

DRAFT BRAINS OF UNITED STATES IS THEME OF NOTED EDUCATORS

Continued from Page One. The decrease in six large agricultural colleges is nearly 25 per cent.

MANY AGRICULTURISTS TAKEN. The government's campaign for large numbers of agricultural workers took many from these colleges.

LABORATORIES MOHLIZED. A vast workshop of military helpfulness had been opened in the government.

WAR TRAINING FOR WOMEN. Special war-time training for women students was a feature of the report presented by Dr. Frederick C. Ferry.

STOP COLLEGIANS' RUSH TO FRONT, EDUCATORS' PLEA TO PRESIDENT

By M'LISS. President Wilson is to be asked to stop the rush of college students to the battle front.

This is the opinion of Dr. Frederick C. Ferry, president of Hamilton College, one of the oldest educational institutions in the State of New York.

"The President," Dr. Ferry said, "will be asked very soon to issue an executive order to put a stop to the wholesale rushing off of college students to the front."

250,000 men will represent 250 different lines of technical training. From 50,000 to 75,000 of these men can be obtained from the ranks of a draft.

Simultaneously with the assistance given in finding trained men and in training others, the engineering schools have been asked to do more.

Other speakers at the morning session were Dr. Henry Suzzallo, president of the University of Washington and chairman of the State Defense Committee of Washington.

TONIGHT'S PROGRAM. Sir Robert Falconer, president of the University of Toronto and one of the most distinguished educators in Canada will address the convocation tonight.

As chairman of the commission's committee on engineering and education, Professor Geoffrey appointed forty noted men as his associates in the university and the secondary school sections of the committee.

Among those who are here or who will arrive tomorrow are Frank A. Vanderlip, president of the National City Bank of New York and chairman of the Federal War Savings certificates committee.

CORONER HINTS ROTAN'S OFFICE IS PROTECTING THREE GUILTY MEN

Continued from Page One. There has been any evidence revealed at this hearing which makes you feel that all these defendants, as well as Clark, Sullivan and Maloney, should be held as accessories before the fact?

Mr. Gordon replied: "I have heard no evidence, Mr. Coroner, that would incriminate these three men, Maloney, Sullivan and Clark."

"I have before me testimony taken before Judge Brown which shows that these three men were responsible for the bringing over the gunmen and I don't see why the District Attorney's office would be a party to aid these three men from giving testimony in my court?"

What is the cause of so much tire trouble outside of honest wear and tear? E. L. B. The ordinary inner tube accounts for most road distress, mishaps, delays and cost because it is often a cause of injury to an outer casing.

Why do "early blowouts" happen to nearly new tires? B. J. Because ordinary inner tubes by their thinness allow maximum pressure to find and blow out weak spots.

LOUIS OPPOSES CORONER. The plans of Coroner Knight to hold Maloney, Sullivan and Clark as accessories were opposed by former Congressman Louis, who pointed out the coroner's rights governing homicide cases.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

There are constitutional rights to which prisoners are entitled to, and no one—may compel a prisoner to testify under oath when such testimony may incriminate him.

DALSIMER STANDARD SHOES. Another of Our Special DeLyte New Style Boots \$5.90. GRAY BUCK VAMP WITH GRAY CLOTH TOP FULL LOUIS WOOD HEEL. A dainty dress boot that is worth fully \$8 at the present value of this fine leather.

An Unusual Offer on an Exceptional Instrument Saturday and Monday Only One Dollar. No. 75 Columbia Grafonola. Put this latest improved No. 75 Columbia Grafonola in your home, then easy weekly or monthly terms on the balance at the cash price.

TIRE TROUBLE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS. What is the cause of so much tire trouble outside of honest wear and tear? E. L. B. The ordinary inner tube accounts for most road distress, mishaps, delays and cost because it is often a cause of injury to an outer casing.

RHINOS INNER TUBES. The tube inside your tire is the foundation of your motor service. Buy RHINOS REINFORCED INNER TUBES Made in St. Louis. Because they are built to fit the tire, fill the tire cavity, support the tire, and increase the mileage of the tire.