, like marriage, merges the whole rights of the parties in a common stock. We are not

Anthracite Pie Plates and Bread Pans.

JUST received on consignment, a supply of Anthracite Pie Plates and Bread Pans from Messrs. Steward's Foundry, Danville, made from the Rolling Creek Iron. They are a superior article, and better calculated for baking Pies and Bread than any other articles heretofore in use.
B. BANNAN, 36—

Theological Works for Sale.

By the Rev. I. D. Williamson of Baltimore, embracing the Unity of God as held by Universalists.—The Atonement—Death of Christ—Punishment and duration of Punishment—Forgiveness of Sins—Judgement—Nature of Salvation—Repentance—Resurrection and Destruction of death. This work is intended to disabuse the public mind, in regard to the many misrepresentations an industriously circulated against this body of Christians. Price 50 cents.
September 5

THE PLAIN GUIDE TO UNIVERSALISM.

By the Rev. Thomas W. Whitmore of Boston, work designed to lead enquirers to the truth of that doctrine by Bible testimony and believers to the practice of its benevolent principles. The following matter is contained in this work:
Who are Universalists?
What do Universalists believe?
What evidences do Universalists adduce from the Scriptures in support of their belief in the eventual holiness and happiness of all mankind?
Passages from the Old and New Testament explained, which are adduced to disprove their sentiments.
Popular objections to Universalism explained, and the evidences of revealed Religion presented. Price 1 dollar.
For sale at the Stores of Samuel Hartz and J. S. Morris & Brothers. 27—

Resumption of Business.

CARPET WEAVING, &c.
THE subscriber returns his grateful thanks to the citizens of Pottsville and others for their liberal support, and would inform the public generally, that he has again commenced the Carpet Weaving in the house formerly occupied by Joseph Brooks in centre street, nearly opposite to the Cross Keys Tavern, in the Borough of Pottsville.
GEORGE HEATON, 34—

REFINED WHALE OIL.

2000 Gallons, just received and for sale by E. A. HATHAWAY & Co. Comm. Merchants, 13 south Front Philadelphia, August, 32—

For Sale.

10,000 feet 2 inch Hemlock Plank, 30,000 Hemlock Lumber which can be sawed to suit purchasers 600 to 700 feet 2 inch Ash plank.
Also, a Steam Engine, made by Messrs. Haywood & Co. of Philadelphia, of 15 horse power. The above can be had on reasonable terms for Cash, by applying to David Chittas at the Mines of the North American Coal Company, where the Engine can be seen. DAVID CHITTAS, 3—

Wanted.

A YOUNG MAN as Salesman, and also a Boy from 12 to 15 years old, to attend in the Store House, one who can speak Dutch would be preferred, none need apply but such as can give unexceptionable references as to character &c.
JOSEPH WHITE & SON, 37—

Morus Mucilaculosus.

FOR SALE OR BARTER.
A LOT of Morus Mucilaculosus now growing and in a flourishing condition, many of the Trees have at present attained the height of over 60 feet. For further particulars, enquire at the office of the *Mineers Journal*, September 12, 37—3

New York Root Certificates.

JUST printed and for sale by B. BANNAN, 35—

Sperm Oil.

BLEACHED and unbleached Sperm Oil of superior quality, for sale by E. Q. & A. HENDERSON, 37—

Reduction of Prices.

NOTICE is hereby given that the subscriber has determined heretofore to reduce his prices, to suit the times, for all kinds of Clothing manufactured at his establishment, in Mahaningo street near Centre Street. The best Cloth Coat will be made to order, at prices varying from \$3.30 to \$15.00, Pantaloons for 75 cents to \$1.00. Vests do. All other work at similar reduced rates. Being in the receipt of the quarterly Reports of Fashion, he will warrant his work to be executed equal to any in the Borough, and in the most fashionable style.
JAMES McALARNY, 31—

New Store.

