

LITTLE LEFT TO DO.

The Flood Commission about  
Winding Up Its Work.

RESULT OF ITS LABORS.  
Nearly Three Million Dollars Contri-  
buted and Divided

AMONG THE STATE'S SUFFERERS.  
Provision Made for the Future of All Or-  
phans and Widows.

THE LOSS OF LIFE ESTIMATED AT 2,500.

The Johnstown Flood Commission is  
winding up its work. At Philadelphia yester-  
day the amount of money deposited yester-  
day to its credit was reported to be \$230,974,  
which was reduced by yesterday's meeting  
to a little over \$70,000. Provision has been  
made for all the orphans of the flood to re-  
ceive \$50 a year each until they arrive at  
age. The widows will also be taken care of.  
The total amount received by the commis-  
sion from all sources was \$2,982,072 68.

AN EXPERT OPINION THAT IS HELD WITHIN  
TO AVOID LEGAL COMPLICATIONS—IN-  
stances That Leave No  
Room for Doubt.

PHILADELPHIA, January 16.—The  
Johnstown Flood Relief Commission took  
steps today, at a meeting held at the Manu-  
facturers' Club, looking toward a final wind-  
up of their work. They were in secret ses-  
sion all day, and the other members of the  
commission were present. Governor Beaver  
presided at the meeting. The other mem-  
bers present were Reuben Miller, J. B.  
Scott and S. S. Marvin, of Pittsburgh, and  
Francis B. Reeves, Robert C. Ogden and  
John V. Huber, of Philadelphia. Mayor  
Fisher was present for a few minutes during  
the session.

The report of the Secretary was presented  
to the members immediately after assembling.  
The total cash received by the commission  
was \$2,982,072 68, of which amount \$1,225,-  
872 83 came from every county of the  
state and the \$200,000 from Phila-  
delphia; \$300,000 from Pittsburgh, and  
\$216,199 85 from New York.

WHERE THE MONEY WENT.  
Johnstown and vicinity received \$2,430,-  
303 69 of this amount. The other expendi-  
tures were as follows: Relief for other lo-  
calities, \$232,264 45; distributed as expressly  
directed by donors, \$2,271 85; office expenses  
at Harrisburg, \$1,396 42; general expenses,  
\$1,318 78; first payment on annuities to or-  
phans, \$16,106; making a total of cash ex-  
pended of \$2,683,747 11; leaving a balance  
on deposit at Harrisburg of \$318,325 57.

In addition to this balance at Harrisburg,  
there is an undistributed fund at John-  
stown, at present, of \$38,394 04, and there  
has been appropriated to other parts of the  
State \$17,735 88. After this is taken out  
there remains at the time of the meeting,  
as an available balance of \$236,974 31.  
This was reduced at today's meeting to  
\$70,631 40, by the payment of claims amount-  
ing to \$22,442 65, and other ap-  
propriations.

PROVISION FOR ORPHANS.  
Mr. Reeves, from the sub-committee ap-  
pointed to make provision for the care of  
the orphans made by the flood in the  
Coequagh Valley, reported that he had  
made an arrangement with the Girard Life  
Insurance Annuity and Trust Company,  
of Philadelphia, which will insure the sum  
of \$50 a year for each orphan until they  
arrive at the age of 16 years. The commission  
has found 322 orphans in the flooded district,  
and for the purpose decided upon for their  
support there was appropriated \$115,000.  
This sum was so arranged for distribution  
that when the youngest child arrives at  
age it will be entirely extinguished.

The flood left 125 widows, and for their  
support the sum of \$179,471 has been paid.  
They will also receive for the use of their  
children the sum of \$65,250. This latter  
sum will be distributed in annual payments,  
which will be regulated in accordance with  
the ages and number of the children.

A HOSPITAL AT HAND.  
One of the pressing necessities brought  
about by the flood was a hospital. At the  
present time there are a great many suffer-  
ers who still feel the effects of last summer's  
disaster. The condition of Johnstown is  
such that sickness is still occasioned, and  
to provide for this, the commission to-day  
decided to build a hospital at Johnstown  
costing \$40,000. Messrs. Scott, Miller and  
Reeves will have charge of the construction  
of the building. In furtherance of this  
purpose, \$5,000 was appropriated for the  
hospital at Williamsport.

