

# Montrose

# Democrat

A. J. GERRITSON, Publisher.

MONTROSE, PA., TUESDAY, AUG. 28, 1866.

VOLUME XXIII, NUMBER 35.

## ADDRESS OF THE NATIONAL UNION CONVENTION.

TO THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES:

Having met in Convention, at the city of Philadelphia, in the State of Pennsylvania, this 16th day of August, 1866, as the representatives of the people in all sections, and all the States and Territories of the Union, to consult upon the condition and wants of our country; we address to you this declaration of our principles, and of the political purposes we seek to promote.

Since the meeting of the last National Convention, in the year 1860, events have occurred which have changed the character of our internal politics and given the United States a new place among the nations of the earth. Our government has passed through the vicissitudes and the perils of war—a war which, though mainly sectional in its character, has nevertheless decided political differences that from the beginning of the government had threatened the unity of our national existence, and has left its impress deep and ineffaceable upon all the interests, the sentiments and the destiny of the republic. While it has inflicted upon the whole country severe losses in life and in property, and has imposed burdens which must weigh on its resources for generations to come; it has developed a degree of national courage in the presence of national dangers, a capacity for military organization and achievement, and a devotion on the part of the people to the form of government which they have ordained, and to the principles of liberty which that government was designed to promote, which must confirm the confidence of the nation in the perpetuity of its republican institutions, and command the respect of the civilized world.

Like all great contests which rouse the passions and test the endurance of nations, this war has given new scope to the ambition of political parties and fresh impulse to plans of innovation and reform. Amidst the chaos of conflicting sentiments inseparable from such an era, while the public heart is keenly alive to all the passions that can sway the public judgment and affect the public action; while the wounds of war are still fresh and bleeding on either side, and fears for the future take unjust proportions from the memories and resentments of the past, it is difficult, but an imperative duty which on your behalf we who are here assembled, have undertaken to perform.

For the first time after six long years of alienation and of conflict we have come together from every State and every section of our land as citizens of a common country, under that flag, the symbol again of common glory, to consult together how best to cement and perpetuate that Union which is again the object of our common love, and thus secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity.

In the first place, we invoke you to remember always and everwhere, that the war is ended, and the nation is again at peace. The shock of contending armies no longer assails the shuddering heart of the republic. The insurrection against the supreme authority of the nation has been suppressed, and that authority has been again acknowledged, by word and act, in every State and by every citizen within its jurisdiction. We are no longer required or permitted to regard or treat each other as enemies. Not only have the acts of war been discontinued and the weapons of war laid aside, but the state of war no longer exists, and the sentiments, the passions, the relations of war have no longer lawful or rightful place anywhere throughout our broad domain. We are again people of the United States, fellow-citizens of one country, bound by the duties and obligations of a common patriotism, and having neither rights nor interests apart from a common destiny. The duties that devolve upon us now are again the duties of peace, and no longer the duties of war. We have assembled here to take counsel concerning the interests of peace; to decide how we may most wisely and effectually heal the wounds the war has made, and perfect and perpetuate the benefits it has secured, and the blessings which, under a wise and benign Providence, have sprung up in its fiery track. This is the work, not of passion, but of calm and sober judgment; not of resentment for past offences, prolonged beyond the limits which justice and reason prescribe, but of a liberal statesmanship, which tolerates what it cannot prevent, and builds its plans and its hopes for the future rather upon a community of interest and ambition than upon distrust and the weapons of force.

In the next place we call upon you to recognize in their full significance, and to accept with all their legitimate consequences, the political results of the war just closed. In two most important particulars the victory achieved by the national government has been final and decisive. First, it has established beyond all further controversy, and by the biggest of all human spectacles, the absolute supremacy of the national government as defined and limited by the constitution of the United States, and the permanent integrity and indissolubility of the federal Union as a necessary consequence; and, second, it has put an end finally and forever

to the existence of slavery upon the soil or within the jurisdiction of the United States. Both these points became directly involved in the contest, and controversy upon both was ended absolutely and finally by the result.

