
THE PITTSBURG DISPATCH. SATURDAY, JUNE 6, 1891.



the speaker) had lied.

explanations restored good humor, though Mr. Carson intimated that this wasn't likey to be the end, as some of the necused valned their characters, and while the laugh might be on the side of the majority at presen, it might be on the other side

his oar at this juncture, but was not allowed

the young men certificates of ministerial standing, but they had refused to accept them. In conclusion, he asked on what grounds the Pittsburg Presbytery could offer a basis of agreement, and answered that it had none. The offer should have come from the suspended ministers. The R P Church cannot indorse as ministers men whose views are in opposition to its principles, and it cannot treat with Rev. J. R. J. Milligan on any other basis than that offered the others.

been accused of being liberal, and he ad Testiteri time he was not a member of the B. P. Church. Rev. H. W. Temple, during the turmoil regarding the East End plat-form, had expressed himself hotly regarding him (the speaker) saying: "We expected you to be with us, knowing you are liberal." Dr. McAllister replied that Mr. Temple was in the wrong, and advised him to stop in

not points for the Synod to pass upon. charge that the Pittsburg Presbytery was unjust and wrong, the finding of the accused guilty of following divisive courses, and the question as to whether the sentence was illegal and too severe. He then dwelt upon the questions of injustice and wrong, and meed was there of testimony that nothing

On opening in the afternoon, Dr. Mc-Allister dwelt on the relevancy of the libel. He said the evidence was ample, rehearsed it and referred to Church authorities. The It and referred to Church authorities. The decision of the Pittsburg Presbytery had ruled that the libeled had a right to be heard as to the relevancy of the libel, but no one can be heard in making a plea against the principles of his own profession. It is for the court to decide whether it may discipline on the spot, hav ing the proof before it, dr has already had. In the case of Mr. McClurkin, he did not deny the fact of at-tendance at the East End meeting, but denied the possession of the evidence by the

After citing authorities to a great ex-tent, Dr. McAllister concluded that the cotland until date.

so as to obviate the necessity of testimony to make a case against them, Dr. McAllister held that no one believed it, under the cir-cumstances, as no one would think of stirring up a controversy in order to give sensa-tional newspapers a chance for animadver-sion and ridicule. No true Christian in the