THE COMMISSION CONSIDERED AN APPROPRIATION  
for aid made to them by the Young  
Men's Christian Association of Johnstown,  
and decided not to grant it, as it did not come  
under the objects for which the money was  
appropriated.

No estimate was attempted to be made of  
the vast amount of food, clothing, furniture  
and household effects that was sent to the  
afflicted districts.

ONLY THE CASH REPORTED.  
The sum stated in the Secretary's report  
only included the actual cash that came  
into the hands of the commission, and is  
also exclusive of the money that was sent  
direct to the people of Johnstown. Of the  
money sent direct to Johnstown there is at  
the present time on \$100,000 to \$150,000  
undistributed.

The balance of \$70,631 40 will be largely  
disposed of in the payment of a number of  
undisputed claims that have been presented,  
but have not yet been investigated.

The commission proposed issuing a com-  
plete historical report of their work, from  
the day they were appointed until they dis-  
band. In this there will be published a  
complete history of the flood, the movement  
started by the people to render aid and  
the manner of distribution. A statement  
will be made of the actual cash that came  
into the hands of the commission, and every  
dollar that the people contributed has gone.  
The work of the preparation of this im-  
portant work was to-day placed in the hands  
of Robert C. Ogden, Thomas Dolan and S.  
S. Marvin.

THE COMMITTEES PROUD.  
Secretary Kramer stated to the commission  
that the sums that were sent to the afflicted

A STRANGE SUICIDE.

Miss Laura Dixon Kills Herself Because  
of a Former Sweetheart's Offer—  
He Wanted to Get a Divorce  
and Marry Else.

ELIZABETH, N. J., January 16.—Miss  
Laura Dixon, 31, died at her home on  
Elizabeth avenue, from the effects of a  
bullet shot in the right temple. She was  
alone in the house for a short time last evening.  
Armed with a .38-caliber revolver she  
entered the parlor and deliberately sent  
a bullet into her head. Miss Dixon is 23  
years old. She for six years kept company  
with George Wilmer, a young man promi-  
nent in temperance circles, and Past Worthy  
Patriarch of Lincoln Division, Sons of  
Temperance. He finally discarded the girl  
and married a young German woman of  
New Brunswick.

Miss Dixon felt her action very keenly at  
the time, and has never since been able to  
forget the incident. She was at the celebra-  
tion of his twenty-fourth anniversary. She  
was in high spirits and was talking and  
quitting a flirtation with one of the young  
men present. Laura says that Wilmer  
came over to her and upbraided her for  
conduct, and promised if she would make  
up with him again he would procure a di-  
vorce from his wife and marry her. She  
says she indignantly repelled his advances  
and told him to go about his business.  
The next day she was observed acting  
strangely about the house and seemed to  
have something serious on her mind. Dur-  
ing her mother's absence last evening she  
was in the kitchen and fired two shots,  
only one of which took effect. She was found  
lying on the parlor floor, the revolver  
lying beside her. Two physicians  
were summoned and they probed for the  
bullet, but were unable to find it. She was  
a pretty brunette of quite a vivacious dispo-  
sition. Miss Dixon died at 2 o'clock this  
afternoon.

MOODY'S MISSIONARY PLANS.  
New to be Educated for Work in the  
Slaves of the Cities.

CHICAGO, January 16.—The men's de-  
partment of D. L. Moody's new Evangelical  
Institute was opened today and the  
opening exercises were attended by several promi-  
nent local clergymen. Large numbers of  
people visited the building during the day.  
The institute will aim to train missionaries  
to go to the masses in city and country.  
The idea, as announced by Moody, is to  
aggressive men to go into gambling dens  
and slums to lay their lives along side  
the abandoned and to save. Accommoda-  
tions have been provided for 100 students.  
The training is to be largely through work.  
The training is to be largely through work.  
The training is to be largely through work.