THE Spectators, under the firm of Grant, Carney & Co. have opened a Store in the building lately occupied by N. Nathan, on Centre near Market Street, where they have for sale a good selection of Dry Goods, Groceries, Hardware and Queensware, which they intend to sell at satisfactory prices for Cash or in exchange for Country Produce.
GRANT, CARNEY & CO., 31—

Stray Cow.

STRAYED away from the Subscriber, several weeks ago, a small BRINDLE COW, about four years old. Whoever will return said cow to the subscriber, or give him information where he can get her again, shall be reasonably rewarded.
WILLIAM AUDEBERID, 35—3

Wanted Immediately.

SEVERAL good Pantaloons and Vest makers. Apply to LIPPINCOTT & TAYLOR, Corner of Centre & Mahaningo st. August 8, 32—

Cheese.

10 CASES prime dairy Cheese, for sale by MILLER & HAGGERTY, 25—

New York Smoked Beef.

JUST received an additional supply of this superior article. For sale by T. & J. BEATTY, Dec 7, 49—

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The right of the People to write and to speak openly and freely upon all matters of public policy is the palladium of all civil and religious liberty. The authors of our Constitution must have known that it would be subject to abuses, to be used for improper purposes, and indeed sometimes for criminal purposes, yet they declared it without restriction. More than half a century has passed away since it came into operation, and although, upon one memorable occasion, it was resorted to for the purpose of giving effect to councils tending to paralyze the efforts of the nation, in the midst of a dangerous war, and to encourage the enemy to persevere in supporting their unjust pretensions, still these declarations of rights in relation to writing, speaking, and publishing, have been suffered to remain in all their pristine force. I should be the last person who could, under any circumstances, consent to restrict them by legal enactments. I must, however, use this occasion to repeat, what I have before declared, that the discussion of the right of one portion of the States, which compose our Union, to hold slaves, by an assemblage of citizens of other States, which hold none, is in my opinion not sanctioned by the spirit of the Constitution. If it is tolerated by the broad and unrestricted declaration in the Constitution, to which I have referred, it is forbidden by the general tenor of that instrument, and the fundamental principle of the Government which it has established. Our Government is certainly one of a very complicated character—difficult in some of its aspects to be well understood. To foreign Governments it presents, and was intended to present, a power clothed with the most important attributes of sovereignty, and so far as our relations with them may be concerned, they are to see nothing beyond that which is described in our glorious motto, "E Pluribus Unum." We are, however, not "one," in the sense that it would be understood, if applied to other nations which have been formed from once dis-jointed and separate parts. Our Union is not that which, like marriage, merges the whole rights of the parties in a common stock. We are not

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The right of the People to write and to speak openly and freely upon all matters of public policy is the palladium of all civil and religious liberty. The authors of our Constitution must have known that it would be subject to abuses, to be used for improper purposes, and indeed sometimes for criminal purposes, yet they declared it without restriction. More than half a century has passed away since it came into operation, and although, upon one memorable occasion, it was resorted to for the purpose of giving effect to councils tending to paralyze the efforts of the nation, in the midst of a dangerous war, and to encourage the enemy to persevere in supporting their unjust pretensions, still these declarations of rights in relation to writing, speaking, and publishing, have been suffered to remain in all their pristine force. I should be the last person who could, under any circumstances, consent to restrict them by legal enactments. I must, however, use this occasion to repeat, what I have before declared, that the discussion of the right of one portion of the States, which compose our Union, to hold slaves, by an assemblage of citizens of other States, which hold none, is in my opinion not sanctioned by the spirit of the Constitution. If it is tolerated by the broad and unrestricted declaration in the Constitution, to which I have referred, it is forbidden by the general tenor of that instrument, and the fundamental principle of the Government which it has established. Our Government is certainly one of a very complicated character—difficult in some of its aspects to be well understood. To foreign Governments it presents, and was intended to present, a power clothed with the most important attributes of sovereignty, and so far as our relations with them may be concerned, they are to see nothing beyond that which is described in our glorious motto, "E Pluribus Unum." We are, however, not "one," in the sense that it would be understood, if applied to other nations which have been formed from once dis-jointed and separate parts. Our Union is not that which, like marriage, merges the whole rights of the parties in a common stock. We are not

