

E. O'MEARA GOODRICH.

It is with feelings of deep sorrow and sore bereavement that we announce to our readers the death of the proprietor and editor of this paper—E. O'MEARA GOODRICH. This unexpected event took place on Friday morning last, at nine o'clock, while Mr. Goodrich was visiting his daughter, Mrs. E. KATZELL, at Binghamton, N. Y. For some time his friends had been sensible of a decline in his health, and when first prostrated at Binghamton some alarm was felt lest the attack might prove fatal; but only a few hours before the end came did all hope fail and the dread certainty assert itself that he must die. Ministered to with unflinching devotion—watched with the agony of a consuming love, he gently sank into that sleep that knows no earthly waking. The community in which he spent the greater part of his life was painfully startled by the news of his death; from house to house the tidings were carried; and all felt a personal shock and loss in his departure.

It is becoming that we enter into a somewhat detailed account of his character and career. Mr. Goodrich was born in Columbia township, this county, June 23d, 1824. He was the eldest son of E. S. and ANNA GOODRICH. When about six years of age his parents removed to Towanda, which has ever since been his home. His father was the founder of this paper, and while yet a youth, in 1843, he became associated with him in its management. In 1846 he assumed the entire control and continued to the period of his death its guiding and inspiring spirit.

It is scarcely necessary to say to the readers of the REPORTER that this was Mr. GOODRICH'S obtained sphere of action. He was born, not made, an editor. He had remarkable gifts for every part of editorial labor. He had great talent and taste in the typographical department; his eye was infallible, and the costume of the paper was always tasteful and attractive. He spared no expense to make it as perfect as he could. He had a pride in giving his patron the very best return in his power. His own pecuniary reward was insignificant in his eyes in comparison of the perfection of his paper.

But over and above the printer's taste and skill, he possessed the editor's ability and judgment and knowledge. He mastered the subjects that came within his province. He knew state and national politics thoroughly. He always wrote from a full mind, and hence intelligently, forcibly and rapidly. He was gifted with a singular sound judgment. His brain was always cool and controlled. He was never shaken from his poise. With a steady glance he surveyed the most exciting subjects, and then uttered his opinions and judgments with a calmness and ease that was admirable as it is uncommon. Equally rare was his self-command in respect of speech. He knew that, as Solomon has said, there is a time to keep silence and a time to speak. Silence was golden to him. He could speak his mind fully and calmly, and then stop. Hence his editorials were full, intelligent, careful, weighty; but never rash, intemperate, ill-considered. He treated his opponents with respect; he did them no just as he could; but he never descended to low and ill-considered abuse. In the warmest conflicts he was the self-mastery gentleman; he fought principles, not men. He felt no spite; he treated no grudges. He had strong convictions and always claimed the right to utter them; but he freely accorded to others the same right and liberty. This was one of the most prominent reasons of his great influence in our country. He carried the same justice and honesty and honor into politics that characterized him in all other relations of life. Men felt that they had to do with a just and true man, whom no interest or prejudice could induce to do a conscious, deliberate wrong. It has been remarked by an old friend that in politics Mr. Goodrich was always in favor of the open, honorable course; he wanted no concealment, no tricks; what was worth fighting for, in his judgment, was worth a fairly, manly battle. Hence in his hands the REPORTER became a great power. It had much to do with the success of the Republican party. It fell into line at the front rank of battle. In the Northern Tier—among the followers of DAVID WILSON—it occupied the first place of comeliness and influence. In all home-politics men waited anxiously to hear what the REPORTER had to say.

It was a necessary sequence that honor and office should wait upon such gifts and influence. Originally a Democrat he drifted into the free-soil current in 1848, but it was not until 1853 that he severed forever his connection with the party of his youthful devotion. It was a great risk, but he assumed it cheerfully because, from principle, and subsequent events indicated his sagacity. In union with such Democrats as David Wilcox and ULYSSSES MENCHER he took a public stand against the Kansas-Nebraska bill, and in 1855 was a delegate to the convention at Pittsburgh which organized the National Republican Party. Thus he became affiliated from the beginning with the Republican party of this county, but Mr. GOODRICH was elected in spite of all difficulties; and before his term had expired so popular had he become that he was unanimously re-nominated and triumphantly re-elected. This was a great compliment; and it was deserved by the patience and courtesy of the man, who forebore to speak ill of his most unfair opponents. On the inauguration of Gen. GASTON in 1868 he was appointed Surveyor of Customs for the Port of Philadelphia, and was twice re-appointed; had he lived a month longer he would have held the office for twelve years. Such a long incumbency proves a high order of business capacity; and a high degree of reticence. A few years ago a Congressional committee examined the business of his office and highly commended its efficiency and correctness. He passed a severe ordeal with the unblemished reputation.

