



They Had Globe Sprinklers

Our building was the only one to escape the sweep of the fire for about a mile and a half. The Sprinklers not only saved our building but property on the other side of the alley as well, worth \$40,000.

GLOBE AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER CO. 2035 Washington Ave. Dickinson 531

ALLIANCE OFFICERS DENY TREASON CHARGE

German-American League Will Defend Charter Before Senate Committee

LOYAL TO U. S., CLAIM

Art Club Officers Refuse to Say Why Doctor Hexamer Changed His Residence

As Philadelphia officers of the German-American Alliance are today preparing evidence to present when they appear before the Senate subcommittee of the Committee on the Judiciary, next Saturday, to give reasons why the charter of the organization shall not be revoked, charges and counter-charges as to the loyalty of the organization are heard on all sides.

The Rev. S. G. von Basse, president, and Adolph Thum, secretary, made flat denials of treachery against the United States. Dr. Charles J. Hexamer, former president, was equally emphatic.

Secretary Thum, however, admitted that the alliance entered politics in Pennsylvania, as charged last Saturday by Gustav Olinger, a Toledo, O., lawyer.

He said, however, it was done for defense, to protect the German club interests. Mr. Thum further said he will admit the charge before the Senate subcommittee next Saturday and will use it as a defense of the alliance.

Dr. Von Basse, president of the alliance since December 1 said that while State branches are not allowed to use the name of the alliance in political battles and while the national organization never enters politics, there is nothing in the constitution and bylaws of the national organization to prevent a State branch from engaging in the political arena for any purpose.

However, Mr. Thum said, the Pennsylvania branch "was never in politics in the actual sense of the word." He added that he did not know Olinger, but that the Toledo lawyer would be "shown something" next Saturday.

Mr. Thum said he was somewhat perturbed by the dispatches from Washington that Mr. Olinger had made his charges at a hearing before the subcommittee.

"The Rev. Mr. Von Basse and myself had planned to attend the hearing," he said, "but on Friday afternoon we received the following telegram from Senator King:

"Owing to the absence of a number of the subcommittee the hearing on the bill to repeal the charter of the German-American Alliance has been postponed for one week, at the same time and place."

"So we didn't go down, and I was surprised to read in the morning papers that a hearing had been held in Washington. We will answer the charges fully, however, next Saturday. Until then I want to be excused from making any further charges."

STATE SUPREME COURT DECISIONS

The following orders and judgments were today filed by the State Supreme Court, sitting in this city:

Per curiam: American Mailing Device Corporation vs. Widener, Common Pleas No. 1, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spiese vs. Sheer, Common Pleas No. 1, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Fulian vs. Philadelphia and Reading, Common Pleas No. 1, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Stockton vs. Philadelphia, Republic vs. Philadelphia, Common Pleas No. 2, Philadelphia County. Appeal dismissed.

In the matter of the account of George E. Shav et al., Common Pleas No. 3, Philadelphia County. Appeal dismissed at costs of appellants.

Stocker's estate, Orphan's Court, Philadelphia. Appeal dismissed and decree affirmed at appellants' costs.

Price's estate, Orphan's Court, Philadelphia. Appeal dismissed at costs of appellants.

Ross vs. Byre, Common Pleas No. 3, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Randall Building Association vs. Manhattan Realty Company, Common Pleas No. 1, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

McClain vs. Pervin, Common Pleas No. 6, Philadelphia County. Order affirmed.

Di Orto vs. Transit Company, Common Pleas No. 3, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Ship vs. Transit Company, Common Pleas No. 3, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Producers Lumber Company vs. Quilley, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

D. Hinnon's estate, Orphan's Court, Philadelphia. Decree affirmed.

By CHIEF JUSTICE BROWN: Public Service Commission, appellant, vs. Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company et al. Order of Superior Court reversed and that of Public Service Commission affirmed. All costs to appellants.

By JUSTICE STEWART: Fleming vs. Kravitz, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Miller et al. vs. Bower, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Decree reversed with a proviso.

Wilson's estate, O. C., Philadelphia. Appeal dismissed and decree confirmed.

By JUSTICE FRAZIER: Colaboy vs. Delella and Hudson Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Henderson et al. vs. Young et al., C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed with costs to appellee.

By JUSTICE WALLING: New vs. Delella, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment reversed with a proviso.

Curis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Spencer et al. vs. Collins, C. P. No. 4, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

By JUSTICE WALLING: Curtis Company vs. Massachussetts Yarn Manufacturing Company, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.

Wagner et al. vs. Philadelphia and Reading, C. P. No. 2, Philadelphia County. Judgment affirmed.