

THIS IS THE SEASON
TO SELL AND RENT HOUSES.
BUYERS AND RENTER
LOOK FOR BARGAINS
IN THE EVERY MORNING DISPATCH.

FORTY-SIXTH YEAR.

SILENCE NO LONGER.

Senator Quay at Last Formally
Answers the Charges Made
Against Him.

THE TREASURY SHORTAGE

Was the Work of an Associate, and
His Only Part in It Was to Re-
place the Money.

CAMERON LOANED HIM \$100,000

On a Judgment Note for That Purpose, and
Every Dollar of the Amount Has
Since Been Repaid.

AS A MEMBER OF THE PARDON BOARD.

All Stories Concerning Deals With the Lister Interest
Denied, and a Reference Made to William
J. Friday.

A LIEB SUIT LOOKING UP IN THE FUTURE

[FROM A STAFF CORRESPONDENT.]
WASHINGTON, Feb. 16.—As announced
in THE DISPATCH, Senator Quay to-
day delivered to the Senate his denial
and explanation of the charges that have
been made against him within the last year.

It is well known these charges were but a
collection of all that has been alleged
against the Senator during his public
career of the last quarter century.

The Senator was called upon to explain
by persons and newspapers who had for
long years been cognizant of the charges,
but under pressure and demand he refused
to do anything of the kind. He chose to
hide his name, he says, and to make his state-
ment when there was no political issue at
stake, and when the tempers of both his ac-
cusers and his friends were in a calm and
judicial condition.

He Secured a Large Audience.

The Senate had in executive session
for four hours on a proposed promotion
of a cable line between the United States
and the Sandwich Islands, and all this kept
back the Senator's statement until nearly 5
o'clock, and hundreds who had waited for
two or three hours to hear it had gone away
thinking it would not be made to-day. But
when the open session was announced a
host of the curious from Pennsylvania and
other States were still in waiting, and made
a wash for the galleries.

The Senate was present in a body. A
pre-announced speech by Ingalls, or a de-
bate on a great national question would
have produced a larger attendance of Sena-
tors. When the Senator arose the Chamber
was hushed to perfect silence. Usually Mr.
Quay speaks in a very low voice, but to-day
with the first sentence his tones rang out
sharp and clear, echoing to the farthest cor-
ner of the galleries. Many of the older
Senators are not keen of ear and these, es-
pecially from the Democratic side, gathered
close about the Senator's chair, while others
left their usual seats and took nearer ones
ventured by those who had taken the nearest
places.

Spoke From Don Cameron's Seat.

The venerable Senator Reagan, of Texas,
placed a chair in the vacant space close in
front of Senator Quay, who for the time oc-
cupied the seat of Senator Cameron, in the
foremost row, close to the side of the Vice
President. Every seat in the immediate
vicinity was occupied, and a number of
Senators stood in the aisles close to the
Speaker. It was an unusual sight, for Sena-
tors habitually sit in the rear of the Chamber,
and no interest or emotion in any proceeding
is possible.

From the beginning to the end of the
speech of the Senator the profoundest atten-
tion was shown by the Senate and galleries,
and at its close there was a ripple of ap-
plause from the galleries, which was, as
usual, promptly checked by the President.

The following is the full text of the speech:
Never, probably, since Alexander Hamilton
was elected to his office, has one in public life
been pursued so persistently and malignantly.
The field of the malign effort has been the en-
tire Union. The assaults were of a character
so monstrous as largely to carry with them
their own refutation. Were it otherwise, I
could not remain, nor would my constituents
permit me to remain in the Senate.

An Explanation of the Long Silence.

Partly through indifference to slander, the
passing of the flood of falsehood. Its malice
was watered down at this time to be quietest
and now, when no heated political conflict is
raging, when neither hope nor fear can be
deemed the inspiration of my utterance, I
choose briefly to confront accusation with
truth. I would not allude to this subject in
your presence, had not members of the other
House made it a matter of debate there. Looking
to the future, and knowing that the records
of this Congress will remain for all time
to read when most of us composing it
will be forgotten, I choose for the truth of his-
tory and for that which is dearer to all men
to make known to the world the truth of his-
tory.