In truth, the untimely and character of Mr. GOODRICH was what he could not but succeed in whatsoever he undertook. He was scrupulously just and honest; he had the power to adapt himself to any circumstances; what he did he did promptly and thoroughly. His good name was far more valuable in his estimation than any possible emoluments or gains. And his sound judgment and steady nerves were an unfailing source of success. His career illustrates the truth that success is in the man, not in his circumstances.

The people of Towanda do not need to be told that manner of citizen he was. There was no good cause—no public interest—no local advantage, which he did not favor and promote. His hand was always open to the needy. He was always ready to encourage the struggling. The church upon which he attended found him at all times a ready and generous helper; his ministers could always count upon his faithful friendship. All who were ever engaged in his service bear witness to his kindness, his consideration, and his helpful sympathy. In the public interests of Towanda he always showed himself a liberal-minded citizen, and was called in all cases to aid in the work of the community in which he lived, and his place is one which in many respects it is very difficult to supply.

He had a large circle of warmly attached friends who will extend to his family their sincere sympathy in this sorrowful bereavement; who will cherish the memory of the deceased as of an honorable, useful and upright man.

He was a man of strict integrity and rectitude of purpose, and no one could justly charge him with a disreputable action. Quiet and unobtrusive in disposition, he always avoided notoriety. He was naturally reticent, but having a large fund of general information and a ready facility of expression, his conversation when among his friends was both entertaining and instructive. His temper was singularly mild and equable; and during the illness of the late years' duration the writer can recall an unpleasant or disagreeable incident in his intercourse with him. His death, while yet vigorous both in mind and body, is a severe loss to the community in which he lived, and his place is one which in many respects it is very difficult to supply.

The Congressional Appropriation bill reported to the House yesterday, and the bill is so amended as to provide for a membership of 81, instead of 301, as in the original bill. If it should pass in the shape introduced, Pennsylvania will retain the present representation of 37 members. There are those in the House who are in favor of a still larger increase, but they are not probably a majority of the body. With the amendment of 81 members for the only States that would lose are Maine, New Hampshire, New York and Vermont, each one.

The Land League trials, at Dublin, have come to an end, the jurors failing to agree and were dismissed. One can hardly doubt after reading the charge of Judge FRYMONT, that he desired the trials to proceed as the did. There is to be no immediate coercion in Ireland, and the conviction of the so-called lawbreakers would inevitably have been followed by more scenes of violence than have yet been witnessed since the present troubles commenced. The opinion prevails very generally that while the Crown determined to bring the lawbreakers to trial it held out the courage to convict them, hence the entire proceeding was a farce. It is hoped that Parliament will now proceed to do something practical for the relief of Ireland—adopt a wise, just and humane policy that will give the Irish people a chance to improve their condition.

The vote in the Senate on the motion to take up Mr. Logan's bill placing certain Grants on the retired list, with the rank and pay of General, shows very conclusively that the Democratic majority in Congress will not consent to the passage of the measure. In discussing the bill Senator Logan made a scathing attack upon the measure, and in doing so he reminded us of the late Senator Johnson, when he reminded us of the late Senator Johnson, when he reminded us of the late Senator Johnson. It is clear that General Grant will receive no consideration at the hands of the present Democratic Congress, and it will be foolish to press the subject.

Only three National Banks failed during 1890, and these have paid dividends to creditors of from eighty to one hundred per cent. This paragraph will doubtless bring "down with National Banks" since 1870. Some one says that those who never have fifty cents in their pockets at any one time, think they know how to pay the National debt to those Greencrackers who never do any banking business, but still think National Banks a curse to the country.

At the figures announced can be accepted as truthful, as to which there is some room for doubt, however, the war in which all but were engaged, and he was certainly led our armies to victory in the war for the preservation of the American Union. The only Democrats who voted with the Republicans were Senators Lamar, of Mississippi, and McPherson, of New Jersey. It is clear that General Grant will receive no consideration at the hands of the present Democratic Congress, and it will be foolish to press the subject.

In the figures announced can be accepted as truthful, as to which there is some room for doubt, however, the war in which all but were engaged, and he was certainly led our armies to victory in the war for the preservation of the American Union. The only Democrats who voted with the Republicans were Senators Lamar, of Mississippi, and McPherson, of New Jersey. It is clear that General Grant will receive no consideration at the hands of the present Democratic Congress, and it will be foolish to press the subject.

The election for Senator in the Twenty-third year of his life, the sense of esteem and respect with which he was honored, was shown last Sunday afternoon, when a large concourse gathered to follow his body to its resting-place. Actions speak louder than words. It was an unmistakable utterance of mingled respect and sorrow.

