

The abuse of the McKinley tariff abroad is a strong card for protection here.

A law that governs foreign trade, with a view to fostering and protecting home industries, could not well be framed so as to please foreigners.

The fact that such a measure is denounced abroad is prima facie evidence that it is curtailing foreign opportunities to make money out of America, and, as we know is the case with the present tariff, at the same time building up our home industries.

The tariff issue was well set forth by Mr. C. C. Shays in his speech at Pomeroy recently. He put it to his audience in this shape:

If a capitalist were to come to Pomeroy to invest a million dollars, and would leave it in the hands of the man who will vote for you here or in West Virginia, how would you vote on that question? Would you not vote to have him invest his capital in Pomeroy? You surely would.

You would invest that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here. Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue. It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

Now if you were called upon to vote whether that same manufacturer should establish his factory in Ohio or in West Virginia, how would you vote? You would vote to have it located in Ohio.

Now when you are called upon to cast your vote in this country, to build manufacturing establishments and give employment to labor, or to send your gold to Europe to buy what they will not give you here, you are asked that is the question, and that is all that there is of this whole issue.

It is a struggle for commercial supremacy between Europe and America. It is a struggle for the investment of that money labor would find employment, and the money would remain here.

THE PITTSBURG DISPATCH. WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 1891.

At the Gate of the Yellowstone

—Livingstone," said the colored porter, as he took our valise and assisted us from the Pullman to the platform.

A new profession has been opened up. Since the Government has shown how rains can be made, each town can have its own rainmaker. The days of the false prophets are numbered.

If Roberts, who is suspected of being the Columbus Grove Bank robber, is not really the man he is in a very bad predicament. He is wanted by a mob which would like to have him without ceremony, and it behooves him to prove that he is not the other fellow as quick as he can.

Mobs are sometimes unreasoning and impatient, and it has happened that they have executed a man and proved his innocence afterward. This is not encouraging to Roberts, who, no doubt, prefers to prove his innocence before being hanged.

The thermometer announces that summer has gone. The almanac says that it is still here. In the meantime the Campbellites in Ohio.

The New York Sun states among other interesting things about the Italians in this country, that they are beginning to take an interest in American politics, as evidenced by the general public opinion expressed in the Italian colony at the recent appointment of an Italian member of the Brooklyn Board of Education.

This may be a good sign, provided the Italian colony in this direction, have a good excuse for extra activity and watchfulness. To take advantage of the lesson of a great calamity is not only a privilege but a duty.

The Bradlock farmer does not figure at the county fair. He is a living witness to the good results of proximity to a manufacturing city.

The White-Squadron is still a glittering scum upon the Atlantic seaboard. If these scum-buckets are half as effective in battle as their officers have been in flirtation with the summer girls on the shore, they will be a sure defense to the United States.

The failure of natural gas is alarming in the county fair. It is a living witness to the good results of proximity to a manufacturing city.

The County Fair at Tarentum began yesterday with a boom. The exhibition of farm products and stock is beyond all anticipations, and it will undoubtedly benefit agriculture generally in this neighborhood.

The pumpkin and the portly pig, the cow with patient air, the rooster with his raking rig, shine at Tarentum's fair.

The "summer girl," "seashore" and "ocean-side" jokes that have been rendered useless by the cold wave will now be carefully laid aside, to be given to the long-suffering class as fresh news next season.

Names frequently seen.

The Empress of Germany is an expert swimmer.

Edward Bellamy is a descendant of six generations of clergymen.

Princess Clementine, daughter of the King of the Belgians, is about to become a nun.

The State Zeitung says that Prime Minister Bismarck is afflicted with drowsiness, and is unable to attend to his duties.

The wife of Lieutenant H. D. Borup, of the United States Legation, in Paris, is one of the very few ladies who can claim to have been a resident of the United States.

Oscar Wilde has a weakness for white kid gloves and Turkish cigarettes. He is reported to be at work on a book that will deal with the "study of Christianity from the outside."

Senator James Harlan, of Iowa, who was taken ill with a severe chill Monday, was very much improved yesterday, and his condition is not considered at all serious.

Edward Dilke is a broad-shouldered, square-built man with clear gray eyes and full grizzled beard. His manner is serious and dignified and direct almost to abruptness.

The Duke of Edinburgh is a fine fellow. He also considers the handsome man in London, being over six feet in height, muscular in build, rugged complexion and regular features.

James G. Thompson, a colored editor, of Raleigh, N. C., announces himself as a candidate for Congress, on a platform which demands the appropriation by Congress of \$500 for every negro held in slavery since 1861.

The Prince of Wales was asked why he did not commission some one to deny the reports derogatory to his character, which were being sent across to the American press. "Why not," he replied, "I am a free man, and I will not be denied."

Hannibal Hamlin, when a lad 10 years old, broke his arm, and when upon the verge of the splints and bandages, it was found that the bone had slipped out of place before a surgeon was summoned again.

Without giving the boy a hint of what was coming, he was told to remain so as to set it properly. Thereupon, with no warning whatever, his youthful patient, who still had one sound arm, drew off and struck the doctor in the face with the splint.

The new town in Ohio.

It is believed that Prospective Great Iron Works will Give Birth to It.

President Harrison is under obligations, if anyone in the man who has brought about whatever success the administration has had. It would be fitting enough if President Harrison were to privately pledge himself to further the candidacy of the man by whose will he was made President. The revelation of Mr. Harrison's character so far does not include the unselfish patriotism which such a pledge would imply.

Therefore, we may conclude that no pledge to any specified line of action will further or interfere with the nomination of James G. Blaine next year.

News of an imbroglio in one of the Central American countries has reached the United States. The local climate there seems to keep a record of the inhabitants in a constant state of fermentation.

The Sons of America.

Mayor Stuart, of Philadelphia, Welcomes the Order to That City.

Philadelphia, Aug. 25.—The National Camp of Patriotic Order of Sons of America opened here this morning. An address of welcome to the delegates was made by Mayor Stuart, which was responded to by George Smith, Chicago, National President of the Order.

Addresses were also made by State President of the Order, Dr. E. P. Murrill, of Chicago, Lieutenant General of the Militia, and by the National President, George Smith, of Chicago.

He was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

Little story is being told of an incident of the encampment. Governor Francis and a number of officers of the National guard were present.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which was his exclamation, "If an man," and to assure her he added, "but I've lost my uniform."

He was the Governor.

There was a man in the crowd who was the Governor, and approaching the Governor, who was the only one of the party not in uniform, asked him to tell which