

A ROAD TO HEALTH.

It is Found by a Constant Traveler to Lie in a Trip to the States of South America.

A VARIETY OF VALUABLE HINTS

For Those Who Have Pulmonary Troubles to Care and Who Wish to Witness the Beauties of Nature.

IN A JOURNEY OF ONE HUNDRED DAYS

The South American Continent Can be Comfortably and Easily Reached.

Fresh from one of the most interesting lands on this side of the ocean, Dr. Charles J. Stephens, now stopping in the city at the Seventh Avenue, has an array of facts and figures touching on South America, at his tongue's end, that would fill several of the mammoth J. Appleton volumes, which he handles in his residence. He is an old traveler, and his Yankee dash and spirit, sharpened by varied experiences in foreign climes, adds a polish to his character. His quick mind flavors his smooth-flowing conversation with breezy points of information that run with the rapidity of a buzz saw, but unlike the buzz saw, which he has an oft-hand with, his explanations, Dr. Stephens has an engaging manner that invests his every word with interest. Coupled with this, the sparkle of genuine health glows in the countenance of the book man that makes one think of the salubrity of the tropics, and is a standing recommendation of the invigorating Southern breezes.

The doctor had found the road to health, and in an interesting talk with him yesterday he unfolded a volume of information, embracing a variety of valuable hints that the tourists of the country should stick a pin into. He suggested a plan that is entirely novel and attractive, and offers the most pleasant release to the business man from the dull drudgery of the desk's dead wood.

OUT OF THE BEATEN PATH.

"Americans have sought the beaten path year after year," said Mr. Stephens, "by taking the same old trip to Europe in summer and going to Florida in winter. The place to seek healthful rest is where there is a pleasant change in one's work and a new outlook, and that place is Spanish America, the land of health, happiness and hope. The merchant whose mind is long and often on the rack of anxious thought, the invalid of the North, the young man of means seeking a delightful journey, and the business man of Mecca of their hopes in a trip by steamer to South America, and a pleasant tour through our sister Republics. I am going there shortly, myself, to secure data for a book I am preparing to publish, and when I return, in the course of two years, I will speak to the public on this matter from the lecture platform.

"The time is not far distant when these Spanish-American trips will become a popular feature, since the proposal to establish direct steamship lines. When the summer heats will your line and your brains you can board a New York vessel bound for South America or the West Indies, and take a trip through the most picturesque water routes in the world, and get out of town of ten people suffering from lung or throat diseases lose their overboard before the ships land at Havana.

A WONDERFUL EFFECT.

"The effect of the balmy ocean breeze in the tropics is wonderful. I have twice checked pulmonary troubles by taking a voyage to the South. You can leave New York on a winter's night in a driving snowstorm, and before you are two days out of sight of the land you will be in the sunshine on the steamer's deck in a white linen duster, palmeto hat and slippers.

A WAR ON WAGONS.

Inspector McAleese Makes Grocers and Drivers Look Very Sick. Yesterday morning Inspector McAleese inaugurated another war, which netted the city \$880 in half a day. The grocers have been in the habit of leaving their wagons standing on Liberty street in front of the courts, and the inspector has been ordered to remove them. The horses by themselves, and in consequence the street would be completely blocked up.

RAD CONDITION OF A DUMP.

Sixth Ward Residents Complain of Refuse on Junata Street. There is considerable indignation among the residents of the Sixth ward, Allegheny, on account of the lack of sanitary attention given to the condition of the dump at the foot of Junata street. The citizens of the ward, and those living on Junata street in the vicinity of the dump, claim that the refuse is a menace to the health of the ward and so obvious that it is impossible to sleep in the evening with the windows open.

THREE BARE BARGAINS.

Five Upright Pianos. A magnificent rosewood upright piano of excellent tone and splendidly finished case, one of the highest quality. This piano was made but a short time, worth over \$400, and a splendid one finished upright, good as new, for \$150, worth \$300. A rare opportunity for a bargain. Call at the music store of J. M. Hoffmann & Co., 837 Smithfield street.

State Mantels and Tile.

No handsome patterns exist than those in our show rooms today. Parties fitting up their houses will do well to examine our goods and prices. We make a specialty of fine tile and tile work. JAMES C. THOMPSON, 640 Liberty avenue.

LACE curtains, odd lots, from 1 to 4 pairs each, at prices little over half value.

HUGUS & HACKE.

July Reduction Sale

In men's jackets, underwear, hosiery and outdoor goods. A. G. CAMPBELL & SONS, 27 Fifth ave.

Some-thing New.

Thompson's striking corsets, without steel, for sale at Rosenbaum & Co.'s.

NO SIGNS OF FRAUD.

Complete Exoneration of Judge Bailey's Course as Assignee

IN THE GRAFF-BENNETT MATTER.

The Court Decides That the Master's Report Was Exactly Proper.

SENTENCE DAY IN CRIMINAL COURT

The well-known case of the Cherry Valley Iron Works and others, creditors of Graff, Bennett & Co., against J. W. Friend, J. M. Bailey and James Pickands, trustees for the syndicate that purchased the property of Graff, Bennett & Co., at the sale by the assignee, ex-Judge Magee, was concluded yesterday. Judge Magee handed down an opinion confirming the master's report, dismissing the bill and cross bill, putting the costs on the plaintiffs. In his report, J. H. Miller, the master, stated that from the evidence he could find no fraud, in the sale or before it, on the part of the defendants, and he therefore recommended the dismissal of the suit at the cost of the plaintiffs.

