

THE LARGEST CIRCULATION IN THE NORTHWEST. E. U. CHASE & ALVIN DAY, Editors.

Montrose, Thursday, March 9, 1855.

Mr. Wilmot and his Letter.

In last week's Republican Mr. Wilmot comes forward, in person, to defend himself against the just sense of public odium, which he evidently feels settling upon him...

We will now proceed to notice the charges which Mr. Wilmot makes against us. He says: "I now charge him with having published over my name a garbled letter..."

In the above paragraph, after the word "rivals," Mr. Wilmot claims to be inserted: "of the old line Democracy," so that the sentence should read that he preferred Mr. Cameron over all his "rivals of the old line Democracy..."

Now all we have to say on this point is this. We published the letter from what we believed to be a correct copy. We have not now the original, and therefore cannot compare them, but we are entirely willing to take Mr. Wilmot's word that the original does read as he claims...

We by no means admit that the unimportant words, which Mr. Wilmot claims were omitted, are in the original letter. He does not pretend to speak positively himself on that point, but thinks there was something "to that, import" in the parenthesis...

As Mr. Wilmot assumes the perfection of Truth, and charges us with the most wilful falsehood, we desire here to remind him that his own character for Truth at all times, has not been the purest in public estimation...

ture and political relations, whether on the Bench or the stump. And this is brought about entirely by his own conduct...

Mr. Wilmot is profuse in his quotations of Scripture, and adds "that God will in time vindicate his high enactments." Does he really believe this? If so, does he not remember that God has enacted:—"Thou shalt not take My name in vain..."

Let those who think the above strong language to appear in print, remember that, in this controversy, Mr. Wilmot was the first to violate the sanctity of private character.

By referring to Mr. Wilmot's letter to Cameron it will be seen that he is very particular to explain to Mr. C., how it is that he is a candidate for the Senate, and closes the paragraph with the following remarkable sentence:

"I have said this much because I do not wish you to feel that I stand towards you in the attitude of a volunteer rival, ready to sacrifice the kind relations of the past, reckless of honor, truth and friendship; and intend only upon success."

The above sentence Mr. Wilmot does not pretend is not correct. And now we ask the public to answer if they can, why they suppose Mr. Wilmot did not want Cameron to feel that he was his "volunteer rival?"

But Mr. Wilmot says that we published the letter to injure him because he would not cooperate with us in a course of fraud and deception. In this he does not write the truth. We published the letter to show that he was carrying on a course of fraud and deception himself...

was used by us at Harrisburg to win his friends over to the support of Cameron. This assertion, we pronounce an unmitigated and an admirable falsehood, come from whom it may, and we challenge Mr. Wilmot, or any one of his very numerous (five) friends at Harrisburg, to come forward and say, that we ever asked them to support Cameron, or encouraged them in any manner to do so...

Mr. Lathrop is pleased to reiterate the stale insinuations, which have constituted the stock in trade for the past three years, of certain gentlemen in Montrose, against our character and integrity. If he really wishes to institute a comparison of moral character with us, we have no earthly objection.

But worst of all! O horror of horrors! In the confusion of escaping we forgot our cross, and they got into the room and hid it with the Bible; took it and nailed it (the cross) up in a conspicuous place, in order to tantalize and insult us.

We have been obliged to write this article in the most hurried manner, and must pass further comments on Mr. Wilmot's manifesto. One thing we ask the public to notice. Mr. Wilmot does not deny the grave and most important charge against him...

The Pennsylvania has been publishing a series of strong articles of late in reference to the Senatorial question, and the prominent actors therein. Among the rest it has singled out the member from this county, on account of the note written by him to General Cameron...

"How very fortunate!" In Wilmot's recent letter to Laporte, the following choice passage occurs: "While I claim no eminent qualifications for the office, I do nevertheless believe, that my election would in some respects be fortunate..."

A knowledge of the political character of those men who achieved this "signal victory" gives the public a pretty good idea of what "my principles" are. We would not expect to find a good democrat exerting himself to "write and cement for future action" his political enemies for a man's sympathies are generally with his friends...

the character of a democrat, while laboring to "cement" for future action, the men who hurled a patriot and statesman from office, to make way for an imbecile political gambler. The men who are to be so handsomely celebrated by the election of Wilmot to the Senate are those who have been purchased into the support of Cameron...