In the third place, we deem it of the utmost importance that the real character of the war and the victory by which it was closed should be accurately understood. The war was carried on by the government of the United States in maintenance of its own authority and in defence of its existence, both of which were menaced by the insurrection which it sought to suppress. The suppression of that insurrection accomplished that result. The government of the United States maintained by force of arms the supreme authority over all the territory and over all the States and people within its jurisdiction which the constitution confers upon it; but it acquired thereby no new power, no enlarged jurisdiction, no rights either of territorial possession or of civil authority which it did not possess before the rebellion broke out. All the rightful power it can ever possess is that which is conferred upon it, either in express terms or by fair and necessary implication, by the constitution of the United States. It was that power and that authority which the rebellion sought to overthrow, and the victory of the federal arms was simply the defeat of that attempt. The government of the United States acted throughout the war on the defensive. It sought only to hold possession of what was already its own. Neither the war nor the victory by which it was closed changed in any way the constitution of the United States. The war was carried on by virtue of its provisions, and under its limitations which they prescribe, and the result of the war did not either enlarge, abridge or in any way change or affect the powers it confers upon the federal government, or release that government from the restrictions which it has imposed.

The constitution of the United States is to-day precisely as it was before the war, the "supreme law of the land, anything in the constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding;" and to-day, also, precisely as before the war, all the powers not conferred by the constitution upon the general government, are "reserved to the several States, or to the people thereof."

This position is vindicated not only by the essential nature of our government, and the language and spirit of the constitution, but by all the acts and the language of our government, in all its departments, and at all times, from the outbreak of the rebellion to its final overthrow. In every message and proclamation of the Executive it was explicitly declared that the sole object and purpose of the war was to maintain the authority of the constitution and to preserve the integrity of the Union; and Congress, more than once reiterated this solemn declaration, and added the assurance that whenever this object should be attained the war should cease, and all the States should retain their equal rights and dignity unimpaired. It is only since the war was closed that other rights have been asserted on behalf of one department of the government. It has been claimed by Congress that in addition to the powers conferred upon it by the constitution, the federal government may now claim over the States, the territory and the people involved in the insurrection, the rights of war, and the right of confiscation, the right to abrogate all existing governments, institutions and laws, and to subject the territory conquered and its inhabitants to such laws, regulations and deprivations as the legislative departments may see fit to impose. Under this broad and sweeping claim that clause of the constitution which provides that "no State shall without its consent be deprived of its equal suffrage in the Senate of the United States" has been annulled, and ten States have been refused and are still refused, representation altogether in both branches of Congress. And the Congress in which only a part of the States and of the people of the Union are represented has asserted the right to thus exclude the rest from representation and from all share in making their own laws or choosing their own rulers until they shall comply with such condition and perform such acts as this Congress thus composed may itself prescribe. That right has not only been asserted, but it has been exercised, and is practically enforced at the present time. Nor does it find any support in the theory that the States thus excluded are in rebellion against the government, and are therefore precluded from sharing in its authority. They are not thus in rebellion. They are one and all in an attitude of loyalty towards the government and of sworn allegiance to the constitution of the United States. In no one of them is there the slightest indication of resistance to this authority, or the slightest protest against its just and binding obligation. The condition of renewed loyalty has been officially recognized by solemn proclamation of the Executive Department. The laws of the United States have been extended by Congress over all these States and the people thereof. Federal

courts have been reopened, and federal taxes imposed and levied: and in every respect, except that they are denied representation in Congress and the Electoral College, the States once in rebellion are recognized as holding the same position, as owing the same obligations, and subject to the same duties as the other States of our common Union.

It seems to us, in the exercise of the calmest and most candid judgment we can bring to the subject, that such a claim, so enforced, involves as fatal an overthrow of the authority of the constitution, and as complete a destruction of the government and the Union as that which was sought to be effected by the States and the people in armed insurrection against them both. It cannot escape observation that the power thus asserted to exclude certain States from representation is made to rest wholly in the will and discretion of the Congress that asserts it. It is not made to depend upon any specific conditions or circumstances, nor to be subject to any rules or regulations whatever. The right asserted and exercised is absolute, without qualification or restriction, not confined to States in rebellion, nor to States that have rebelled; it is the right of any Congress in formal possession of legislative authority to exclude any State or States, and any portion of the people thereof, at any time, from representation in Congress and in the Electoral College, of its own discretion and until they shall perform such acts and comply with such conditions as it may dictate. Obviously, the reasons for such exclusion, being wholly within the discretion of Congress, may change as the Congress itself shall change. One Congress may exclude a State from all share in the government for one reason; and that reason removed, the next Congress may exclude it for another. One State may be excluded on one ground to-day, and another may be excluded on the opposite ground to-morrow. Northern ascendancy may exclude Southern States from one Congress; the ascendancy of Western or Southern interest, or of both combined, may exclude the Northern or Eastern States from the next. Improbable as such usurpations may seem, the establishment of such principle now asserted and acted upon by Congress will render them by no means impossible. The character, indeed, the very existence of Congress and the Union is thus made dependent solely and entirely upon the party and sectional exigencies or forbearance of the hour.

