

THE MONTROSE DEMOCRAT.

MONTROSE, PA., THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 1863. VOLUME XX, NUMBER 25.

A. J. GERRITSON, Publisher.

BUSINESS CARDS

H. GARRATT
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

LATHROP, TYLER, & RILEY
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

EVAN JENKINS
Licensed Auctioneer, for MONTROSE COUNTY, Pa. Office at No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

WM. H. COOPER & CO.
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

McCOLLUM & SEARLE
Attorneys and Counsellors at Law, Montrose, Pa. Office at No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

DR. H. SMITH & SON
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

JOHN SAUTER
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

P. LINES
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

JOHN GROVES
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

I. F. ISBELL
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

WM. V. SMITH
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

C. O. FORDHAM
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

ABEL TURRELL
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

DAVID C. ANEY, M. D.
DRESSING ROOM, and Hair Dressing, and Barber Shop, No. 101 North Second Street, Montrose, Pa.

Remarkable Speech by Senator Trumbull of Illinois
There is here and there a Republican leader, who seems to be partly awake to the fact that the republican administration and the republican party are fast sliding down to occupy the same ground with the despotic governments, and the despotic governments, in hostility to popular rights, and at least one republican leader perceives that this is the path to destruction, and has lifted his voice in warning to his party friends. In the excitement which grew out of the late attempt to subvert the freedom of the press in Chicago, Mr. Trumbull, U. S. Senator from Illinois, compelled a heated audience of his party friends to hear him against their wishes. We quote the following report of some of his remarks:

"The times," he said, "are grave. A majority of the people of our State are taking ground against the administration, and why? In the name of Heaven, why is this? I will tell you why: I will point out to you some of our mistakes that they may be corrected in the future. The reason is we have gone, adhered to David Crockett's motto: 'Be sure you're right, then go ahead.' 'A voice' we are always right." I have lived long enough to know that I am not infallible, perhaps you are; I have some respect for the opinions of others.

One of our mistakes is, that we have allowed our opponents to make false issues. But this is not the worst. The great charge, the charge that has damaged the administration above all others, is that we are in favor of the exercise of arbitrary power; that we are opposed to the freedom of the press; in favor of curtailing personal liberty, and in favor of despotism. Now, we should not allow these things. We have been the advocate of free speech for the last forty years, and should not allow the party which during the whole time has advocated the 'go to it' policy.

We are fighting for the restoration of the Union, and the preservation of the Constitution, and all the liberties it guarantees to every citizen. And it makes me feel bad when I hear some honest friend, brimming full of patriotism, say he does not care for the Constitution and does not want it thrust into his way, or thrust in his face until the war is over. The rebels cannot thrust it in his face, for they have no rights under it, save the right to be tried and hung for treason. (Continued)

Loyal View of the War
A member of Congress from Kansas, a pretty radical and rather honest Republican, sends the following letter to the Tribune:

"The recent avowal of Mr. Gerritson that he is in favor of a restoration of the Union, even if such restoration should involve new power to slavery, is a slight indication of that counter-revolution in public sentiment on this subject which the war is calculated to effect, and which political leaders seem determined through it to bring about.

The only period in which there was a ghost of a chance of giving this war an antislavery result was the first two years of its existence. If it had been taken hold of at the outset as an instrument of revolution to dissolve the Union and reconstitute the North the nation, thus liberating the government from all Constitutional obligations to slaveholders, and had their been ushered through with skill and energy, under wise ministers and competent generals, in a manner to give full effect to the power of the North, slavery would have been swept out of existence, and the seceded States conquered to the authority of the Union, and held as subject provinces.

But this was not done. On the contrary, the war was employed as a means to prevent revolution and to maintain the Union. The object was to force upon the slaveholders the rights guaranteed to them by the Constitution they discarded. For nearly two years the most zealous regard was paid to these 'rights,' and military operations conducted in a manner to induce the Southern people to return voluntarily to their federal allegiance. In consequence of this policy, the golden opportunity slipped away—the South became a determined and settled power—the north lost the prestige of victory, and its morale was broken.

