



The Independent Republican

C. F. READ & H. H. FRAZIER, EDITORS. P. E. LOOMIS, CORRESPONDING EDITOR.

MONTROSE, PA. Thursday, August 27, 1857.

Freedom National-Slavery Sectional.

REPUBLICAN STATE TICKET. FOR GOVERNOR, DAVID WILMOT, Of Bradford County.

REPUBLICAN COUNTY TICKET. FOR REPRESENTATIVE, SIMEON B. CHASE, Of Great Bend.

Judge Wilmot's Appointments. By resolution of the State Convention, the following appointments were made:

- John Young, Dimock; Harvey Tyler, Montrose; James C. Bushnell, Ararat; Henry Harter, Apolcon; Z. Cobb, Montrose; R. H. Birch, Auburn; G. W. Palmer, Brooklyn.

PROTHONOTARY. G. B. R. Wade, New Milford; Charles Neale, Chocout; W. B. Wells, Montrose; and Harry Barney, of Apolcon, were named.

REGISTER AND RECORDER. Wm. C. Tiffany, Harford; Charles Neale, Chocout; James W. Chapman, Montrose; W. B. Cargill, Thomson; A. Cassidy, Dimock; Thos. Nicholson, Springville, were named for Register and Recorder.

INSTRUCTING DELEGATES. The following is a copy of the instructions given by the Republican voters of Gibson township to their delegates to the County Convention.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the system of territorial and sale, to the packing of conventions, and every attempt to hinder or embarrass, falsify, or forestall the free, fair, and spontaneous expression of the public mind in relation to the selection of candidates for County Offices.

Resolved, That we are in favor of the free and open election of a State Convention, to be held at Montrose, on the 24th inst., to be instructed, and are hereby instructed to govern their action in said Convention by the principles embodied in the foregoing resolutions.

Resolved, That we would suggest to our friend of the Erie Constitution that he goes a little too far in stating that "the New York Times has, in this County, no influence in relation to the selection of candidates for County Offices."

Resolved, That we are in favor of the free and open election of a State Convention, to be held at Montrose, on the 24th inst., to be instructed, and are hereby instructed to govern their action in said Convention by the principles embodied in the foregoing resolutions.

Resolved, That we are in favor of the free and open election of a State Convention, to be held at Montrose, on the 24th inst., to be instructed, and are hereby instructed to govern their action in said Convention by the principles embodied in the foregoing resolutions.

Republican County Convention.

The Republican Delegates from the several townships in Susquehanna County, met at the old Court House, pursuant to notice, on Monday, August 24, 1857, and were called to order by Harvey Tyler, Esq., Chairman of the standing committee.

On motion the Delegates presented their credentials, as follows: Apolcon—J. Barney, E. B. Beardslee, Ararat—Wm. W. Sterns, W. K. Tyler, Auburn—John Tewksbury, E. L. Adams, Bridgewater—Geo. Frink, E. W. Hawley, Brooklyn—James E. Howe, D. S. Wartous, Clifford—P. H. Gardner, S. E. Miller, Chocout—Sam'l F. Carmalt, H. W. Batten, Dimock—Andrew Young, Elisha Gates, Dundaff—W. H. Stearns, E. Spigener, Forest Lake—Milton Bingham, S. Towne, Franklin—Edwin Summers, Frederick Lines, Friendsville—M. W. Bliss, Samuel Horton, Gibson—Wm. W. Williams, S. S. Ingalls, Great Bend—John H. Thomas, J. S. Conklin, Harford—F. E. Loomis, E. V. Green, Herrick—John P. Richards, L. M. Bunell, Harmony—E. Norton Jr., H. K. Newell, Jackson—Philander Hall, A. D. Corne, Jessup—J. W. Smith, Daniel Fickett, Liberty—G. W. Crandall, Albert Truesdell, Lathrop—H. G. Squires, P. S. Bronson, Lenox—C. O. Loomis, John H. Marcy, Middletown—D. L. Canfield, H. Spafford, Montrose—D. R. Lathrop, Charles Avery, New Milford—L. A. Smith, J. Dickerman Jr., Oakland—Sam'l Brush, Geo. T. Frazier, Rush—Asa L. Eddy, Norman Granger, Susquehanna—C. S. Bennett, A. J. Whitney, Silver Lake—R. M. Gaige, F. A. Bliss, Springville—Amos Williams, P. Strickland, Thomson—A. T. Galloway, Cyrus Hall.