It is not my purpose to weary the Senate by a
rehearsal of each detail of the mass of mis-
representation, but to make known to the Sena-
te, and to the people, the truth of the matter.
I will endeavor to make this statement
specific and so complete as to be final.

A Denial of Every Charge.

If any thread in the fabric of falsehood re-
mains uncut, it would be because this thread
of lies is so common; so so raveled with con-
tradiction and clouded with insinuation that some
unfortunate person has escaped my attention.
The first accusation concerning my official
acts is that at some time or continuously be-
tween the years 1879 and 1882, I alone, or acting
with another or others, used the money of the
State of Pennsylvania for speculative or pri-
vate purposes. In 1877 the Democratic party of
Pennsylvania elected a State Treasurer and an
Auditor General, the financial officers of the
Commonwealth.

In a year or two, pending their terms of
office, I became engaged in stock operations.
In these transactions I was associated with and
intimately interested in the gentleman who at
that time cashier of the State Treasury. These
transactions proved seriously disastrous, and
I was compelled to pay a portion of his losses
as well as my own. In doing this it be-

came necessary to supply an alleged deficiency
he had caused in the Treasury.

How the Money Was Raised.

As a portion of the fund for this purpose, I
borrowed \$100,000 from the gentleman who is at
present my colleague in the Senate. I gave him
my judgment note therefor, the amount of
which note I paid to him dollar for dollar years
ago. Not until the beginning of the settlement
of our losses was I aware that a deficiency ex-
isted, and I had every assurance that my as-
sociate was able to carry his share of the losses.
My connection therewith was simply, with the
aid of friends, to raise the necessary funds to
supply the deficit.

It is charged again that I used money be-
longing to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to
purchase bonds, or stock, or both, of a Chi-
cago street railway. I was invited by friends
in Philadelphia to join in the purchase of street
railway property in Chicago, and did so, bor-
rowing the money upon my own contract from the
People's Bank in Philadelphia. I stood
upon the same footing with the other gen-
tlemen concerned, and it was a perfectly straight-
forward business transaction, and had no con-
nection in any way with any public fund or
public interest.

Concerning the State's Securities.

The charge that securities belonging to the
State of Pennsylvania were used in this transac-
tion is false and impossible of truth. The
only negotiable securities held by the State of
Pennsylvania are the registered bonds of the
United States, transferable only on the books
of the National Treasury, and the bonds of the
General Secretary of the Commonwealth and
State Treasurer, acting conjointly after having
filed a certificate with the Governor in their
official character.

As to the last accusation is a charge
that I defrauded, or attempted to defraud, the
widow of a deceased friend. This also is abso-
lutely false. There has been no such transaction
or woman who can truthfully say that I ever
defrauded, or attempted to defraud him or
her of a dollar. Upon this point, charity to
the dead and to the living forbids my making
any personal explanation. Samuel Guthrie
Thompson, a member of the Philadelphia Bar
and President of the Young Men's Democratic
Association of that city, is one of several thor-
oughly conversant with the facts, who can give
me to the public if they see proper.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

As a Member of the Pardon Board.

It comes now to what might be called the
fourth ground of criticism of my public con-
duct. This was my action as a member of the
Board of Pardons of the Commonwealth, or for
the pardon of certain members of the Penn-
sylvania Legislature and others, upon whom
sentence had been passed for bribery. My con-
duct in this matter has been bitterly assailed,
and it is proper to say that the facts were
patent to the people of the State and were
thoroughly discussed for years, but they have
not been repeated, and subsequently to a seat
in this chamber.

PITTSBURG, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 1891.

IN A BLAZING MINE.

Four Underground Workmen Im-
prisoned in the Death Trap.

THE BODY OF ONE IS RECOVERED.

A Naked Lamp Again the Cause
of Wanton Loss of Life.

THE LIFE STRUGGLE OF THE LOST MEN

ANOTHER ARMOR TRIAL.

THIS TIME AMERICAN PLATE STANDS A
SUCCESSFUL TEST.

