

ADDRESS TO THE DEMOCRATIC CENTRAL COMMITTEE.

By the People of Pennsylvania.

In a government like ours, where the sovereign power is practically, as well as theoretically, vested in the people, the highest political duty that devolves upon the citizen is to take a proper part in all that concerns the public weal.

In ancient Greece there was a law, which compelled every citizen, under a penalty, to declare his sentiments upon all public questions. Here there is no such law, but it is, therefore, not regarded in the citizen to declare his sentiments in regard to public measures and public men, and who fails to do so, does not discharge his duty to his country.

It becomes a patriot and good citizen. The price of the liberty we enjoy is the soil and blood of the patriots of the Revolution, and the admirable institutions by which our rights are secured, are the result of their patriotism and wisdom.

How can any man who has a mind to perceive the true character of the party to which he belongs, and who wishes to realize and feel what the men, arms, and women, too, of the Revolution engaged to secure for us, be indifferent to the preservation of the government, or to the preservation of the holy heritage? If there be such a man, he is unworthy of the land of the free and the home of the brave.

But where there is no law, many an unworthy man, who views the rights they possess as an inheritance, and who regards the public good as a thing to be done for him, and not as a duty which he owes to his fellow-citizens, will be found to be unworthy of the land of the free and the home of the brave.

These characters are generally the first to go wrong, and are the loudest in their condemnation of the wrongs of the government, and the loudest in their praise of the wrongs of the government.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

On the 23rd of March, Mr. Williamson, a Federal member from Chester county, read in his public office an act, to incorporate the Pennsylvania National Bank, and to provide a sinking fund for the public debt.

This measure was not only a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents, but it was a violation of the trust reposed in him by his constituents.

THE DAILY MORNING POST.

MONDAY MORNING, AUGUST 2, 1847.

DEMOCRATIC NOMINATIONS.

FOR GOVERNOR, FRANCIS K. SHUNK, OF CHESTER.

FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER, MORRIS LONGSTRATE, OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY.

SENATE—ALEX. BLACK, OF CHESTER.

ASSEMBLY—JAMES B. SAWYER, OF CHESTER.

JOSEPH COOPER, OF CHESTER.

JOHN C. DAVITT, OF CHESTER.

DONALDSON, OF CHESTER.

EDWARD M. COCKLE, OF CHESTER.

THE ADDRESS.

We would call the special attention of our readers to the address of Mr. Francis K. Shunk, read in his public office on the 23rd of March last.

It is a clear and most satisfactory view of our State policy, and presents the claims of Governor Shunk, to the favorable consideration of his fellow-citizens.

We wish that it may be read by every free man of Pennsylvania, being convinced that all, after reading it, would be satisfied that he has done the State good service.

Mr. Davis will not answer.

Our readers will remember that a correspondent who signed himself "Morgan," addressed a resolution to the Democratic Central Committee, in relation to the sale of certain real estate to the Masonic Lodge of this city, a few years since.

The questions were couched in respectful language, and were by no means impudent. Mr. Davis is a candidate for the office of Governor, and he is a member of the lodge.

It is to the honor of Mr. Davis's transaction, that we have to say, that he has been on hand for a few days, but crowded out by a press of other matters. It will be seen that he volunteers to answer for Mr. Davis, but that with success we leave those to judge who will be the most successful.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

LOCAL MATTERS.

RESULT OF A MURDER CASE.—There has been some controversy of late in the papers in relation to the fact of a certain witness, on the night of the murder of the Lemmon Tree House, Liberty street. A young man named Benjamin Wilson, (son of Wilson of the Shakespear House), was struck with a mace by Watchman Kelly, during the affray, and lingered at his lodgings in the Virginia House, from the wounds inflicted, until Saturday morning last, when he expired.

Coroner Richardson was called, who summoned a Jury, and an inquest was held, the result of which will be found below.

We give a brief abstract of the testimony elicited during the investigation.

E. H. Huntley, sworn. I was coming along 7th street, on Sunday morning, when I heard the cry of "watch." I found that it came from the Lemmon Tree House. There were nine or ten young men in the house, but some watchmen came up. The watchmen tried to get the young men to go away. After a time the boys went off, but some of them halted back. They stood at a corner about two minutes, when Kelly came to the other window, and saw that the boys were there. I saw the light at the corner of 7th and Smithfield. I saw Wilson in his shirt sleeves, with three watchmen after him. I heard the blow of a club, and saw Kelly strike Wilson with a mace. I saw Wilson fall, and saw that he had been struck by the mace. I saw that he had been struck by the mace.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

FOR TELEGRAPHIC DESPATCHES, AND OTHER MATTER, SEE NEXT PAGE.

WE HAVE heard the name of John B. Bots, suggested as the Speaker of the House in the next Congress, by the members of the party who are in the majority in the occupation of that high office.

It is possible that there is a dose of Whiggery in the mind of the speaker of the House, but it is not to be wondered at, for the Whigs are a party of the people for an important office, and the voters of this county have the right to inquire into his conduct. But as that gentleman has always been celebrated for low cunning and double dealing, and as he has been a member of the same party as the Whigs, we do not think that he is a fit person to be Speaker of the House.

It is to the honor of Mr. Davis's transaction, that we have to say, that he has been on hand for a few days, but crowded out by a press of other matters. It will be seen that he volunteers to answer for Mr. Davis, but that with success we leave those to judge who will be the most successful.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

Mr. Davis is a private citizen, whose name has never been alluded to in connection with the matter. It is a matter of course, that he should be held responsible for his own conduct, and that he should be held responsible for his own conduct.

NEW BOOKS.

USSELL, A Tale of the Reign of Charles 2d. By G. P. R. James, Esq. Flaversham Personals; No. 2 of this beautiful work received.

Rural Centurion; the Greenwood series, comprising the history of the British Empire, from the reign of Henry 1st. to the present time. By Mrs. E. F. Patten, Mrs. E. Sheridan. Rival Children, a tale of Mexico. Laver, No. 102.

Countess of the Days of Charles 2d, by Mrs. Gore. Ellen Mason, her life and times. Shakespear and his friends. Memoirs of the Military Chief, and of the Life of John A. Murray. Year of Consolation by Frank Kemble. Eastern Papers, Magazine, No. 102.

Received by WM. S. CALDWELL, No. 102, Market street, Philadelphia.

A Most Extraordinary Case. THE REV. J. O. GILBERT, a Methodist pastor, who was killed by a horse, on the 10th of August, 1847. Dear Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your kind letter, and in reply to inform you that the book is now in the hands of the printer, and will be ready for sale in a few days.

It will be observed from the above notice of the case, that the witness failed to contradict each other in material points. There will be an examination before the Mayor to-day, when the case will be disposed of.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

Mr. Taylor's report to "Candor" will be found on page 10.

EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE.

AND GET YOUR TICKETS, MORE AND MORE, FROM THE OFFICE OF THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

COMMERCIAL RECORD.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.

PAID UP BY THE EMIGRATION AND REMITTANCE OFFICE, No. 114 South Second Street, Philadelphia.