We need not stop to show that such action not only finds no warrant in the constitution but is at war with every principle of our government and at war with the very existence of free institutions. It is, indeed, the identical practice which has rendered fruitless all attempts hitherto to establish and maintain free governments in Mexico and the States of South America. Party necessities assert themselves as superior to the fundamental law, which is set aside in reckless obedience to their behests. Stability, whether in the exercise of power, or in the administration of government or in the enjoyment of rights becomes impossible; and the conflicts of party, which under constitutional governments are the conditions and means of political progress, are merged in the conflicts of arms, to which they directly and inevitably tend.

It was against this peril so conspicuous and so fatal to all free governments that our constitution was intended especially to provide. Not only the stability but the very existence of the government is made by its provisions to depend upon the right and the fact of representation. The Congress, upon which is conferred all the legislative power of the national government, consists of two branches, the senate and House of Representatives, whose joint concurrence or assent is essential to the validity of any law. Of these the House of Representatives, says the constitution, (article 1, section 2) "shall be composed of members chosen every second year by the people of the several States." Not only is the right of representation thus recognized as possessed by all the States and by every State, without restriction, qualification or condition of any kind, but the duty of choosing representatives is imposed upon the people of each and every State alike, without distinction or the authority to make distinctions among them, for any reason or upon any grounds whatever. And in the Senate so careful is the constitution to secure to every State this right of representation it is expressly provided that "no State shall, without its consent, be deprived of its equal suffrage" in that body, even by an amendment of the constitution itself. When, therefore, any State is excluded from such representation, not only is a right of a State denied, but the constitutional integrity of the government itself is brought in question. But Congress at the present moment thus excludes from representation, in both branches of Congress, ten States of the Union, denying them all share in the enactment of laws by which they are to be governed, and all participation in the election of the rulers by which those laws are to be enforced. In other words, a Congress in which only twenty-six States are represented, asserts the right to govern, absolutely, and in its

own discretion, all the thirty-six States which compose the Union—to make their laws and choose their rulers, and to exclude the other ten from all share in their own government until it sees fit to admit them thereto. What is there to distinguish the power thus asserted and exercised from the most absolute and intolerable tyranny?

Nor do these extravagant and unjust claims on the part of Congress to powers and authority never conferred upon the government by the constitution find any warrant in the arguments or excuses urged on their behalf. It is alleged,

First—That these States, by the act of rebellion and voluntarily withdrawing their members from Congress, forfeited their right of representation, and that they can only receive it again at the hands of the supreme legislative authority of the government, on its own terms and at its own discretion. If representation in Congress and participation in the government were simply privileges conferred and held by favor, this statement might have the merit of plausibility. But representation is, under the Constitution, not only expressly recognized as a right, but it is imposed as a duty; and it is essential in both aspects to the existence of the government and to the maintenance of its authority. In free governments fundamental and essential rights cannot be forfeited, except against individuals by due process of law; nor can constitutional obligations be discarded or laid aside. The enjoyment of rights may be for a time suspended by the failure to claim them and duties may be evaded by the refusal to perform them. The withdrawal of their members from Congress by the States that resisted the general government was among the acts of insurrection—was one of the means and agencies by which they sought to impair the authority and defeat the action of the government, and that act was annulled and rendered void when the insurrection itself was suppressed. Neither the right of representation nor the duty to be represented was in the least impaired by the fact of insurrection; but it may have been by reason of the insurrection the conditions on which the enjoyment of that right and the performance of that duty for the time depended could not be fulfilled. This was, in fact, the case. An insurgent power, in the exercise of usurped and unlawful authority in the territory under its control, had prohibited that allegiance to the constitution and laws of the United States which is made by that fundamental law the essential condition of representation in its government. No man in the insurgent States was allowed to take the oath to support the constitution of the United States, and, as a necessary consequence, no man could lawfully represent those States in the councils of the Union. But this was only an obstacle to the enjoyment of the right and to the discharge of a duty—it did not annul the one nor abrogate the other; and it ceased to exist when the usurpation by which it was created had been overturned, and the States again had resumed their allegiance to the constitution and laws of the United States.