To-day no man is so obstinate or deluded as to claim the elections of last Fall, as resulting in an Anti-Nebraska triumph; all admit it to have been the work of conspirators; and these are the men Wilmot's election is to cement. Would he be so anxious to unite these men "for future action," if he were not bound to them by the same oaths...

Mr. K. N. in Dimock had a rather hard time of it lately. We met in the Academy last night, and of course intended to spend a pleasant evening, and do something for our country...

Several substitutes were proposed, but none of them seemed to suit, and the discussion was protracted until a late hour, when the High District arose and said, that as the Orator was a bird of exclusively native origin, and in account of its well known and much admired national habits, he thought...

Now, Brothers, what are we to do? We cannot submit to these repeated insults, and we dare not resent them. Would to Heaven we could do with them as we do with the cross. Won't the law interpose to protect us? If it won't, something else must. Won't somebody give us some advice or assistance.

Some days previous a "Republican Meeting" was announced by notices tacked to every bar-room door, and other places most likely to attract attention, announcing that William Stuart, from Birmingham, and other popular speakers would be in attendance.

"While I claim no eminent qualifications for the office, I do nevertheless believe, that my election would in some respects be fortunate especially so in uniting and cementing for further action the men who achieved the late signal victory in this State."

He is a man very frank and honest in his opinions, and must believe him sincere when he said, afterwards, "if he had known what kind of a scrape he was getting into, he would not have been found officiating at that meeting."

Mr. K. N. in Dimock had a rather hard time of it lately. We met in the Academy last night, and of course intended to spend a pleasant evening, and do something for our country, but the peevy boys kept dogging us the whole night. After transacting our usual interesting business, we proceeded to dispose of the question, which you know has perplexed us so much...

Several substitutes were proposed, but none of them seemed to suit, and the discussion was protracted until a late hour, when the High District arose and said, that as the Orator was a bird of exclusively native origin, and in account of its well known and much admired national habits, he thought...

Now, Brothers, what are we to do? We cannot submit to these repeated insults, and we dare not resent them. Would to Heaven we could do with them as we do with the cross. Won't the law interpose to protect us? If it won't, something else must. Won't somebody give us some advice or assistance.

Some days previous a "Republican Meeting" was announced by notices tacked to every bar-room door, and other places most likely to attract attention, announcing that William Stuart, from Birmingham, and other popular speakers would be in attendance.

"While I claim no eminent qualifications for the office, I do nevertheless believe, that my election would in some respects be fortunate especially so in uniting and cementing for further action the men who achieved the late signal victory in this State."

"While I claim no eminent qualifications for the office, I do nevertheless believe, that my election would in some respects be fortunate especially so in uniting and cementing for further action the men who achieved the late signal victory in this State."

ing, there was not a quorum, and the Senate went off to drum up recruits, some of the members requesting that breakfast be brought in, and Mike Walsh vociferating for a double punch. At 9 o'clock, the required number of members was present...

The resolutions as first read was a bombastic men of Know Nothingism. Such a bombastic jingling tongue of names, epithets and words without sense or meaning, has scarcely been equalled by the rignature of the clown, Dan Rice. And those finally adopted was a specimen of the ability of the wag who offered them.

The Joint Convention. Tuesday was a day of great excitement in Harrisburg. The town was crowded with strangers assembled from all parts of the State to witness the election, or attempt at election, of U. S. Senator by the Legislature.

At 12 meridian the joint Convention re-assembled in the Hall of the House and proceeded to ballot for our Senators. Since our terms may see how each member voted, we give the exact ballots in full: For Simon Cameron—Messrs. Crab, Creswell, Erzer, Fry, Halleman, Hendricks, Hoge, Killinger, Platt, Quiggle, Sellers, and Shuman, of the Senate, and Messrs. Barry, Ball, Caldwell, Carlisle, Clover, Crawford, Criswell, Cummings, of Philadelphia, Cummings, of Somerset, Downing, Eyer, Fletcher, Frailley, Free, Gross, Guay, Haines, Hibbas, King, Kirkpatrick, Kropps, Lane, McConkey, McConnell, Morrison, Muse, North, Palmer, Reese, Rittenhouse, Rutter, Sallade, Sherer, Smith of Allegheny, Smith of Blair, Stetley, Stockdale, Starvulent, Weddell, Wood, Yorks, Zeigler, and Strong of the House—55.