A few words need to be said as to Mr. GOODRICH'S domestic and social traits and relations. On the 17th day of July, in the year 1843, he was married to Miss SUSANNA O'HARA, of Binghamton, who still survives him to mourn over her great loss. Of the three children whom God gave them, two daughters remain; the youngest—a promising boy—died at an early age. It is no unuseless trespass upon the sanctity of domestic life to say that he was exceeding tender and kind in his family; that he sought to gratify every wish of those he loved; and that they, in turn, clung to him with the utmost love and reverence. His death to him seemed like the blotting out of the light of life.

To a wide circle of friends the passing away of E. O. GOODRICH has brought sincere grief. He was not a man to make rapid and superficial friendships; but his real friends he bound to him with links of steel. His own chastity and truth were reflected in the chastity and truth of his friends. They relied upon his fidelity and wisdom and were never disappointed. Throughout this State he was widely acquainted, and was always influential in the councils and conventions of his party. His death leaves a void that will be felt for years. But his memory will survive, and his deeds of kindness and love live after him. May those who take his place in the relations of business and politics, be actuated by the same inspirations and aspirations!

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

The funeral services took place at the family residence, Second street, on Saturday afternoon. The Rev. Dr. STEWART, of the Presbyterian Church, conducted the services and delivered a short address. The remains were enclosed in a cloth-covered casket with silver trimmings. The plate on the casket bore the simple inscription: "E. O. GOODRICH, AGED 66 YEARS." Judge MENCHER, Hon. JOHN POWELL, Colonel F. F. MEANS, W. M. MALLON, JAMES MACGILLIVRAY, M. C. MERRIS, W. A. CHAMBERLAIN and EDWARD WALKER acted as pall-bearers, and the remains were followed to Riverside Cemetery, where they were interred, by a large concourse of citizens, composed of all classes, thus manifesting in what high esteem the lamented dead was held by all.

THE HARISBURG LETTER. HARRISBURG, Jan. 23, 1891.—The second week of the contest for the election of a United States Senator and the fourth week of the legislative session closed today, without any definite result as to the selection of a gentleman to succeed Mr. Wallace, or the final disposition of a single subject in the way of legislation that some great man proposes to introduce. It is not that Mr. Wallace has failed to bring responsibility to him; the public business is a proposition which will not admit of much doubt, but where he places the responsibility, who to blame for the existing state of affairs, is another matter which people must settle for themselves.

There has been any amount of talk, and some predictions indulged in by the friends of the candidates during the week, but on this Saturday evening no one appears to know any more about who will be elected than was known about the day the Legislature assembled. The Reporter of the 27th inst. having given the ballots up and including the one taken on Tuesday, I will note those had since that day. On Wednesday the 29th inst. the ballot in joint convention was as follows: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 80; Crow, 57; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, 3; Curtin, Snowden, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 230. The same as the day previous, except that John Donahue (Dem.), of Philadelphia, changed from Allison to Oliver. There were five pairs. If all those absent had voted, this ninth ballot would have been as follows: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 80; Crow, 57; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, 3; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 245. The tenth ballot, on Thursday, resulted as follows: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 83; Crow, 58; Hewitt, 3; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 229. The same as the ninth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The eleventh ballot, on Friday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twelfth ballot, on Saturday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The thirteenth ballot, on Sunday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The fourteenth ballot, on Monday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The fifteenth ballot, on Tuesday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The sixteenth ballot, on Wednesday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The seventeenth ballot, on Thursday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The eighteenth ballot, on Friday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The nineteenth ballot, on Saturday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twentieth ballot, on Sunday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-first ballot, on Monday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-second ballot, on Tuesday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-third ballot, on Wednesday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-fourth ballot, on Thursday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-fifth ballot, on Friday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-sixth ballot, on Saturday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-seventh ballot, on Sunday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-eighth ballot, on Monday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The twenty-ninth ballot, on Tuesday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The thirtieth ballot, on Wednesday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The thirty-first ballot, on Thursday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The thirty-second ballot, on Friday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The thirty-third ballot, on Saturday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The thirty-fourth ballot, on Sunday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips, 2; Snowden, Curtin, Agnew and Baird, 1 each—total, 248.

The thirty-fifth ballot, on Monday, was taken with the following result: Oliver, 85; Wallace, 87; Crow, 53; Hewitt, 4; MacVeach, Phillips, 2; Agnew, Curtin and Baird, 1 each—total, 249. The same as the tenth ballot, except Mr. Holman, of Perry, changed from Hewitt to Oliver; Hubbs, of Philadelphia, changed from Oliver to Phillips; and Jordan, of Lawrence, changed from Crow to Phillips. There were nineteen gentlemen paired. If all had voted the tenth ballot would have been: Oliver, 80; Wallace, 91; Crow, 55; Hewitt and MacVeach, 3 each; Phillips