Thus the war became a failure, and it finally ceased to bear upon the question of the subjugation of the south in any manner whatsoever; and now, whatever may be said to the contrary, there are few reasoning minds which have not come to the conclusion that the independence of the south is an established fact, whether recognized or not.

The war for the future, therefore, becomes simply an instrument in the hands of political managers to effect results favorable to their own personal ends, and unfavorable to the cause of freedom.

What matters it that a few negroes more or less, under white officers are sent into the field? What matters it that the President's edict of emancipation is printed in Little & Brown's edition of the U. S. statutes at large? Is Richmond ours? or even Vicksburg? Does not the Confederacy still stand firm and defiant; and does it not promise to stand so in the future? And, above all, is not the Presidential election approaching?

It is now assumed that the Union is an object paramount over all other considerations, and we are told that it must never be relinquished. We are asked to adhere to the war, not because it gives us successful achievements in the field, but for the sake of the Union, which is now a mere name.

Treason! or—What is it?
A leading New York journal, in its issue of Wednesday last, contained the following scathing criticism upon the fatal mismanagement of affairs by the present Administration:

"The Union is a matter of preposterous importance. Who was the Union made for? That we should sacrifice ourselves to it? For one would beg to be excused. As things stand I would sacrifice the Union to freedom any morning before breakfast. Very truly yours,
M. F. CONWAY.
Washington, May 29, 1863.

Treason! or—What is it?
A leading New York journal, in its issue of Wednesday last, contained the following scathing criticism upon the fatal mismanagement of affairs by the present Administration:

"The Union is a matter of preposterous importance. Who was the Union made for? That we should sacrifice ourselves to it? For one would beg to be excused. As things stand I would sacrifice the Union to freedom any morning before breakfast. Very truly yours,
M. F. CONWAY.
Washington, May 29, 1863.

Paints on the Floors of the Piazza
The floors of piazzas, fronting the south and east are liable to leak during severe rain storms. A good cement for the seams of such floors has been a desideratum. An acquaintance of ours who has been much annoyed with the floor of his piazza leaking, was advised to try a cement composed of dissolved india rubber and asphalt, and he did so, stopping the leakage perfectly. When the cement was sufficiently dry he painted the floor with drab-colored oil paint, a finish to the whole, and completed the operation one evening about sundown. Next morning he went out to examine his piazza, expecting to find the paint partly dry, and the floor improved in appearance. Judge of his surprise when he beheld the black india-rubber cement floating like bubbles upon his drab paint, and the whole coating of the floor in an unwholesome state of 'spissitude.' The oil of the paint had not upon the cement as solvent; and the thought which first suggested itself to him was that, although the cement could stop leaks, it was impossible to paint over it. Thinking upon the subject during the day the idea was presented to his mind that if he covered the cement with a coat of varnish, he could paint over this when it became dry, and the oil of the paint would not penetrate under it. He acted upon this idea, and had now a most perfect and beautiful rain-tight piazza. Others may profit by such experience. Hot pitch is a good water-tight cement for the seams of floors, &c., but it is liable to become soft in hot weather. Linseed oil boiled with the flour of sulphur, makes a cement resembling dissolved pitch, and is second to none in stopping leaks in such floors. It is also a great preservative of wood. It is employed for coating the knees of war ships in the French navy to prevent the dry rot. A cement composed of white lead, oil, and pulverized white sand or glass, is about the best that can be used for such purposes.

Perseverance
Perseverance is the key that unlocks all the hidden mysteries of Science. What will it not accomplish? In the study of difficult subjects, the student will find that if he would overcome the obstacle of the world, he must persevere. It is not the man who gives up at the first difficulty, but the man who perseveres who succeeds. There is a satisfaction attending such a conquest. His face shows his joy; he has reached the point by his own exertions; and the joy he experiences, the more he feels like depending on himself.

