

The Pittsburgh Gazette

PUBLISHED BY
THE GAZETTE PUBLISHING ASSOCIATION

MONDAY, JULY 3, 1862

UNION COUNTY TICKET

FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY:

LEWIS B. DUFF, of Allegheny.

HENRY LAMBERT, Pittsburg.

JOHN T. COOPER, of Allegheny.

DAVID ALLEN, of Union County.

JAMES C. MCGOWAN, Allegheny.

W. L. MACINTOSH, of Allegheny.

GEO. W. MARSHALL, of Allegheny.

CHARLES HARRISON, Mechanicsburg.

DAVID SHEPPARD, of Pittsburg.

JOHN A. DANE, of Allegheny.

JOSEPH CONNELL, of Allegheny.

R. L. MCNAUL, of Allegheny.

WILLIAM CLEES, of Charter Township.

DIVERSITY OF EMPLOYMENT ESSENTIAL TO PROSPERITY.

In Illinois, which is but one of the great agricultural States of the West—perhaps we may say the *Country*—ninety-five per cent of all land is held for cultivation, but not more than twelve per cent is yet in cultivation. Yet what a vast product is drawn from this one-eighth of the soil!

Take this vast reservoir of unplied land, add to it the very varied quality, in connection with the labor-saving machinery which is becoming so perfect and which is admirably adapted to the broad prairies of the west, and what will the farmers of less favored regions have to do but make markets for agricultural products shall be made to keep pace with their increase? We hold that no class of the American people will be deeply interested in that policy which shall result in the greatest possible diversity of employment among the American people as the farmer, or in other words an over-stock of producers of food be prevented.

Let those non-farmer theorists that have ever great surplus may be, that can find a market for it in Europe. Europe only needs so much to supply its wants; and if they press their surplus upon the world, they will be compelled to give up the right of suffrage is founded.

FROM MARYLAND.

Crop Prospect Field Labor.—The Freedmen—Illegal Apprenticeship.—(Correspondence of the Evening Post.)

BALTIMORE, June 27, 1862.

The harvest has begun in Maryland, and now what has always made its appearance from the eastern shore of the state, I have been half inclined to suspect that all the same grain, &c., in the State, which is now being raised, is a species of rice, or some other grain, which is now more than the cost of labor to the planter, and even before that point reached, the slaveholders, knowing what was to come, stock there is behind, will crush prices down in order to enhance their own profits. No

one who is not a slaveholder may be to come for ward at any given time.

But let enough of miscreants become competitors with the traders for the slaves, and the latter, if they supply the foreign demand at all, will be obliged to sell at a very moderate profit to themselves, leaving a fair profit to the producers. Between them the farmer will be pretty sure of a good market.

A consumer of food in Europe, on an average, draws about one-tenth of his supply from America; a consumer in Africa, draws all of his. It follows, therefore, that if a manufacturer or artisan of Europe can be induced to come here and work for us, instead of staying there and working for us, as a customer, worth ten-fold more to us as a manufacturer of food, what will induce him to come? Active and profitable industry in the South, be it what it may. And what will induce the condition of things? Nothing but an adequate degree of protection by the Government against the competition of foreigners;

In other words, a protective tariff.

On the other hand, the enhanced prices. To be sure, it will not enhance the farmers to pay, for some time at least, ten per cent, more for his iron and implements, and more goods; but it will enable him to sell his grain, flour, &c., for twenty to fifty per cent more, and to dispose of many of these good prices which, but for the manufacturer in his neighborhood, he could not sell.

Let any intelligent farmer, who is old enough to remember the period between 1852 and 1854, call to mind the suffering and the country had then. Then let him call to mind the instant relief, the universal revival of prices, sales, and of active and well-rewarded industry, which succeeded the passage of the protective tariff of 1852.

Now, a horse, a gun, a horse-shoe, a plough or a coat is not money than it did a year earlier, but the farmer was compensated tenfold by the increased prices and demand for what he had to sell.

Suppose, by starting new branches of industry we could add a hundred thousand to the population of these cities, it is not clear that the land within a radius of five miles would be greatly enhanced both, in fact and productive value—perhaps doubled. But doubling the present number of consumers seriously may by twenty thousand, and a corresponding depreciation would be sure to result. The whole question we are discussing is, whether it will be remedied up and exemplified in this illustration, the truth of which requires no argument.

IS SURFACE A BRIGHT?

The Cincinnati *Gazette*, after quoting a portion of the article of the New York *Post*, on the right of Negroes to vote, (which was published a few days ago) and in which it is contended that the right to vote is inherent, and “as common to all citizens” goes on to remark:

The proposition that suffrage is a natural right belonging to every man, and which is inherent in every citizen, is not to assert to this it is not inherent.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make distinctions of rights, such as liberty, property, and the rights of citizens of the United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

We might also, to the incidental remark that the right to vote is inherent, add that the right to vote is inherent, and “as common to all citizens”

“as common to all citizens” goes on to remark:

The proposition that suffrage is a natural right belonging to every man, and which is inherent in every citizen, is not to assert to this it is not inherent.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.

But to accept the declaration that no power can accept the declaration that no power can

protect his rights, is to admit that the citizens of the United States do make

distinctions of rights, such as liberty,

property, and the rights of citizens of the

United States, and the privileges and immunities of citizens in the United States, makes it the duty of the Government to regulate the exercise of these rights, and to guarantee them to every citizen.