JUDGE HARMON'S WORK.  
Judson Harmon, a lawyer of Cincinnati,  
who was Governor of that State, was  
the next witness, and he never saw the  
next paper until this morning. Some time  
in October last George J. Murray told him  
that this paper was a forgery and had been  
sent him by his brother-in-law, Judge In-  
gram. He got up the next day in getting  
three affidavits by G. L. Murray, F. L.  
Stewart and Frank Davis (these affidavits  
were put in evidence). The next day he  
went in company with N. J. Jordan, he called on  
Mr. Halsted, of the Commercial Gazette,  
and demanded that the paper be looked up  
in the safe deposit, but showed a  
photograph of the paper. Mr. Halsted  
asked 25 hours for investigation and a  
return was declared—either to print anything,  
but he refused to do so. The paper was  
ranked him in the highest class. Allison's  
greatest power was shown in financial mat-  
ters, and the country made more progress  
under legislation proposed by him than  
any other period.

ALLISON RENOMINATED.  
No Other Name Mentioned Before the Iowa  
Republican Caucus.

DES MOINES, Ia., January 16.—The  
scene at the Republican Senatorial caucus  
this evening was one of unusual interest.  
Ex-Governor Walden made the nominating  
speech. He related to the public career of  
Senator Allison, his present position as  
among the greatest men of the nation, and  
ranked him in the highest class. Allison's  
greatest power was shown in financial mat-  
ters, and the country made more progress  
under legislation proposed by him than  
any other period.

THE DIGNITY OF THE GOVERNMENT WILL BE AB-  
solutely Maintained—The Indignities  
Against England Con-  
tinued to Increase.

LISBON, January 16.—Prime Minister  
Pimenta was absent from the Chamber of  
Peers to-day, owing to a severe attack  
of influenza. His absence, the  
Minister of Justice delivered a declara-  
tion on the dispute with England.  
His remarks were similar to those made yester-  
day in the Chamber of Deputies by  
Senator Bismarck, who contended that the  
Government would protect its interests as  
far as possible and maintain its dignity  
absolutely. A preliminary debate fol-  
lowed, but all the movements were in  
favor of denouncing the British ultimatum.

Several colonial Governors, including the  
Governor of the Azores, were present.  
Twenty royal marines have been arrested  
for making a demonstration against En-  
gland. It is understood that a British  
squadron of torpedo boats is en route  
Portuguese merchants contemplate boycotting  
England and refusing to receive English  
goods.

THE AFRICAN AMERICANS WANT TO EXPORT SEN-  
ators of Alabama.

CHICAGO, January 16.—The Afro-  
American Convention this afternoon  
H. C. Astwood, of New York, in-  
troduced a sarcastic resolution asking  
Congress to appropriate \$100,000,000 to fur-  
nish transportation to the negro white  
citizens of Alabama, South Carolina and  
other Southern States who may desire to  
settle in more favored localities free from  
the restrictions of the English mili-  
tary. They regard the dispatch of  
British men-of-war to Portuguese waters as  
harmful to their business.

TUEN ABOUT FAIR PLAY.  
The Afro-Americans Want to Export Sen-  
ators of Alabama.

CHICAGO, January 16.—The Afro-  
American Convention this afternoon  
H. C. Astwood, of New York, in-  
troduced a sarcastic resolution asking  
Congress to appropriate \$100,000,000 to fur-  
nish transportation to the negro white  
citizens of Alabama, South Carolina and  
other Southern States who may desire to  
settle in more favored localities free from  
the restrictions of the English mili-  
tary. They regard the dispatch of  
British men-of-war to Portuguese waters as  
harmful to their business.

THIS CALLS FOR GORE.  
Portuguese Force a Vessel to Pull Down the  
English Flag.

LONDON, January 16.—A French Cap-  
tain named Trivier says that while  
about the British ship, the "Briton,"  
Nyas, on the Shire river, the Portu-  
guese compelled her to haul down the  
English flag and hoist the Portuguese  
colors. Trivier declares that the Portu-  
guese have lost all prestige through their  
policy.

The natives ascribe the gentleness of the  
English to weakness, and think that Portu-  
gale is the stronger nation.

Editor Parks Sent to Prison.  
LONDON, January 16.—The trial of Mr.  
Parks, editor of the North London Press,  
on the charge of criminal libel preferred  
against him by the Earl of Euston, was con-  
cluded to-day. Mr. Parks was found guilty  
of libeling the Earl of Euston, and was sen-  
tenced to one year's imprisonment.

PITTSBURGH, FRIDAY, JANUARY 17, 1890.

A GUN THAT KICKED.