This is what many lack; this feeling that one can rely on his own exertions and not in the end be disappointed; that he is sufficient in mind of himself to accomplish all possible and necessary ends that he may wish. How valuable is such an attainment. We can feel its value when we see the difference between a life's work in two individuals, one of whom has learned to depend on himself in anything. In the student what does this accomplish? It will enable him to go on further, and to master one study after another, until he is able to acquire all desirable knowledge on any subject. That is, it will enable him to educate himself.

Fellow Teachers! let us, if possible, teach the young the satisfaction of conquering hard subjects themselves. Let them taste of the pleasure, and then will they seek for it. Show them the untold benefit that comes from perseverance and self-dependence, and if we can make them realize it, and adopt the principle, we shall have more characters in the world whom we may style 'Self-made men.'

Mr. Henry Ward Beecher has gone to England. It is to be hoped he will find some attractions to induce him to remain in our country.

History of the Republican Party
Magna Charta
John King of England, third sovereign of the House of Plantagenet, was the son of Henry second, and no prince in English history has been handed down to posterity in blacker colors than he. He was more defeated in England than he had been in France, and rendered himself the object of universal contempt by his ingratitude, perfidy, and cruelty. His nobles, who had long felt aggrieved by the usurpation of their sovereignty, determined to control his power, and establish their privileges. Langton procured for them a copy of the charter of rights granted by Henry VIII, and they laid their demands before the king in Jan. 1215 which he attempted to elude by delay.

Hume says: "The Norman barons, who had engaged with their duke in the conquest of England, were men of the most independent spirit, and though they obeyed their leader in the field, they would have regarded with disdain the richest magnificence, had they been required to return to submit in their civil government to the arbitrary will of one man." The power of the crown once reduced to a high pitch, was not easily reduced, and the nation, during a course of a hundred and fifty years was governed with an authority unknown in the same degree to all the kingdoms founded by northern conquerors. The only happiness was, that arms had never been ravished from the hands of the barons and people, the nation, by a great confederacy, might still vindicate its liberties."

Macaulay says: "The English might indeed safely tolerate a king in a few excesses; for they had to reserve a check which soon brought the fiercest and proudest king to reason—the check of physical force. It is difficult to imagine now, the facility and rapidity with which this force was applied; resistance was the ordinary remedy for political distempers, a remedy which was always at hand, and which, though doubtless sharp at the moment, produced no deep or lasting ill-effects. An army under a popular chief could be assembled in a day; regular army there was none; every man had a slight tincture of soldiery; the calamities of civil war was confined to the battle-field, and to a few subsequent executions and confiscations. In a week the peasant was driving his team, and the esquire flying his hawk over the field, as if no extraordinary event had happened. This had the people to preserve their liberties."

Hume proceeds: "The barons appeared in London on a day appointed, and demanded of King John, that in consequence of his own oath, as well in defence of their just rights, he should grant them a renewal of Henry's charter, and a confirmation of the laws of Edward. The king promised that at the festival of Easter he would grant their petition. Failing in his promise, they proceeded without further ceremony to lay war upon the king. They besieged the castle of Northampton during fifteen days.

They then made incursions from London and laid waste the king's palaces kill the king at last appointed a place at Runnymede, between Windsor and Staines, which has ever since been extremely celebrated on account of this great event. The two parties encamped apart, like open enemies, and after a debate of a few days, the king signed and sealed the Charter which was required of him June 15th 1215. This famous deed, called the Great Charter, secured very important liberties to every order of men in the Kingdom—to the barons, and to the people. These were very far from being original conceptions. There is scarcely one of them which may not be traced back in its principle to Anglo-Saxon times. The essence and glory of Magna Charta runs thus: 'No freeman shall be taken or imprisoned, or banished, nor any way injured, nor will we pass upon him, nor send upon him, unless upon the legal judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.' Hallam calls this 'the keystone of English history.' It prohibited unlawful punishments; it guarded against delays of justice, and protected every freeman from loss of life, liberty or property; except by the judgment of his peers, or the law of the land. In one word, it declares, we will not deny delay to any man right, and justice. Macaulay says: 'In the Charter granted by King John, the meaning is clear, that the king will not proceed with violence against his subjects; unless justified by the law of his kingdom, or a judgment of their peers.'

