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 the proposed attack by Congress upontheir cherished inotitution. The femele
portion, it is mported. have beld a meet portion, inannimously declaned that their
ing andition is far surerior to any other.
 stone tho great African adventenner and
explorere, who has so long been an exile in explorer, who has se lad ceenibals After
the e and of reptes and anner
the recent cheering annouucement that the previons report of his death was incorrect, and chat Ecien weonderful explora-
the fall beneft of his
tions, it is sad indeed to think that he has at hat fallen a victin to heathen super
stititon. He is said to hare ben luyred
ag a wizard. Hopes are still entertaiued as a wizard. Hopers are
that the report is talse. before The case of Judge Huar was urit
before tenate on Thursday last, and
he bas been finally rjected. The Presi. he bas been finally rejected. The Presi-
dent must consider that it is a dirret
thrust at him, and we shall be a little cuthrous to coarn the final result. Mr. Hoar anter learniug his fate, visited the Presi-
dent, and expressed a desire to retiriv from but wasenarnestly reruyested to remaiin
and boon after, in auswer to a direct ques tion, Mr. Horar said, + am a going to re
min with the Prosident." The New York Herald wakes a shaip
point in regurd to the Southern states bepoint in regard to the Southern states be-
mig requtred to ratify the fifteenth Amend-
ment as a condition of restoration to the









 fore not in the union when they acted on
the Consiitution, or esle they were nerer out of the Union. Either, then, says the they were not in the union they, eould
participate in making lams for states thet
are in. Nothing butt a radical Con Congess are in. Nothing butt $a$ radicil Congress
conld reconcile such incositences, but
bom thir past reord we are prepared to from their past record we are prepared ty
hare them
stoutls declare that " black" 19 white and demand it
fuith for rpreentitition
Abconding $\mathbf{w a}$
The question of absconding wituesese
has been bronght before the state legislahas been bronght before the state legegsist
ture making it a penal offence for a mit ness to abent himself for the purpose of
defeating the ends of justice. Most cerdefeating the ends of justice. Most cer-
tainly in such eases punithentht should
be seerere, and we shuld thaye a whuleBeome law upon the subbject. But we be
liere that some ssmpathy is due to the many witnesseg who are inder the press
ent system of currts dragged to the counhy seat on the most frivolous maitters tern
after term, for the purpose of telling the court that they do not knom ant any thin
about the muitter at issue, and obtaining a fee sufficienters to defray only about hal
of the expense. Why cannot the system
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st home sith less expense to the country
nore jinstioe to the parties and re velicy
 etion in that direction we beliere monld
thow sigus of civi progress, and greatly
enhanee the best interests of the Common?:
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