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The right of the People to write and to speak openly and freely upon all matters of public policy is the palladium of all civil and religious liberty. The authors of our Constitution must have known that it would be subject to abuses, to be used for improper purposes, and indeed sometimes for criminal purposes, yet they declared it without restriction. More than half a century has passed away since it came into operation, and although, upon one memorable occasion, it was resorted to for the purpose of giving effect to councils tending to paralyze the efforts of the nation, in the midst of a dangerous war, and to encourage the enemy to persevere in supporting their unjust pretensions, still these declarations of rights in relation to writing, speaking, and publishing, have been suffered to remain in all their pristine force. I should be the last person who could, under any circumstances, consent to restrict them by legal enactments. I must, however, use this occasion to repeat, what I have before declared, that the discussion of the right of one portion of the States, which compose our Union, to hold slaves, by an assemblage of citizens of other States, which hold none, is in my opinion not sanctioned by the spirit of the Constitution. If it is tolerated by the broad and unrestricted declaration in the Constitution, to which I have referred, it is forbidden by the general tenor of that instrument, and the fundamental principle of the Government which it has established. Our Government is certainly one of a very complicated character—difficult in some of its aspects to be well understood. To foreign Governments it presents, and was intended to present, a power clothed with the most important attributes of sovereignty, and so far as our relations with them may be concerned, they are to see nothing beyond that which is described in our glorious motto, "E Pluribus Unum." We are, however, not "one," in the sense that it would be understood, if applied to other nations which have been formed from once dis-jointed and separate parts. Our Union is not that which, like marriage, merges the whole rights of the parties in a common stock. We are not

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The right of the People to write and to speak openly and freely upon all matters of public policy is the palladium of all civil and religious liberty. The authors of our Constitution must have known that it would be subject to abuses, to be used for improper purposes, and indeed sometimes for criminal purposes, yet they declared it without restriction. More than half a century has passed away since it came into operation, and although, upon one memorable occasion, it was resorted to for the purpose of giving effect to councils tending to paralyze the efforts of the nation, in the midst of a dangerous war, and to encourage the enemy to persevere in supporting their unjust pretensions, still these declarations of rights in relation to writing, speaking, and publishing, have been suffered to remain in all their pristine force. I should be the last person who could, under any circumstances, consent to restrict them by legal enactments. I must, however, use this occasion to repeat, what I have before declared, that the discussion of the right of one portion of the States, which compose our Union, to hold slaves, by an assemblage of citizens of other States, which hold none, is in my opinion not sanctioned by the spirit of the Constitution. If it is tolerated by the broad and unrestricted declaration in the Constitution, to which I have referred, it is forbidden by the general tenor of that instrument, and the fundamental principle of the Government which it has established. Our Government is certainly one of a very complicated character—difficult in some of its aspects to be well understood. To foreign Governments it presents, and was intended to present, a power clothed with the most important attributes of sovereignty, and so far as our relations with them may be concerned, they are to see nothing beyond that which is described in our glorious motto, "E Pluribus Unum." We are, however, not "one," in the sense that it would be understood, if applied to other nations which have been formed from once dis-jointed and separate parts. Our Union is not that which, like marriage, merges the whole rights of the parties in a common stock. We are not