Another telegram came from Wood August  
9 asking his indorsement of his application.  
WHAT WOOD WAS WORRIED.  
Witness returned to Columbus on the 15th,  
and resumed his duties on the 16th. Wood  
was coming. Witness saw Wood and  
talked about his qualifications for Smokey  
Inspector Wood and efforts made to get  
edge of Wood at that time, but did not  
know much about him personally, and had  
never heard of him until there had been  
business relations would have commended  
him to anyone. Witness said he was willing  
to do a favor for him, and told him  
he wanted that paper, "Exhibit A."  
Wood seemed a little confused, but ad-  
mitted that there was such a paper, and  
made a clean breast of it, telling of the  
ballot box forgery, and the contract and  
the chain of circumstances, and saying  
that the paper was in the custody of Fovol  
Roeley, counsel for a company. Wood  
told of the failure of the bill with the  
contract, but said that a new contract had  
been drawn up and signed by Wood, and  
error Alger's signature. Witness was also  
told about another and worse contract—the  
one in which it was said there had been  
some business in connection with the  
Molok lands. Witness was more interested in  
the second contract, and Wood promised to  
send the paper.

At this point the committee adjourned,  
and Mr. Wood returned to his room. Out-  
side that Governor Campbell's ex-  
amination be fixed for Monday, January 27,  
as he was very busy at present.

ENDED IN A DRAW.  
Bob Ingersoll's Legal Combat With  
Cammeyer a Close One—The Defend-  
ant on a Tie—Some  
Peculiar Admissions.

NEW YORK, January 16.—The combat  
between Colonel Ingersoll and Miss Car-  
oline Cammeyer, who is suing Clement Mul-  
ler, was ended in a draw, after a two-day  
drawal of breath of promise, ended to-day  
in a draw. All the ingenuity and apparent  
ingeniousness of the lawyer could not lead  
the lady into an admission that would con-  
stitute a basis for a judgment. The  
case was argued by Ingersoll and Cammeyer.  
The case was argued by Ingersoll and Cammeyer.  
The case was argued by Ingersoll and Cammeyer.

THE OBITUARY NOTICED IN THE  
INVESTIGATION BY CONGRESS. Representative  
Grosvener, who does not like Foraker any  
too well, has been added to the cross-exam-  
ined by the committee. Foraker is on the stand.  
McKinley was the first witness.

WASHINGTON, January 16.—Representative  
Stubble, who has the privy, was the only  
absent member of the special committee ap-  
pointed by Congress to investigate the Ohio  
ballot box forgery when the body began its  
session to-day. Chairman Mason laid before  
the committee a copy of a letter from Sen-  
ator Sherman, Stockbridge and Mc-  
Pherson, and Representatives Butterworth,  
Brenneridge (of Kentucky), McKinley  
and Julia R. Whiting, reciting that, as  
it is desirable to have a fair and impartial  
investigation, they request that Repre-  
sentative Grosvener be allowed to appear  
before the committee to aid in examining  
witnesses and render other assistance. This  
request was granted, and Representative  
Grosvener said that while it was admitted  
that the paper which was to form the basis  
of the investigation was forged, all the  
gentlemen concerned desired to appear and  
testify to that fact as well as to any other  
facts that they might know bearing on the  
subject.

Representative McKinley was the first  
witness. He said that the paper shown to  
him bearing the name of Sherman was first  
shown to him by Representative Butter-  
worth, just before Congress met. It is not  
his signature. His first intimation that his  
name was signed to the paper was received  
through Judge G. W. O'Neill, of Lebanon,  
Ohio, about his testimony. The judge in-  
quired, before or after the retraction. When  
the whole paper was published, after the  
election, by the Commercial Gazette, his name  
appeared attached to it.

JUDGE HARMON'S WORK.  
Judson Harmon, a lawyer of Cincinnati,  
who was Governor of that State, was  
the next witness, and he never saw the  
next paper until this morning. Some time  
in October last George J. Murray told him  
that this paper was a forgery and had been  
sent him by his brother-in-law, Judge In-  
gram. He got up the next day in getting  
three affidavits by G. L. Murray, F. L.  
Stewart and Frank Davis (these affidavits  
were put in evidence). The next day he  
went in company with N. J. Jordan, he called on  
Mr. Halsted, of the Commercial Gazette,  
and demanded that the paper be looked up  
in the safe deposit, but showed a  
photograph of the paper. Mr. Halsted  
asked 25 hours for investigation and a  
return was declared—either to print anything,  
but he refused to do so. The paper was  
ranked him in the highest class. Allison's  
greatest power was shown in financial mat-  
ters, and the country made more progress  
under legislation proposed by him than  
any other period.