Second—But it is asserted, in support of the authority claimed by the Congress now in possession of power, that it flows directly from the laws of war; that it is among the rights which victorious war always confers upon the conquerors, and which the conqueror may exercise or waive in his own discretion. To this we reply that the laws in question relate solely, so far as the rights they confer are concerned, to war waged between alien and independent nations, and can have no place or force in this regard to a war waged by a government to suppress an insurrection of its own people, upon its own soil, against its authority. If we had carried on a successful war against any foreign nation we might thereby have acquired possession and jurisdiction of their soil, with the right to enforce our laws upon their people and to impose upon them such laws and such obligations as we might choose. But we had before the war complete jurisdiction over the soil of the Southern States, limited only by our own constitution. Our laws were the only laws in force upon it. The government of the United States was the only government through which those States and their people had relations with foreign nations, and its flag was the only flag by which they were recognized or known anywhere on the face of the earth. In all these respects, and in all other respects involving national interests and rights, our possession was perfect and complete. It did not need to be acquired, but only to be maintained, and victorious war against the rebellion could do nothing more than maintain it. It could only vindicate and re-establish the disputed supremacy of the constitution. It could neither enlarge nor diminish the authority which that constitution confers upon the government by which it was achieved. Such an enlargement or abridgment of constitutional power can be effected only by an amendment of the constitution itself, and such amendment can be made only in the modes which the constitution itself prescribes. The claim that the suppression of an insurrection against the government gives additional power and authority to that government, especially that it enlarges the jurisdiction of Con-

gress and gives that body the right to exclude States from representation in the national councils, without which the nation itself can have no authority and no existence, seems to us at variance alike with the principles of the constitution and with the public safety.

Third—But it is alleged that in certain particulars the constitution of the United States fails to secure that absolute justice and impartial equality which the principles of our government require; that it was in these respects the result of compromises and concessions to which, however necessary while the constitution was formed, we are no longer compelled to submit and that now having the power through successful war and just warrant for its exercise, the hostile conduct of the insurgent section, the actual government of the United States may impose its own conditions, and make the constitution conform in all its provisions to its own ideas of equality and the rights of man. Congress at its last session proposed amendments to the constitution, enlarging in some very important particulars the authority of the general government over that of the several States, and regarding direct disfranchisement, the representative power of the States in which slavery formerly existed; and it is claimed that these amendments may be made valid as parts of the original constitution without the concurrence of the States, to be imposed upon those States by three-fourths of the remaining States, as conditions of their readmission to representation in Congress and in the Electoral College.

It is the unquestionable right of the people of the United States to make such changes in the constitution as they, on due deliberation, may deem expedient. But we insist that they shall be made in the mode which the constitution itself points out—in conformity with the letter and spirit of that instrument, and with the principles of self-government and of equal rights which lie at the basis of our republican institutions. We deny the right of congress to make these changes in the fundamental law without the concurrence of three-fourths of all the States, including especially those to be most seriously affected by them; or to impose them upon States or people as conditions of representation, or of admission to any of the rights, duties or obligations which belong under the constitution to all the States alike. And with still greater emphasis do we deny the right of any portion of the States in excluding the rest of the States from any share in their councils, to propose or sanction changes in the constitution which are to affect permanently their political relations and control or co-erce the legitimate action of the several members of the common Union. Such an exercise of power is simply a usurpation; just as unwarrantable when exercised by Northern States as it would be if exercised by Southern, and not to be justified or palliated by anything in the past history either by those by whom it is attempted or of those upon whose rights and liberties it is to take effect. It finds no warrant in the constitution. It is at war with the fundamental principles of our form of government. If tolerated in one instance, it becomes the precedent for future invasions of liberty and constitutional right, dependent solely upon the will of the party in possession of power, and thus leads, by direct and necessary sequence, to the most fatal and intolerable tyrannies—the tyranny of shifting and irresponsible political factions. It is against this, the most formidable of all the dangers which menace the stability of free government that the constitution of the United States was intended to provide. We demand a strict and steadfast adherence to its provisions. In this and this alone can we find a basis of permanent union and peace.

