

Jenny Lind's Domestic Difficulties.

A New York correspondent says—
The success of Madame Sungar in concert and opera has induced Jenny Lind to determine upon again visiting the United States. The unkindness of her domestic relations may have had some influence upon her in coming to this decision; but that she will again visit our shores, should her life be spared, is a fact beyond dispute.

I alluded above to the domestic difficulties of Jenny Lind. These, I am informed, upon the most reliable authority, exist, and are still becoming of the most serious and unpleasant nature. Shortly after the honeymoon, some trifling misunderstanding occurred between her and Mr. Goldschmidt, (her husband) which has been daily increasing until her sufferings are now too intolerable to be endured. His petty acts of selfishness, and his determination to rule her as with a rod of iron, he caused her the greatest unhappiness, and a separation has I am distinctly informed, been agreed upon. The well known generosity of Jenny is one of the main causes of the difficulty, her husband being opposed to the giving away of anything. But then, it may be, that the high temper which Jenny displays at times, has also its effect in rendering her home unhappy. Certain it is, such a state of things exists, and what I have written above you will find corroborated to the very letter.

The Gardner Case.

This case is now on trial before the criminal Court in Washington. Every person has heard something about it, but perhaps all may not know its history. The counsel for the Government in opening the case to the jury, made the following narrative:
Dr. Gardner, in a memorial to the board of Commissioners, stated that early in the year 1844 he was largely engaged in mining operations in the State of San Luis Potosi, Mexico, employed 500 laborers, had upwards of \$300,000 invested in men, buildings, steam engines, horses, mules, and men of science; that in 1846 he was compelled to abandon the establishment, his property having been despoiled by the Mexican soldiers; the said miners were worth half a million, and would yield him \$30,000 per annum. The accused filed with this memorandum, deposition, purporting to be from persons residing in Mexico, to prove that he owned said mine. The issue was on the truth or falsity of these assertions. The United States affirmed, and expected to prove, that every statement in the memorial, and every paper presented by him, were false from beginning to end, pure fiction and invention, that Gardner received from the United States, as the fruits of the fraud, \$125,750, while his mine could not be found, though \$300 had been offered as a reward to any one who would point out its location.

HEALTH OF THE VICE-PRESIDENT.—The report that the Vice President has been worn in seems to be incorrect. We learn from the Hon. Z. Pratt, who has just returned from Havana, that he was invited by Mr. Sharkey, a week ago last Monday, to go out in a few days to be present at the swearing-in of the Vice President, showing that he had not been yet qualified, as was reported.

Mr. Pratt also was told that Mr. King's health was quite desperate; and he did not weigh over a hundred pounds, and would not probably live to return, even if he left, as he proposed to, on the 1st of April. His principal food was milk and hominy, and he was daily borne by his men into the sugar-house, rarely being able to walk over part of the way.

N.Y. Evening Post.
THE DAUPHIN NOT DEAD YET.—A new torn has been given to the Bourbon question by the alleged discovery of important evidence at New Orleans. It is said that an old French lady in that city, wife to a former secretary of the Duchess d'Angouleme, was present at the time Bolanger made his dying declaration of having brought the Dauphin to this country, and that she possesses a large number of documents going to prove the fact. She lately communicated her knowledge in regard to the affair to a gentleman who was a member of Dr. Hawk's church at New Orleans, without being aware of the interest which the question had recently excited at the north, in consequence of the publication in *Putnam's Monthly*. It is also said that the evidence she will furnish is conclusive in favor of Mr. Williams. It is desirable for his sake that it should be so, as he now occupies a rather unpleasant position before the public, though we know that his friends express the most unhesitating conviction of the truth of all his statements.

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC PRINTING.—Gov. Bigler has appointed Ephraim Corrigan, editor of the Carlisle Democrat, Superintendent of the public printing, under the act recently passed by the Legislature. Mr. Corrigan is a practical printer, and will most undoubtedly make a good officer.

POSITIVE AND COMPARATIVE.—The man who is attentive to the ladies is a beau; but when they don't like him he is a boor.

Veto.
To the Senate and House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
GENTLEMEN: I herewith return to you without my signature, House Bill No. 493, entitled "An act incorporating the village of Susquehanna Depot into a borough and relative to certain election districts." My objections are to that part of the Bill which creates the village of Susquehanna Depot into a borough. It may be possible that an act of incorporation would promote the convenience of the people of that town. If so, the general law makes ample provision for the case, through the instrumentality of the Courts. Through this medium, the wishes of the inhabitants can be carried out, and the ends accomplished if desired by them. I most respectfully refer you to my former messages on this subject, for a more general knowledge of my objections to this kind of special Legislation.

EXECUTIVE CHAMBER, { Wm. BIGLER
HARRISBURG, April 5th.

Jury List—April Term, 1853.

GRAND JURORS.

Apelton—John Fallace.
Auburn—Peter Hay.
Bridgewater—Loren Smith.
Brook—Robert W. Gere, R. O. Miles.
Clifford—Abel Burdick.
Franklin—B. G. Grover.
Gibson—Jasper G. Stiles.
Great Bend—George Burley.
Harmony—Lori S. Page, Jno. M. Shepherd.
John Stevens—A. Webb.
Jessup—Ralph S. Birchard.
Lemon—Humphrey Marcy, S. F. Tower.
Liberty—Lorenzo Vance.
New Milford—Parsons H. Corwin, Rich.
and More.
Rush—James More.