On motion, the Chair appointed a committee of five to draft resolutions, namely, S. F. Carmalt, C. S. Bennett, D. R. Lathrop, James E. Howe, Latham A. Smith.

On motion, the Convention proceeded to nominate REPRESENTATIVE, when Dr. John H. Thomas, of Great Bend, made the following motion:

"In behalf of the Republicans of Great Bend township I move you that our respected fellow townsmen, the HON. SIMEON B. CHASE, be nominated our candidate for Representative, by acclamation. We claim that he has proven himself worthy of this honor at your hands and is entitled to your confidence and support."

Carried enthusiastically without opposition. SHERIFF. The following were named for Sheriff: John Young, Dimock; Harvey Tyler, Montrose; James C. Bushnell, Ararat; Henry Harter, Apolcon; Z. Cobb, Montrose; R. H. Birch, Auburn; G. W. Palmer, Brooklyn.

1st ballot. 2d ballot. 3d ballot. John Young, 18 31 41; Harvey Tyler, 17 19 16; J. C. Bushnell, 9 4 1; Benj. Comfort, 6 4 4; W. B. Wells, 5 4 1; Harry Barney, 4 1 1; Z. Cobb, 3 2 2; R. H. Birch, 2 2 2; G. W. Palmer, 3 2 2.

JOHN YOUNG, of Dimock, was then declared duly nominated for Sheriff, which nomination was made unanimous.

PROTHONOTARY. G. B. R. Wade, New Milford; Charles Neale, Chocout; W. B. Wells, Montrose; and Harry Barney, of Apolcon, were named.

REGISTER AND RECORDER. Wm. C. Tiffany, Harford; Charles Neale, Chocout; James W. Chapman, Montrose; W. B. Cargill, Thomson; A. Cassidy, Dimock; Thos. Nicholson, Springville, were named for Register and Recorder.

1st ballot. 2d ballot. 3d ballot. Tiffany, 12 18 21 20 19; Neale, 13 17 21 23 37; Chapman, 16 21 17 23 37; Cassidy, 8 5 6 4 4; Nicholson, 6 5 6 4 4.

CHARLES NEALE, of Chocout, was then declared duly nominated for Register and Recorder.

COMMISSIONER. M. C. Stuart, A. Truesdell, David Taylor, I. A. Newton, Orange Mott, Edward Dawson, and N. J. Sherwood, were then named for Commissioner.

1st ballot. 2d ballot. 3d ballot. 4th ballot. 5th ballot. 6th ballot. 7th ballot. Gilman, 10 9 14 19 20 18; Mott, 18 11 19 15 27 38; Riley, 14 17 16 16 12 7 2; Baldwin, 1 2 1 1 1 1 1; Barney, 5 5 2 2 2 2 2; Hinds, 5 5 2 2 2 2 2; Stoddard, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1; Lines, 2 1 1 1 1 1 1; Palmer, 2 1 1 1 1 1 1; Dawson, 3 4 5 4 3 3 3; Foster, 5 7 1 1 1 1 1.

Whereupon, C. W. MOTT, of Montrose, was declared the nominee for Treasurer.

THOMAS, Great Bend; Amos Williams, Springville; O. G. Homestead, Brooklyn; and J. Dickerman jr., of New Milford. On motion, adjourned until Evening, to be called to order by Committee on Resolutions.

Resolved, That the so-called Democratic party by refusing to declare the acts of the bogus Legislature of Kansas void—agreed to the bill which passed the Lower House of Congress but was lost in the Senate—and by declaring their intention to support those laws at all hazards, and by appointing the most bitter partisans of Slavery to fill the offices of the Border Counties, have adopted the acts of the Border Counties as their own—therefore:

Resolved, That if we should support the administration of James Buchanan, as do the so-called Democrats, we should be supporting mob law for justice, judicial tyranny for federal protection, Slavery for Freedom, both for whites and blacks.

