

NOT A SHIP

In Chile's Whole Navy, According to the Opinion of Yankee Experts.

KNOCKED OUT IN THE WAR

The Yorktown Gives the Errazuriz the Star-Spangled Banner.

FROM A BALNACEDA STANDPOINT

Comes a Very Strong Newspaper Plea for Justice to Uncle Sam.

WHO'S NOTHING IF NOT MAGNANIMOUS

Official Correspondence of the Associated Press.

SANTIAGO, Dec. 28.—It is the general opinion of American naval officers and others familiar with the subject that there is no ship in the Chilean navy that is fully available for war purposes.

It is said the machinery of all of them, including the Esmeralda and the Cochrane, is in very bad condition. Even the Errazuriz, which is a new ship and arrived only last week, is said to be in the same condition as the others.

A slight international incident occurred in Valparaiso harbor on the night that the Errazuriz arrived. She commenced firing her search lights about 1 o'clock in the morning and threw the glare all over the bay in what was supposed to be an effort to find the Yorktown.

Spelled With the Star-Spangled Banner.

Finally threw the light across the forecasts of an American ship today and some of the rays penetrated through the points to the berth deck, where the "watch below" was sleeping. The blue jackets were aroused from their slumbers by the light and a number of them went on the forecastle and sang the "Star Spangled Banner" until the American vessel was under way.

Minister Egan has been presented by the refugees who have been living in asylum at the American Legation for four months past. He has been given a copy of the kind of life they are leading in the country.

An article appeared two days ago in La Democracia, a paper which was recently established in Santiago, Chile, and which is entirely different from anything else published in any other newspaper in Santiago or Valparaiso.

Want Data on the Yankee Question.

Unfortunately we are not in possession of all the data which it is necessary to dig up and analyze to determine the true character of the "Yankee question."

The "Diplomacy was Misleading."

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

Has Made the Temper of Congress Favorable to Enlarging the Navy.

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

Has Made the Temper of Congress Favorable to Enlarging the Navy.

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

Has Made the Temper of Congress Favorable to Enlarging the Navy.

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

Has Made the Temper of Congress Favorable to Enlarging the Navy.

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

Has Made the Temper of Congress Favorable to Enlarging the Navy.

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

Has Made the Temper of Congress Favorable to Enlarging the Navy.

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

Has Made the Temper of Congress Favorable to Enlarging the Navy.

It is a pity that the expression of views from the United States, which is so often done in the name of the United States, is so often done in a way that is so misleading.

LAST OF THE WAR.

Blaine Informs Chile that Her Proposition is Satisfactory—No Hard Feelings Between Harrison and Montt—Settlement for the Ohio to Be Made at Once.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Jan. 30.—Secretary Blaine sent a cable message to Minister Egan this morning instructing him to inform the Chilean Government that its proposition for a settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

It is said that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

While it is not altogether improbable that the settlement of the differences between the two governments, made in response to the ultimatum of the 21st inst. is satisfactory to the President of the United States, as indicating a good prospect that the controversy can now be adjusted on terms satisfactory to this Government by the usual diplomatic methods.

A LAWYER'S HARD LUCK.

Lois Fuller's Own Story of Her Marriage—Her Husband Placed Under \$2,500 Bonds Until the Trial is Concluded—The Father Shamed.

NEW YORK, Jan. 30.—[Special.]—Several theatrical people were in Jefferson Market Police Court this morning when the case of Miss Lois Fuller against Lawyer W. B. Hayes was called. Mrs. Amelia E. Hayes, the prisoner's wife, said she was married to Hayes in Pennsylvania in 1894. She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

She had never been separated from him and had lived with him for five years.

THE KAISER'S WEAKENING.

The Kaiser's Opposition Bill Stirs Up Dangerous Opposition.

'Twill Probably Be Modified.

Princess Bismarck Holds Her Tongue, but Enjoys the Situation.

A CABINET CRISIS SEEMS IMMINENT.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

The Freisinnige leader had reason to fear that the bill, after disappearing from the House, would be handled privately by a prejudiced committee and would be returned with its substance unaltered.

The National Liberals shared the Freisinnige leader's reluctance against allowing the bill to go to the committee, either approved or rejected at the leading clauses of the measure.

ONLY THREE ESCAPED.

A British Crew Lost in a Big Gale Off the Coast of Oregon.

It is Supposed Their Vessel Was Caught in a Chorus Current.

THE CONSUL CARES FOR SURVIVORS.

ASTORIA, Ore., Jan. 30.—The British ship Ferndale, coal laden, went ashore yesterday morning five miles north of the entrance to Gray's Harbor, 70 miles north of the mouth of Columbia river. The wreck occurred at daylight. Twenty lives were lost, including that of Captain Balfour. Three of the crew were saved by being washed ashore on pieces of wreckage.

The dead body of the man, encased in a life preserver has been found on the beach, but none of the other bodies are yet recovered. The vessel is reported a total loss. She was coal laden and sailed from New Castle, N. S. W., November 6, for Portland, Ore. She was consigned to Balfour, Guthrie & Co., of Portland. The scene of the wreck was a mile north of where the Abercrombie was wrecked. Owing to lack of telegraph facilities no further news is obtainable at present.

British Vice Consul Cherry, of this city, has telegraphed to Gray's Harbor to care for the three survivors till they are able to be brought here. The Ferndale was spoken last Sunday, 30 miles off the entrance to the Columbia river. Captain Nichols, of the Columbia, reported that he saw the ship this afternoon, reports when he was in the locality of the wreck yesterday afternoon a strong wind sprang up from the west. He tracked ship and stood out to sea. He supposed Captain Balfour, of the lost ship, must have tracked on the other quarter and stood in toward shore and was caught by a fatal current, and was carried in to the coast near the beach. The sea was the highest ever known on the coast.

She Tells All She Knows About the Glendale Express Robbery.

ST. LOUIS, Jan. 30.—Mrs. Hedspeth, wife of Martin Hedspeth, the leader of the notorious gang which equalled the exploits of the James boys in the daring robbery of the "Frisco train at Glendale, November 30, has made a statement to the police embodying all the knowledge in her possession about the affair.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

She told the police that she and her husband, Martin Hedspeth, were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery. She said that she and her husband were in the city at the time of the robbery.

A MOTHER'S HALLUCINATION.

She Shoots Her Daughter in the Face and Wounds Her Sister.

ATLANTIC CITY, Jan. 30.—Mrs. Emma Warwick, the wife of Captain Warwick, of the schooner Blanche King, now in Philadelphia, yesterday shot her young daughter Laura in the face while suffering from a severe attack of nervous prostration. It is said that she was firmly impressed with the hallucination that she should also kill her 15-year-old son and herself.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.

She shot her daughter in the face and wounded her sister.