For Charles R. Buckalew—Messrs. Brown, Goodwin, Hamlin, Jamison, M'Clintock, Sager, Wash, Wherry, and Heister of the Senate, and Messrs. Baker, Bush, Christ, Craig, Daugherty, Downing, Edinger, Fry, Johnson, M'Clean, Maxwell, Orr, Thompson, & Wright of the House—23.

For Joseph Buffington—Messrs. Ball, Clapp, Foster, M'Combs, Megill, and Stead of the House—6. For David Wilmot—Messrs. Baldwin, Holcomb, Laporte, M'Callmont, and Wickesman of the House—5.

For James Veech—Mr. Ferguson of the Senate, and Messrs. Franklin, Herr, McCall, and Page of the House—5. For J. W. Maynard—Mr. Jordan of the Senate, Messrs. Avery, Fearon, Letz, and Love of the House—5.

For E. T. Conrad—Messrs. Gwinner, Smith of Phila., Steel, and Thorne of the House—4. For J. Pringle Jones—Mr. Taggart of the Senate, and Messrs. Harrison, Linderman, and Mengle of the House—4.

For Wm. H. Irwin—Mr. Lewis of the Senate, and Messrs. Hodgson, Maddock, and Pennybacker of the House—4. For Thaddeus Stevens—Mr. Mellinger of the Senate, and Mr. Downing of the House—2.

For E. Joy Morris—Mr. Pratt of the Senate, and Mr. Waterhouse of the House—2. For John W. Howe—Messrs. Lott and Powell of the House—2. For John S. Littell—Messrs. Bowman and Simpson of the House—2.

For J. S. Black—Mr. Buckalew of the House—1. For J. C. Kunkel—Mr. Bugstrosser of the House—1. For James Todd—Mr. Foust of the House—1. For Henry M. Fuller—Mr. Morris of the House—1.

For John P. Brady—Mr. Flenniken of the Senate—1. Absent—Messrs. Allegood, Lathrop, and Ross, of the House. Number of votes polled, 130. Necessary to a choice, 66.

Messrs. Hoge, Platt, Quiggle and Stockdale, who voted as above for Cameron, had at the previous balloting voted for Buckalew. This was a gain of four Democrats for Simon. On the other hand, Bowman, Fearon, Foster, Leas, Mengle, Steel, and Waterhouse, knew Know Nothings, who had previously gone for Cameron, voted against him.

Mr. Stockdale, before voting, rose and said that he had, at the previous balloting, voted for Buckalew, but that since that time he had received such instructions from his constituents, or those who had been most influential in effecting his election, as to induce him now to cast his vote for Simon Cameron.

The Convention proceeded to a fourth ballot, the vote being the same as before, with the following exceptions: Mr. Ferguson changed from James Veech to Joseph Buffington. Mr. Allegood of the House, who was absent, appeared and voted for Cameron.

Yens—Brown, Bucklew, Darsie, Ferguson, Flenniken, Frick, Goodwin, Hamlin, Jamison, Jordan, Lewis, Mellinger, Pratt, Price, Sellers, Skinner, Taggart, Walton, Wherry, Avary, Baker, Baldwin, Ball, Bergstresser, Bowman, Chamberlain, Christ, Clapp, Edinger, Fearon, Foster, Fry, Franklin, Herr, Gwinner, Harrison, Herr, Hodgson, Holcomb, Hibbas, Laporte, Leas, Linderman, Lott, Love, M'Callmont, M'Clean, M'Combs, McCulloch, Maddock, Magill, Mengle, Morris, Orr, Page, Pennybacker, Powell, Simpson, Smith (of Philadelphia), Steel, Stewart, Thorn, Waterhouse, Wickesman, Witmer, and Wright—66.

The Freight Accommodation Train coming South, yesterday, met with a serious accident near Montrose depot. When arrived within about a mile from the Station, the Train with the exception of the Engine and three cars, was precipitated down a steep embankment, some 80 feet, overturning Freight Passengers and all, several times in their descent, scattering the valuable, and severely injuring a number of persons.

From the best means of information we can gather, it would appear that the result was caused by a damaged rail, which had become loosened from its place by the excessive strain.