Fourth—But, it is alleged in justification of the usurpation which we condemn, that the condition of the Southern States and their people is not such as renders safe their readmission to a share in the government of the country; that they are still disloyal in sentiment and purpose, and that neither the honor, the credit nor the interest of the nation would be safe if they were readmitted to its councils. We might reply to this;—First, that we have no right, for such reasons, to deny to any portion of the States or people rights expressly conferred upon them by the constitution of the United States; second, that so long as their acts are those of loyalty—so long as they conform in all their public conduct to the requirements of the constitution and laws—we have no right to exact from them conformity in their sentiments and opinions to our own; third, that we have no right to distrust the purpose or the ability of the people of the Union to protect and defend, under all contingencies and by whatever means may be required, its honor and its welfare.

These would, in our judgment, be full and conclusive answers to the plea thus advanced for the exclusion of these States from the Union. But we say, further, that this plea rests upon a complete misapprehension or an unjust perversion of existing facts. We do not hesitate to affirm that there is no section of the country where the

constitution and laws of the United States find a more prompt and entire obedience than in those States and among those people who were lately in arms against them; or where there is less purpose or design of any kind to attempt to overthrow their authority. It would seem to be both natural and inevitable, that the States and sections so recently swept by the whirlwind of war, where all the ordinary modes and methods of organization and industry have been broken up and the bonds and influences that guarantee social order have been destroyed, where thousands and tens of thousands of turbulent spirits have been suddenly loosed from the discipline of war and thrown without resources or restraint upon a disorganized and chaotic society, and where a keen sense of defeat is added to the overthrow of ambition and hope—scenes of violence should defy for a time the imperfect discipline of law and excite anew the fears of the patriotic and well disposed. It is unquestionably true that local disturbances of this kind, accompanied by more or less violence, do still occur. But they are confined entirely to the cities and large towns of the Southern States, whose different races, and interests are brought most closely in contact, and where passions and resentments are always most easily fed and fanned into outbreaks; and even there they are quite as much the fruit of untimely and hurtful political agitation as of any hostility on the part of the people to the authority of the national government.

But the concurrent testimony of those best acquainted with the condition of society and the state of public sentiment in the South, including that of its representatives in this Convention, establishes the fact that the great mass of the Southern people accept, with as full and sincere submission as do the people of the other States the re-established supremacy of the national authority, and are prepared, in the most loyal spirit and with a zeal quickened alike by their past and their pride, to co-operate with other States and sections in whatever may be necessary to defend the rights, maintain the honor and promote the welfare of our common country.

History affords no instance where a people so powerful in numbers, in resources and in public spirit, after a war so long in its duration, so destructive in its progress and so adverse in its issue, have accepted defeat and its consequences with so much of good faith as has marked the conduct of the people lately in insurrection against the United States. Beyond all question this has been largely due to the wise generosity with which their enforced surrender was accepted by the President of the United States and the generals in immediate command of their armies, and to the liberal measures which were afterwards taken to restore order, tranquility and law to the States where all had for the time been overthrown. No steps could have been better calculated to command the respect, win the confidence, revive the patriotism and secure the permanent and affectionate allegiance of the people of the South, to the Constitution and laws of the Union than those which have been so firmly taken and so steadfastly pursued by the President of the United States. And if that confidence and loyalty have, since impaired, if the people of the South are to-day less cordial in their allegiance than they were immediately upon the close of the war, we believe it is due to the changed tone of the legislative department of the general government towards them; to the action by which Congress has endeavored to supplant and defeat the President's wise and beneficent policy of restoration; to their exclusion from all participation in our common government; to the withdrawal from them of the rights conferred and guaranteed by the Constitution, and to the evident purpose of Congress, in the exercise of a usurped and unlawful authority, to reduce them from the rank of free and equal members of a republic of States, with rights and dignities unimpaired, to the condition of conquered provinces and of conquered people, in all things subordinate and subject to the will of their conquerors; free only to obey laws in making which they are not allowed to share.

No people has ever yet existed whose loyalty and faith such treatment long continued would pollute and impair. And the ten millions of Americans who live in the South would be unworthy citizens of a free country, were they not to be the heroic and unflinching guardians of the rights and liberties bequeathed us by the fathers and founders of the republic, if they could accept, with uncomplaining submission, the humiliations thus sought to be imposed upon them.

Resentment of injustice is always and every where essential to freedom, and the spirit which prompts the States and people lately in insurrection, but insurgent now no longer, to protest against the imposition of unjust and degrading conditions, makes them all the more worthy of a share in the government of a free commonwealth, and gives still further assurance of the future power and freedom of the republic. For whatever responsibility the Southern people may have incurred in resisting the authority of the na-

tion, they have incurred no responsibility in resisting the authority of the