ALLISON RENOMINATED.  
No Other Name Mentioned Before the Iowa  
Republican Caucus.

DES MOINES, Ia., January 16.—The  
scene at the Republican Senatorial caucus  
this evening was one of unusual interest.  
Ex-Governor Walden made the nominating  
speech. He related to the public career of  
Senator Allison, his present position as  
among the greatest men of the nation, and  
ranked him in the highest class. Allison's  
greatest power was shown in financial mat-  
ters, and the country made more progress  
under legislation proposed by him than  
any other period.

SIX ON ONE SCAFFOLD.  
Indians Who Faced the Noose Without an  
Accused Tremor.

FORT SHERMAN, Ariz., January 16.—An  
extraordinary execution took place here to-  
day, when six Indians expiated their crimes  
on the scaffold. Nine men were to have  
been hanged to-day, but the sentence of one  
was commuted to imprisonment for life by  
President Harrison. George Tobias and  
Charles Bullard, two negro murderers, have  
been respited until the 30th inst. They  
received the respite with bad grace,  
saying that if they are to be hanged they  
will not go to the gallows with the rest.  
The six Indians were hanged at noon in the  
United States jail yard for murders com-  
mitted in the Indian Territory. They bore  
the gallows with a calm and steady  
demeanor. The scaffold fully 20 minutes while preparations  
were being made. The work, however, went  
on as usual. The gallows were set up and  
the fatal drop came there but a slight grin  
from one of them, a gentle swing of six black  
bodies and a twitch, and the six were  
dead in from three to six minutes, and  
George Malodon, who had hanged 70 persons  
before, performed the work.

HE HAD HIS DOUBTS.  
Mr. Halsted believed that the paper was  
genuine, but acknowledged that something  
was wrong. He was not prepared to  
investigate its authenticity, but he  
did not say where he got the paper.  
Witness said that it was shown to him  
by Mr. G. W. O'Neill, of Lebanon, Ohio,  
and that he believed it to be a forgery, but  
was not prepared to deliver it to  
him, in support of his statement. Mr. Hal-  
sted smiled, and said that he seemed to  
have been misled. He said that he had  
seen the paper, and that he believed it to  
be genuine. After the expose, witness  
suggested that Mr. Halsted had reason  
for supposing the paper to be fraudulent,  
and the remarkable similarity of the ink  
and Campbell's signature.

FORAKER ON THE RACK.  
Ex-Governor Foraker took the stand and  
was shown Exhibit A (the forged paper),  
and asked to tell all he knew about it. He  
said he had no personal knowledge of who  
executed the paper. After his nomination,  
June 27, N. Hadden, Assistant City  
Solicitor, came to see him and asked him  
the political situation. It seemed probable  
that Mr. Campbell would be nominated by  
the Democrats. Hadden thought that  
Campbell would be a very strong candidate,  
saying in explanation, that he would have  
the support of some leading Republicans—  
mentioning Major Butterworth. Hadden  
said his reason for supposing so was that in  
the financial records of Campbell's ballot box  
forgeries, Butterworth and McKinley  
were both mentioned. Hadden undertook to  
produce a written version of his statement.  
Witness was busy, and did not think  
of asking him for the evidence. Hadden did  
not answer. Hadden had mentioned Wood  
as a party interested. September 28, when  
the Music Hall speech, witness was Hadden,  
when he mentioned Wood as a party  
interested who could furnish the paper.  
Witness undertook to produce a written  
version of his statement. Witness was busy,  
and did not think of asking him for the  
evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not  
think of asking him for the evidence. Hadden  
did not answer. Hadden had mentioned  
Wood as a party interested who could furnish  
the paper. Witness undertook to produce a  
written version of his statement. Witness was  
busy, and did not think of asking him for  
the evidence. Hadden did not answer. Hadden  
had mentioned Wood as a party interested  
who could furnish the paper. Witness un-  
dertook to produce a written version of his  
statement. Witness was busy, and did not