Silver Lake—Lawrence Gibson, Patrick Wharton.
Springfield—John Tufts.
Thomson—Chester Stoddard.

TRAVELERS' JURORS.

Apelton—Asahel Graves.

Ararat—Lemont A. Bassell.

Auburn—John Clay, Thomas Keogh, Tread-

way Kellogg.

Bridgewater—James Valdes, E. G. Bab-

cock.

Brooklyn—I. H. Stirling, Amos Teeksbury,

Clifford—John W. Clinton, Alanson Hall-

stead, Elery Crandall, Jr.

Dinock—Samuel P. Brown.

Dundock—Chauncey Smith.

Friendsville—Samuel Horton.

Gibson—A. J. Chamberlin, Abijah Wells,

Great Bend—Joseph Neiman.

Hudson—Tyler Carpenter, Stephen E. Car-

penter, Lorin T. Farm, A. B. Semans, Jacob Clark, Elias N. Carpenter, Stephen Coonan,

Harmony—Loren Norton.

Herrick—Thomas Burdick, Jr., Charles M.

Stewart.

Jackson—David Lamb, Asa D. Corse, Le-

ander Griggs, Milton Tingley.

Mathew—McKeeby Dennis Shay.

Lathrop—Ansel Sterling.

Christopher Perkins.

Linton—Hiram Stevens, Asa Titus, John A.

Tourje, Levi West, Wm. Moore, A. B. Mer-

ritt.

Liberty—I. P. Hawley, Charles D. Adams,

Daniel D. Brown, Jonathan Howard, Harlan Knapp.

Middletown—David Thomas.

Montrose—William Foster, E. C. Ford-

ham, Ogden Pratt, John Walworth.

Ridge—Daniel Garry, Thomas S. James, R.

S. Stewart.

Sixt—Lester—Elijah Willard.

Springville—Albert Burdick, Samuel Sut-

ton, Jr., Salmon Thomas, Nemiah Oakley,

Adler Squires, Philander Strickland.

Theodore—Collins Glatt, Robt. Gelatt, Jr.,

M. T. Mumford.

Those names in *italic* are for Second Week.

Trial List—First Week.

Taylor vs. Lester, Declarant.

Ward vs. Susq. Co. vs. St. John, In Case.

Jameson vs. Brock, et al. Ejectment.

Mogg's Adm'r vs. Vdry's Ex's, In Case.

Fletcher vs. Green, Trespass.

Sutherland vs. Durgo, et al. Ejectment.

Walworth vs. Corse, Appeal.

Walker vs. Susq. County, In Case.

Campbell vs. Newt, " "

Bink vs. Dodge, Trespass.

Pitts & Warner vs. Warner, Replevin.

Taylor vs. Smith, Trespass.

Richard vs. Richmond, Ejectment.

Second Week.

Curtis vs. M. & Harford, Damage.

Goodell vs. Terry, Appeal.

Myrick vs. Dunmore, Trespass.

Tewksbury vs. Russell, Appeal.

Patt vs. Bloom, Replevin.

Dinock Tp. vs. Stephens Appeal.

Hoyle vs. Holly, Ejectment.

Schoenier vs. Tiffany, et al. In Case.

Seymour vs. Merriman, Adm'r, In Case.

Scarlar vs. Bronson, In Debt.

Jessup & Niven, vs. Tewksbury & Lindsey

Ejectment.

Stevens vs. N. Y. & R. R. Co., In Case.

J. Lord Jr. vs. Manzel, In Case.

Wilbur vs. Gaige, Trespass.

Sinith vs. Curtis, In Debt.

Young vs. Swimmers, In Case.

McGovern vs. Wilson, et al. Ejectment.

Wilmarth vs. Titus, Trespass.

Green vs. Wartous, et al. Ejectment.

Crittenden & Johnson vs. N. Y. Mutual In-

surance Co., Case.

Harding vs. Burdick, Appeal.

Camp vs. Marsh, Appeal.

Prude vs. Hayard, Trespass.

Maryott vs. Maryott, Ejectment.

Grande vs. Lott, Appeal.

Ces vs. Dunmore, et al. Trespass.

Briggs vs. Burdick, Partition.

Baker vs. Lane, et al. Trespass.

Hayden & Little vs. Turner & Ball, Eject-

tment.

List of Letters.

Remaining in the Post Office at Montrose

March 31, 1853.

McKebby Matthew T.

Buckley, Thos. 2

Menil, R. F.

Bussell, Lemuel H.

Dewett, Margaret

Brown, Lorenzo G.

Merritt, Benj.

Bloom, John

Magrath, Thomas

Baily, Henry L.

Merrill, Andrew J.

Birchard, Mrs. Anna E. Morgan, Isaac

McNulty, John

Clark, Samuel

Van Osdale Marcus K.

Harken, Aurelia

Barks, Wm. A.

Beck, Mrs. Polly

Bark, Henry W.

Beckerling, Wilard

Belson, Wm. F.

Bierdon, Albert C. 2

Bierson, Samuel F.

Bierman, Honora

Berlitz, Ralph

Bierman, Andrew J.

Bierman, Margaret

Birchard, Reynolds, John

Birchard, Doc. J.

Birchard, Doc. J.