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The right of the People to write and to speak openly and freely upon all matters of public policy is the palladium of all civil and religious liberty. The authors of our Constitution must have known that it would be subject to abuses, to be used for improper purposes, and indeed sometimes for criminal purposes, yet they declared it without restriction. More than half a century has passed away since it came into operation, and although, upon one memorable occasion, it was resorted to for the purpose of giving effect to councils tending to paralyze the efforts of the nation, in the midst of a dangerous war, and to encourage the enemy to persevere in supporting their unjust pretensions, still these declarations of rights in relation to writing, speaking, and publishing, have been suffered to remain in all their pristine force. I should be the last person who could, under any circumstances, consent to restrict them by legal enactments. I must, however, use this occasion to repeat, what I have before declared, that the discussion of the right of one portion of the States, which compose our Union, to hold slaves, by an assemblage of citizens of other States, which hold none, is in my opinion not sanctioned by the spirit of the Constitution. If it is tolerated by the broad and unrestricted declaration in the Constitution, to which I have referred, it is forbidden by the general tenor of that instrument, and the fundamental principle of the Government which it has established. Our Government is certainly one of a very complicated character—difficult in some of its aspects to be well understood. To foreign Governments it presents, and was intended to present, a power clothed with the most important attributes of sovereignty, and so far as our relations with them may be concerned, they are to see nothing beyond that which is described in our glorious motto, "E Pluribus Unum." We are, however, not "one," in the sense that it would be understood, if applied to other nations which have been formed from once dis-jointed and separate parts. Our Union is not that which, like marriage, merges the whole rights of the parties in a common stock. We are not

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The right of the People to write and to speak openly and freely upon all matters of public policy is the palladium of all civil and religious liberty. The authors of our Constitution must have known that it would be subject to abuses, to be used for improper purposes, and indeed sometimes for criminal purposes, yet they declared it without restriction. More than half a century has passed away since it came into operation, and although, upon one memorable occasion, it was resorted to for the purpose of giving effect to councils tending to paralyze the efforts of the nation, in the midst of a dangerous war, and to encourage the enemy to persevere in supporting their unjust pretensions, still these declarations of rights in relation to writing, speaking, and publishing, have been suffered to remain in all their pristine force. I should be the last person who could, under any circumstances, consent to restrict them by legal enactments. I must, however, use this occasion to repeat, what I have before declared, that the discussion of the right of one portion of the States, which compose our Union, to hold slaves, by an assemblage of citizens of other States, which hold none, is in my opinion not sanctioned by the spirit of the Constitution. If it is tolerated by the broad and unrestricted declaration in the Constitution, to which I have referred, it is forbidden by the general tenor of that instrument, and the fundamental principle of the Government which it has established. Our Government is certainly one of a very complicated character—difficult in some of its aspects to be well understood. To foreign Governments it presents, and was intended to present, a power clothed with the most important attributes of sovereignty, and so far as our relations with them may be concerned, they are to see nothing beyond that which is described in our glorious motto, "E Pluribus Unum." We are, however, not "one," in the sense that it would be understood, if applied to other nations which have been formed from once dis-jointed and separate parts. Our Union is not that which, like marriage, merges the whole rights of the parties in a common stock. We are not

General Harrison on Abolition and the right of Petition.

The Cincinnati Republican publishes the following report of the Remarks made by General HARRISON at Carthage, Ohio, on the 20th ult. in reference to the subjects mentioned at the head of this article:
"Having received a letter from a personal friend, who is a member of an abolition society, proposing to me two questions, which he requested me to answer; but having from necessity, arising from the absolute impossibility of answering the numerous letters I receive requiring my opinion upon political subjects, declined to answer any from individual, I will only embrace the opportunity of answering them which this occasion has given me, without violating the rule I have found myself under the necessity of adopting."
The questions are the following, viz:
1st. Do you believe the People of the United States have an unrestricted right to discuss any subject that to them may seem worthy of consideration?
2d. Do you believe the People of the United States have the right to petition their Legislature for the redress of whatever they may deem a grievance, and for the adoption of such measures as the petitioners may think conducive to the welfare of the nation?
I do not hesitate to answer both of these questions in the affirmative. The Constitution of the United States, and that of our own State, have secured to the People the enjoyment of the rights referred to in both questions, entirely unrestricted by their own sense of propriety and the legal rules which protect the rights of others. The freedom of speech, of the press, and the distinguishing characteristics of free government. Without them we might call our country a Republic, but it would be so only in name; like that of Rome, under the emperors, it might be a mask to cover the most horrible despotism. The