From the Petersburg (Va.) Intelligencer. Interesting Historical Belles. We have not been favored with the suljoined letters to an esteemed friend from distinguished sources at the North, which we take great pleasure in laying before our readers.

Dear Sir—Your favor of the 15th is before me. I can assure our friends in Virginia that we are fully alive to the importance of detaching Gov. Seward, and we are striving our selves to the utmost to secure a victory. Our friends should remember that our Senate, which has equal voice with the Assembly, was elected one year ago last November, and that in that body Mr. Seward started with a clear majority of 7 out of 32. It is very difficult to overcome such a majority in so small a body.

Truly yours, DANIEL ULLMANN. To ———, Esq. New York, Feb. 1, 1855.

Dear Sir—I have just received your letter of the 30th ult. stating that "the effort is now being made by Mr. Wise, in all his harangues before the people, to create the impression that the Know Nothing Order is nothing more than the anti-slavery party in disguise, and asking my views on the subject."

From an early and intimate association with the American party, I can safely pronounce the charge of abolitionism against the Organization in the North to be utterly and entirely false. I do not know what may have been said by the Rev. Mr. Clark of Boston, in the sermon alluded to, nor do I know whether he is recognized as a member in good standing of any American organization; but I can well assure that political abolitionists, especially those pulpit politicians who acknowledge of Christ's rebuke to those who acknowledge "Master, is it lawful that we give tribute unto Caesar or no?" degraded their holy calling by mingling in party strife, have attacked themselves in some instances to the American party, as they have to the Democratic or Whig parties, to secure their own unwholesome purposes.

After some motions and voting thereon, another ballot was taken, in which Cameron received 57 votes. Mr. Lane having gone back to him after voting once for Buffington. A motion was made to adjourn till the first Tuesday of October next. An amendment to adjourn till 11 o'clock next day was lost—yeas 63, nays 66. The original motion was then put and carried by a majority of one. Below is the vote:

For Simon Cameron—Messrs. Crab, Creswell, Erzer, Fry, Halleman, Hendricks, Hoge, Killinger, Platt, Quiggle, Sellers, and Shuman, of the Senate, and Messrs. Barry, Ball, Caldwell, Carlisle, Clover, Crawford, Criswell, Cummings, of Philadelphia, Cummings, of Somerset, Downing, Eyer, Fletcher, Frailley, Free, Gross, Guay, Haines, Hibbas, King, Kirkpatrick, Kropps, Lane, McConkey, McConnell, Morrison, Muse, North, Palmer, Reese, Rittenhouse, Rutter, Sallade, Sherer, Smith of Allegheny, Smith of Blair, Stetley, Stockdale, Starvulent, Weddell, Wood, Yorks, Zeigler, and Strong of the House—55.

For Charles R. Buckalew—Messrs. Brown, Goodwin, Hamlin, Jamison, M'Clintock, Sager, Wash, Wherry, and Heister of the Senate, and Messrs. Baker, Bush, Christ, Craig, Daugherty, Downing, Edinger, Fry, Johnson, M'Clean, Maxwell, Orr, Thompson, & Wright of the House—23.

For Joseph Buffington—Messrs. Ball, Clapp, Foster, M'Combs, Megill, and Stead of the House—6. For David Wilmot—Messrs. Baldwin, Holcomb, Laporte, M'Callmont, and Wickesman of the House—5.

For James Veech—Mr. Ferguson of the Senate, and Messrs. Franklin, Herr, McCall, and Page of the House—5. For J. W. Maynard—Mr. Jordan of the Senate, Messrs. Avery, Fearon, Letz, and Love of the House—5.

For E. T. Conrad—Messrs. Gwinner, Smith of Phila., Steel, and Thorne of the House—4. For J. Pringle Jones—Mr. Taggart of the Senate, and Messrs. Harrison, Linderman, and Mengle of the House—4.

For Wm. H. Irwin—Mr. Lewis of the Senate, and Messrs. Hodgson, Maddock, and Pennybacker of the House—4. For Thaddeus Stevens—Mr. Mellinger of the Senate, and Mr. Downing of the House—2.

For E. Joy Morris—Mr. Pratt of the Senate, and Mr. Waterhouse of the House—2. For John W. Howe—Messrs. Lott and Powell of the House—2. For John S. Littell—Messrs. Bowman and Simpson of the House—2.