The Heavy Projectiles Fired Against It Are
Shattered in Many Pieces—None of Them
Go Through the Plate—Success of an
American Projectile.

[FROM A STAFF CORRESPONDENT.]
WASHINGTON, Feb. 16.—Another Ameri-
can-made armor plate was tested at the
Annapolis naval ordnance proving ground
on Saturday last, with results that may be
very far-reaching in the development of the
army and navy. The test was one which had
been treated by what is known as the
"Harvey process," referred to in the annual
report of the Bureau of Navigation. This is
a process of decarbonizing the surface of
steel or iron, invented by H. A. Harvey, of
Newark, N. J. The plate tested was 10 1/2
inches thick—the same thickness as the
plates tried at the competitive trial of last
September, when such good results were ob-
tained with a striking energy of 2,888 foot
pounds, and the energy of the shot at im-
pact were made the same as in the
September trial for purposes of comparison.
The results obtained were quite as remark-
able in their way as were those obtained
in the competitive trial. In September,
and indicate probably as great an advance
in the development of armor plate.

Six-inch projectiles—three Hotchkiss and
three Carpenter—were fired against the
plate, with a striking energy of 2,888 foot
pounds, and the energy of the shot at im-
pact were made the same as in the
September trial for purposes of comparison.
The results obtained were quite as remark-
able in their way as were those obtained
in the competitive trial. In September,
and indicate probably as great an advance
in the development of armor plate.

One of the Hotchkiss projectiles, di-
rected against the center of the plate, penet-
rated perhaps ten inches and was badly
broken up. There are reasons for believing
that the armor plate is not as strong as
this point than at any other, owing to cer-
tain conditions in the annealing process.

The effect upon the projectile alone con-
sidered, it is a most successful test. The
trial to which armor-piercing projectiles
have ever been subjected, as they were com-
pletely destroyed. It is held at the Navy
Department that the plate, although cracked
in several places, is not as strong as the
vulnerable for a single shot than any other
plate that has ever been tested ballistically,
and this after all is a greater allowance than
is given to the armor plate in the present
projectiles, manufactured in this country, be-
have very nearly if not quite as well as the
Hotchkiss. There was also made at the pro-
ving ground a satisfactory preliminary con-
sideration of the Carpenter armor-
piercing projectiles for six and eight inch
guns, with the result that the Carpenter
Steel Company, of Bessemer, Pa., has been
awarded the contract for the completion of
the contract, their shells having
been extremely satisfactory.

THE PACIFIC RAILROAD BILL.

Urging the Passage of the Measure Con-
fiscating Those Roads.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 16.—Mr. McCon-
nell, in pursuance of previous notice, ad-
dressed the Senate to-day in advocacy of
the bill introduced by him on January 15,
directing the seizure of the roads and the
completion of the bill. He said that the
bill was introduced on January 15, and
commenced within 60 days against the
Union and Central Pacific Railroad; pro-
viding for the issue of Treasury notes to
the amount of \$250,000,000, the money to be
used in order to pay off the \$60,000,000
subsidy bonds, the \$65,386,000 first mortgage
bonds, and the \$126,125,000 income bonds
issued by these corporations, the assessed
value of their stations and terminals, the
floating indebtedness and the accruing
claims against them, but not to pay any of
their capital stock and providing that when
such payment has been made the roads and
their real and personal property (except the
grants of land) shall be vested in the United
States—the railways to be leased for a term
of 99 years.

The bill was, at the close of his remarks,
referred to the Committee on Railroads.

SILVER POOL INQUIRY.

An Ex-Congressman Testifies in Relation
to One Silver Transaction.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 16.—At a meeting
of the Silver Pool Investigating Committee
to-day Ex-Senator S. V. White, of the
firm of S. V. White & Co., New York,
was examined. He was asked concerning
the testimony given by Representative
Abner Taylor, to the effect that he had
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and that the only one he re-
membered was that of Mr. Taylor, who
bought silver bullion from the firm on
July 12. He said that Taylor's testimony
was accurate. He wanted to say that the
firm had very few accounts with members
of Congress, and