MEDICAL CARD
DR. E. PATRICK & DR. E. GARDNER
LATE GRADUATE OF THE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT OF YALE COLLEGE, have formed a partnership for the practice of Medicine and Surgery, and are prepared to attend to all business faithfully and generally, with the latest improvements in the treatment of diseases and deformities of the face, surgical operations, and all surgical diseases, in the office hours from 9 a. m. to 5 p. m. All sorts of country produce taken in payment at the highest value; and cash advances made. Montrose, Pa., May 10, 1863.

TAKE NOTICE
Cash Paid for Brides, Fox, Mink, Muskrat, and all kinds of Furs. A good assortment of Leather and Boots and Trunks constantly on hand. Office, Tanners, & S. S. on Main Street, Montrose, Pa. A. P. & C. KESSEL.

FIRE INSURANCE
THE INSURANCE CO. OF NORTH AMERICA, AT PHILADELPHIA, Pa. Has Established an Agency in Montrose. The Oldest Insurance Co. in the Union. CASH CAPITAL PAID UP \$1,000,000. ASSETS OVER \$2,000,000. This rates are as low as those of any good company in New York, or elsewhere, and its Directors are among the best for honor and integrity. CHAS. PLATT, Secy. ARTHUR G. COFFIN, Pres. Montrose, July 10, 1863. BILLINGS STRAUDE, Agt.

HOME INSURANCE COMPANY of New York. CASH CAPITAL ONE MILLION DOLLARS. Agents in Montrose, Pa. J. B. HAZLETON, Agent.

J. B. HAZLETON Artist, Montrose, Pa. Amateur and Photographic Artist. J. B. Hazleton, Artist, Montrose, Pa. Amateur and Photographic Artist.

Dr. E. Patrick & Dr. E. Gardner
LATE GRADUATE OF THE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT OF YALE COLLEGE, have formed a partnership for the practice of Medicine and Surgery, and are prepared to attend to all business faithfully and generally, with the latest improvements in the treatment of diseases and deformities of the face, surgical operations, and all surgical diseases, in the office hours from 9 a. m. to 5 p. m. All sorts of country produce taken in payment at the highest value; and cash advances made. Montrose, Pa., May 10, 1863.

Loyal View of the War
A member of Congress from Kansas, a pretty radical and rather honest Republican, sends the following letter to the Tribune:

"The recent avowal of Mr. Gerritson that he is in favor of a restoration of the Union, even if such restoration should involve new power to slavery, is a slight indication of that counter-revolution in public sentiment on this subject which the war is calculated to effect, and which political leaders seem determined through it to bring about.

The only period in which there was a ghost of a chance of giving this war an antislavery result was the first two years of its existence. If it had been taken hold of at the outset as an instrument of revolution to dissolve the Union and reconstitute the North the nation, thus liberating the government from all Constitutional obligations to slaveholders, and had their been ushered through with skill and energy, under wise ministers and competent generals, in a manner to give full effect to the power of the North, slavery would have been swept out of existence, and the seceded States conquered to the authority of the Union, and held as subject provinces.

But this was not done. On the contrary, the war was employed as a means to prevent revolution and to maintain the Union. The object was to force upon the slaveholders the rights guaranteed to them by the Constitution they discarded. For nearly two years the most zealous regard was paid to these 'rights,' and military operations conducted in a manner to induce the Southern people to return voluntarily to their federal allegiance. In consequence of this policy, the golden opportunity slipped away—the South became a determined and settled power—the north lost the prestige of victory, and its morale was broken.