DEFENDED BY COMRADES.

Penion Agent Barclay's War Record All Right—General Black's Indorsement.

Penion Agent Barclay, the nominee of the Democratic party for Secretary of Internal Affairs, like all others who enter the political arena has been assailed by some who oppose him politically. Soon after his nomination a statement was published reflecting on his record as a soldier. Mr. Barclay's old comrades in camp and field, who realized the injustice of the attack, have voluntarily united in his defense. Ex-Commissioner Black, who has the honor of examining Mr. Barclay's service in defense of his country, also joins with his old comrades in disposing of the campaign card, heartily seconding his nomination and cordially recommending him to the suffrages of the people. Both letters follow:

CHICAGO, ILL., July 26, 1920.

DEAR SIR AND FRIEND—I have received your communication and am glad to hear you are advising me of your nomination by the Democracy of Pennsylvania for the position of Secretary of Internal Affairs. I beg to present to you my warmest congratulations and commendations, and to wish you a full measure of success.

On occasion, when the question of the appointment to the Pension Agency at Pittsburgh was before me for examination and report, I was struck by the fact that you were a soldier and a citizen. I found both of these qualities in you, and I am confident that you will be a most successful and efficient officer in the position to which you are a candidate.

My best wishes go with you, and I am confident that you will be a most successful and efficient officer in the position to which you are a candidate.

Yours truly, J. H. MILLER, Master.

Continuing, the Court said that the remaining exceptions are to the effect that the assignee's sale to the defendant trustees was fraudulent and that the purchase by the trustees of the claim of Thomas Carlin & Sons and W. W. Dickey for the purpose of inducing the trustee to bid at the sale, and further, in the formation of the so-called syndicate for the purpose of depressing the value of the property at the sale, is other than a bona fide purchase.

THE COURT'S OPINION. The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.

NO SIGNS OF FRAUD.

Complete Exoneration of Judge Bailey's Course as Assignee

IN THE GRAFF-BENNETT MATTER.

The Court Decides That the Master's Report Was Exactly Proper.

SENTENCE DAY IN CRIMINAL COURT

The well-known case of the Cherry Valley Iron Works and others, creditors of Graff, Bennett & Co., against J. W. Friend, J. M. Bailey and James Pickands, trustees for the syndicate that purchased the property of Graff, Bennett & Co., at the sale by the assignee, ex-Judge Magee, was concluded yesterday. Judge Magee handed down an opinion confirming the master's report, dismissing the bill and cross bill, putting the costs on the plaintiffs. In his report, J. H. Miller, the master, stated that from the evidence he could find no fraud, in the sale or before it, on the part of the defendants, and he therefore recommended the dismissal of the suit at the cost of the plaintiffs.

DEFENDED BY COMRADES.

Penion Agent Barclay's War Record All Right—General Black's Indorsement.

Penion Agent Barclay, the nominee of the Democratic party for Secretary of Internal Affairs, like all others who enter the political arena has been assailed by some who oppose him politically. Soon after his nomination a statement was published reflecting on his record as a soldier. Mr. Barclay's old comrades in camp and field, who realized the injustice of the attack, have voluntarily united in his defense. Ex-Commissioner Black, who has the honor of examining Mr. Barclay's service in defense of his country, also joins with his old comrades in disposing of the campaign card, heartily seconding his nomination and cordially recommending him to the suffrages of the people. Both letters follow:

CHICAGO, ILL., July 26, 1920.

DEAR SIR AND FRIEND—I have received your communication and am glad to hear you are advising me of your nomination by the Democracy of Pennsylvania for the position of Secretary of Internal Affairs. I beg to present to you my warmest congratulations and commendations, and to wish you a full measure of success.

On occasion, when the question of the appointment to the Pension Agency at Pittsburgh was before me for examination and report, I was struck by the fact that you were a soldier and a citizen. I found both of these qualities in you, and I am confident that you will be a most successful and efficient officer in the position to which you are a candidate.

My best wishes go with you, and I am confident that you will be a most successful and efficient officer in the position to which you are a candidate.

Yours truly, J. H. MILLER, Master.

Continuing, the Court said that the remaining exceptions are to the effect that the assignee's sale to the defendant trustees was fraudulent and that the purchase by the trustees of the claim of Thomas Carlin & Sons and W. W. Dickey for the purpose of inducing the trustee to bid at the sale, and further, in the formation of the so-called syndicate for the purpose of depressing the value of the property at the sale, is other than a bona fide purchase.

THE COURT'S OPINION. The Court was of the opinion from the testimony that the value of the property was too high, instead of too low. A mere inadequacy of price, however, does not call for the setting aside of a public sale. With other than the testimony of the assignee, the Court to avail itself of technicalities as a sufficient ground to set aside in the interest of justice. It is, however, a weak plea to make to the Court, a year and a half after the sale.

QUITE THE CONTRARY.