Resolved, That the Republicans of Susquehanna County, congregate themselves and the State at large, upon the nomination for Governor of the Hon. Darius Bullock, tried and faithful representative of Republican principles; and we pledge ourselves to use every honorable exertion to secure his election, in preference to any candidate who fears to meet him in a discussion of political issues.

Resolved, That we approve the general course of the Hon. S. B. Chase, Representative of this County in the State Legislature, of which the best evidence is his unanimous nomination for reelection to the station he has filled so creditably both to himself and his constituents.

Resolved, That in the names of John Young, of Dimock, G. B. R. Wade, of New Milford, Charles Neale, of Chocout, Orange Mott jr., of Forest Lake, Charles W. Taylor, of Montrose, George T. Frazier, of Oakland, and Josiah Blackman of Montrose, we recognize honest and capable men, and good Republicans, and we pledge ourselves to support them unanimously at the polls.

After the adoption of the resolutions, the convention was briefly addressed by Mr. Chase, our nominee for Representative, and it then adjourned until Evening, to be called to order by the officers.

Letter from Kansas. The following private letter has been sent to us for publication: LAWRENCE, July 27th, 1857. DEAR PARENTS:—We are well. The weather is extremely hot now, and the weather is high. Geo. Walker is down upon us with their U. S. Dragoons. He came here to make the people of this city give up the charter, but he is sorely disappointed. The boys won't mind him, but talk of putting him out of the school house. He found the people in a state of profound peace and quiet, and orderly. No one knew the meaning of Governor Walker's move. A meeting was called by the citizens, and a resolution was adopted to fill the bosom of every man, and a resolution was passed concerning the Governor, to bring the U. S. Army and quartering them upon the people in time of profound peace, and that the action of the people should be to treat him with the cool contempt, for his cowardly assault upon Lawrence. The Governor saw his mistake soon after he got here, but he persists that something must be done. (No doubt but the South demand something at his hands, and he is sorely pressed.) Well, he has been nearly two weeks, and the world jogs on as usual. He can't do anything with the city population. Now he says he is going to stop the Free State election, which is to be held one week from to-day, Aug. 3d. On that day the Topeka constitution is submitted to all the free settlers of Kansas. At the same time we elect a delegate to Congress, under that Constitution. Now, the Governor says he is going to stop all this, or he will blow this Black-Walton town to hell; just as though Lawrence was going to cast the whole vote. Brother, Governor Walker, you are breaking open the Treason of a Volcano that will sweep you here first; what do you mean that you drive Americans to submission under a man that would disgrace the most despotic governments of the old world, with U. S. bayonets? He might as well undertake to turn back the waters of the Kansas river, and make them flow over the left bank of the Rocky Mountains. One drop of blood spilt by U. S. troops upon the soil of Kansas, at this time, will dash this Union to fragments. I tell you sincerely that I believe that will involve this country in all the horrors of civil strife. This question of Slavery has got to be settled. And sooner than relinquish my constitutional rights which were guaranteed to me by my forefathers, I will meet the shock. There is no man I will not shrink from the contest. Ever your letter reaches you, the work may have commenced. Either the people of this Territory, or Geo. Walker, has got to back down. We wait for the result. Yours, &c. N. W. SPIER.

BRADFORD AND TOGA.—A correspondent of the Evening Bulletin, writing from Bradford County, gives the following representation of political affairs in that section:

Your readers are familiar with the political aspect of this region. I am here in the very heart of Wilmot's district. Judge Wilmot is, without exception, the most popular man at home that I ever read of. It is not only popular, but the masses are really enthralled in his praise. Bradford will give him 6000 majority, but Toza is for the Banner. The strife for the greater majority, in proportion to the population, will be a warm one between these two counties; but it is thought that Toza will win. The Wilmot men everywhere, feel confident of reducing the Democratic majorities where they have the ascendancy.

The action of the body-guard of the Democratic nominee, in declining to accept Wilmot's proposition to stump the State, is hailed by the friends of the latter, here, as a surrender of the Democracy in favor of the superior ability of their champion.