Thus the war became a failure, and it finally ceased to bear upon the question of the subjugation of the south in any manner whatsoever; and now, whatever may be said to the contrary, there are few reasoning minds which have not come to the conclusion that the independence of the south is an established fact, whether recognized or not.

The war for the future, therefore, becomes simply an instrument in the hands of political managers to effect results favorable to their own personal ends, and unfavorable to the cause of freedom.

What matters it that a few negroes more or less, under white officers are sent into the field? What matters it that the President's edict of emancipation is printed in Little & Brown's edition of the U. S. statutes at large? Is Richmond ours? or even Vicksburg? Does not the Confederacy still stand firm and defiant; and does it not promise to stand so in the future? And, above all, is not the Presidential election approaching?

It is now assumed that the Union is an object paramount over all other considerations, and we are told that it must never be relinquished. We are asked to adhere to the war, not because it gives us successful achievements in the field, but for the sake of the Union, which is now a mere name.

Treason! or—What is it?
A leading New York journal, in its issue of Wednesday last, contained the following scathing criticism upon the fatal mismanagement of affairs by the present Administration:

"The Union is a matter of preposterous importance. Who was the Union made for? That we should sacrifice ourselves to it? For one would beg to be excused. As things stand I would sacrifice the Union to freedom any morning before breakfast. Very truly yours,
M. F. CONWAY.
Washington, May 29, 1863.

Paints on the Floors of the Piazza
The floors of piazzas, fronting the south and east are liable to leak during severe rain storms. A good cement for the seams of such floors has been a desideratum. An acquaintance of ours who has been much annoyed with the floor of his piazza leaking, was advised to try a cement composed of dissolved india rubber and asphalt, and he did so, stopping the leakage perfectly. When the cement was sufficiently dry he painted the floor with drab-colored oil paint, a finish to the whole, and completed the operation one evening about sundown. Next morning he went out to examine his piazza, expecting to find the paint partly dry, and the floor improved in appearance. Judge of his surprise when he beheld the black india-rubber cement floating like bubbles upon his drab paint, and the whole coating of the floor in an unwholesome state of 'spissitude.' The oil of the paint had not upon the cement as solvent; and the thought which first suggested itself to him was that, although the cement could stop leaks, it was impossible to paint over it. Thinking upon the subject during the day the idea was presented to his mind that if he covered the cement with a coat of varnish, he could paint over this when it became dry, and the oil of the paint would not penetrate under it. He acted upon this idea, and had now a most perfect and beautiful rain-tight piazza. Others may profit by such experience. Hot pitch is a good water-tight cement for the seams of floors, &c., but it is liable to become soft in hot weather. Linseed oil boiled with the flour of sulphur, makes a cement resembling dissolved pitch, and is second to none in stopping leaks in such floors. It is also a great preservative of wood. It is employed for coating the knees of war ships in the French navy to prevent the dry rot. A cement composed of white lead, oil, and pulverized white sand or glass, is about the best that can be used for such purposes.

Perseverance
Perseverance is the key that unlocks all the hidden mysteries of Science. What will it not accomplish? In the study of difficult subjects, the student will find that if he would overcome the obstacle of the world, he must persevere. It is not the man who gives up at the first difficulty, but the man who perseveres who succeeds. There is a satisfaction attending such a conquest. His face shows his joy; he has reached the point by his own exertions; and the joy he experiences, the more he feels like depending on himself.

This is what many lack; this feeling that one can rely on his own exertions and not in the end be disappointed; that he is sufficient in mind of himself to accomplish all possible and necessary ends that he may wish. How valuable is such an attainment. We can feel its value when we see the difference between a life's work in two individuals, one of whom has learned to depend on himself in anything. In the student what does this accomplish? It will enable him to go on further, and to master one study after another, until he is able to acquire all desirable knowledge on any subject. That is, it will enable him to educate himself.