THE "MEX" CANDIDATE.—The Republican, locooco organ in Clearfield county, was very sure, no further back than the 8th of July, that Gen. Packer would meet and annihilate WILMOT on the stump. Hear it:

"BOSCOMB.—It has been announced that Wilmot has challenged Gen. Packer to public discussion before the people. Do these gentlemen forget that immediately upon his nomination Gen. Packer caused it to be pronounced through his friends that he was ready to meet his competitor, whoever it might be, before the people, in defence of the principles of the Democratic party?"

If immediately upon his nomination, Gen. Packer announced that he was ready to meet his competitor, why is it that he has so suddenly lost his valor, and refused to come out like a man "in defence of the principles of the Democratic party?" Is he afraid of Wilmot, or is he afraid the principles of his party will not bear discussion? The locooco should not speak of their "time honored principles" when their leader has not the courage to come out and defend them.—Pittsburgh Gazette.

JUDICIAL APPOINTMENT.—Gov. Pollock, of Pa., has appointed DANIEL BULLOCK, Esq., of Bradford County, to the office of President Judge of the 12th Judicial District, in place of Hon. David Wilmot, resigned; and the appointment appearing before the Senate for confirmation. Mr. Bullock, being a general sound lawyer, is a gentleman of high moral worth, and enjoys the confidence and respect of all who know him.—Owego Gazette.

HAS THE SOUTH NO INTEREST IN KANSAS?—Perhaps many of our readers are beginning to complain of the tenacity with which we cling to what they are inclined to regard as an effort subject of discussion. But we cannot divert our minds so easily of its early and deep impressions. The sense of the vast importance to the Southern people of the future political and social condition of Kansas—Whatever may be the cause—whether the defect of our own friends or the treachery of the authorities into whose hands its destiny has been committed—the loss will be an irreparable blow to the South. It will forever settle the question of the further expansion of our system in the present limits of the Union. It will completely arrest our progress in the only direction in which it is possible for us to advance. We have from the commencement of this subject in the leading Gazette and Democrat edited by Mr. Galt, a speaker of the House, and a staunch Democrat.

The proper objects of civil government are few and simple. The fewer and more simple we make them, the nearer we arrive at the perfection of republican institutions. The transportation of merchandise and conveyance of passengers are indispensable though they be to the wants of a trading people—are not the enterprises for which a Government such as ours was established. Our indulgent Old Commonwealth has done what her children ought to have done for themselves, and paid dearly for it, as is generally the case with those who travel out of their sphere to assist others. She is more to be commiserated than blamed for all this. But now that her children are able to get along without her, and only abuse her favors, she does wisely to sell out, and relinquish a business which was never congenial to her tastes or inclination; and consequently has never been profitable to her. Let her sell all her canals and railroads to those who can use them to the best advantage, and return to the simple and more proper duty of protecting the people in their persons, property, and lawful pursuits.

THE STATE PAYS THE PAPER.—The public reporters the late famous "injunction" brought by Henry S. Mott, to prevent the sale of the Main Line of Public Works. One of the bills presented to the Court was from Mr. Mott as a stockholder in the Pennsylvania Railroad Company. Another was from him as a bondholder of the Commonwealth. A third was from him and others as Canal Commissioners. The attorneys in these cases were Wm. M. Meredith, Charles H. Buckner, Wm. L. Hirst and James H. Wagon. We learn through the Philadelphia Morning Times, that within three weeks claims have been presented to the State Treasury from two of the Counsel—Mercedith and Buckner—for services rendered in the suits referred to. The bill of the former was \$1000—of the latter \$750. When the claims were first presented, the Auditor General—J. F. Vreeland—declined paying them—upon the ground that no appropriation had been made for that purpose, and the payment of them would be a violation of his duty. He maintained this position for some days. Subsequently he was induced to abandon it, and allow the claim. The State Treasurer—Henry S. Magraw, promptly paid it, on the 25th of July. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. The suits in question were not authorized to be brought by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services were to be paid by the State. The Counsel referred to were not employed by the Commonwealth. They were the individual acts of the persons engaged in them, and the Commonwealth was in no sense responsible for the money claimed. In addition, the act passed by the last Legislature relative to the office of Attorney General, which became a law, provided that the fee for such services