Fellow Teachers! let us, if possible, teach the young the satisfaction of conquering hard subjects themselves. Let them taste of the pleasure, and then will they seek for it. Show them the untold benefit that comes from perseverance and self-dependence, and if we can make them realize it, and adopt the principle, we shall have more characters in the world whom we may style 'Self-made men.'

Mr. Henry Ward Beecher has gone to England. It is to be hoped he will find some attractions to induce him to remain in our country.

History of the Republican Party
Magna Charta
John King of England, third sovereign of the House of Plantagenet, was the son of Henry second, and no prince in English history has been handed down to posterity in blacker colors than he. He was more defeated in England than he had been in France, and rendered himself the object of universal contempt by his ingratitude, perfidy, and cruelty. His nobles, who had long felt aggrieved by the usurpation of their sovereignty, determined to control his power, and establish their privileges. Langton procured for them a copy of the charter of rights granted by Henry VIII, and they laid their demands before the king in Jan. 1215 which he attempted to elude by delay.

Hume says: "The Norman barons, who had engaged with their duke in the conquest of England, were men of the most independent spirit, and though they obeyed their leader in the field, they would have regarded with disdain the richest magnificence, had they been required to return to submit in their civil government to the arbitrary will of one man." The power of the crown once reduced to a high pitch, was not easily reduced, and the nation, during a course of a hundred and fifty years was governed with an authority unknown in the same degree to all the kingdoms founded by northern conquerors. The only happiness was, that arms had never been ravished from the hands of the barons and people, the nation, by a great confederacy, might still vindicate its liberties."

Macaulay says: "The English might indeed safely tolerate a king in a few excesses; for they had to reserve a check which soon brought the fiercest and proudest king to reason—the check of physical force. It is difficult to imagine now, the facility and rapidity with which this force was applied; resistance was the ordinary remedy for political distempers, a remedy which was always at hand, and which, though doubtless sharp at the moment, produced no deep or lasting ill-effects. An army under a popular chief could be assembled in a day; regular army there was none; every man had a slight tincture of soldiery; the calamities of civil war was confined to the battle-field, and to a few subsequent executions and confiscations. In a week the peasant was driving his team, and the esquire flying his hawk over the field, as if no extraordinary event had happened. This had the people to preserve their liberties."

Hume proceeds: "The barons appeared in London on a day appointed, and demanded of King John, that in consequence of his own oath, as well in defence of their just rights, he should grant them a renewal of Henry's charter, and a confirmation of the laws of Edward. The king promised that at the festival of Easter he would grant their petition. Failing in his promise, they proceeded without further ceremony to lay war upon the king. They besieged the castle of Northampton during fifteen days.

They then made incursions from London and laid waste the king's palaces kill the king at last appointed a place at Runnymede, between Windsor and Staines, which has ever since been extremely celebrated on account of this great event. The two parties encamped apart, like open enemies, and after a debate of a few days, the king signed and sealed the Charter which was required of him June 15th 1215. This famous deed, called the Great Charter, secured very important liberties to every order of men in the Kingdom—to the barons, and to the people. These were very far from being original conceptions. There is scarcely one of them which may not be traced back in its principle to Anglo-Saxon times. The essence and glory of Magna Charta runs thus: 'No freeman shall be taken or imprisoned, or banished, nor any way injured, nor will we pass upon him, nor send upon him, unless upon the legal judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land.' Hallam calls this 'the keystone of English history.' It prohibited unlawful punishments; it guarded against delays of justice, and protected every freeman from loss of life, liberty or property; except by the judgment of his peers, or the law of the land. In one word, it declares, we will not deny delay to any man right, and justice. Macaulay says: 'In the Charter granted by King John, the meaning is clear, that the king will not proceed with violence against his subjects; unless justified by the law of his kingdom, or a judgment of their peers.'