

NEXT WEEK: "VERY GOOD EDDIE," AT THE ADELPHI; FISKE O'HARA AT THE WALNUT

The Biographical Adventures of a "Penna. Dutch" Comedy

The Adapter of Helen Martin's "Barnabetta" Tells a Few of the Adventures That Novel Went Through Before it Became "Erstwhile Susan"

By MARIAN DE FOREST

It's a chastening experience, dramatizing a novel; also a valuable experience, provided one has a sense of humor; and it demonstrates afresh the facts that books don't talk, that characters cannot be transferred unchanged from the printed page to the theatre.

When Mrs. Martin sent me her book "Barnabetta," and asked if I was sufficiently interested to put it into a play, I decided that it might make a sweet and appealing little comedy, with Barnabetta the chief figure and Juliet, Erstwhile Susan, her stepmother, on call whenever needed to supply the fun.

So I pictured Barnabetta dramatized, but I counted without Mrs. Fiske, and without appreciating the difference between book characters and the vitalized characters, characters that must stamp their personality upon a scene and give to a play the indescribable necessary difficult attribute which, for lack of a better term, we call atmosphere.

I tried to make the play a real dramatization, but in the attempt I was obliged to get away from the Pennsylvania Dutch atmosphere, transporting the little household drudge from Reinhardt's Station to a boarding school. In the book poor little unappreciated Barnabetta struggles between her love for a Bostonian and her admiration and friendship for Judge Jordan. It takes her months to appreciate the difference and to realize that Barrett does not ring true.

With an honest desire to do my full duty by the author, I wrote the original comedy in four acts. The author wrote me that she was delighted, but I was not. I did not feel that we had a play. At this point I threw aside the book, which I have never opened since. We beyond his years was the successful young playwright who gave us his rule for making a dramatization: "I read the book, throw it away and let me see the reason why. Novelists and playwright seldom speak the same language. Occasionally some soul is versatile enough to do both, but instances are few and methods are never the same."

After putting away for a few weeks the manuscript of "Barnabetta," the play, I took it out again and equipped with a trusty blue pencil cut every line I thought was "booky," killed off a few characters, killed down the college experience of Barnabetta and introduced some of Barnabetta's people from her home town, trusting to Juliet, optimistic, buoyant, humorous Juliet, to supply the something I knew was lacking in the college scene.

For the first and only time in our acquaintance Juliet failed me. However, the play was certainly improved. I sent it to a popular character actor. She returned the script with this comment: "My dear, the idea is bulky and the play is worth while; but it's too long and you need to build Juliet. The right woman will make a fortune with it but I should be very bad in it."

None the less I hesitated at first about turning a comedy with a Cinderella-like star into a character play. So I sent the script to one of America's greatest managers. Back it came with the statement that the name part was not big enough for the young star that he was exploiting and for whom he was making a fortune in the play. Appended was a friendly bit of advice to rewrite "Barnabetta" for the character role of Juliet.

Then one of the Corey & Riter firm read the manuscript. He liked the play and asked if I would be willing to make some changes. No one longed to make them as much as I did. Thereupon, we decided that "Barnabetta" should be done over for the Juliet role. I shut myself in my study for a week and achieved version number three.

"But can't we eliminate the college scene?" was the comment when the revised manuscript went back. "We can," was my reply, "but I'll have several more murders committed. One entire act was slat-

beta's school friends going down in the waste-basket massacre.

I decided that Juliet must have a past, so I gave her one. Frankly, I considered it a very nice past, quite humorous and in keeping with her whimsical character. But again I had counted without Mrs. Fiske. The play was in its fifth version by this time and the original title no longer fitted.

I pictured Barnabetta dramatized, but I counted without Mrs. Fiske, and without appreciating the difference between book characters and the vitalized characters, characters that must stamp their personality upon a scene and give to a play the indescribable necessary difficult attribute which, for lack of a better term, we call atmosphere.

I tried to make the play a real dramatization, but in the attempt I was obliged to get away from the Pennsylvania Dutch atmosphere, transporting the little household drudge from Reinhardt's Station to a boarding school. In the book poor little unappreciated Barnabetta struggles between her love for a Bostonian and her admiration and friendship for Judge Jordan. It takes her months to appreciate the difference and to realize that Barrett does not ring true.

With an honest desire to do my full duty by the author, I wrote the original comedy in four acts. The author wrote me that she was delighted, but I was not. I did not feel that we had a play. At this point I threw aside the book, which I have never opened since. We beyond his years was the successful young playwright who gave us his rule for making a dramatization: "I read the book, throw it away and let me see the reason why. Novelists and playwright seldom speak the same language. Occasionally some soul is versatile enough to do both, but instances are few and methods are never the same."

After putting away for a few weeks the manuscript of "Barnabetta," the play, I took it out again and equipped with a trusty blue pencil cut every line I thought was "booky," killed off a few characters, killed down the college experience of Barnabetta and introduced some of Barnabetta's people from her home town, trusting to Juliet, optimistic, buoyant, humorous Juliet, to supply the something I knew was lacking in the college scene.

For the first and only time in our acquaintance Juliet failed me. However, the play was certainly improved. I sent it to a popular character actor. She returned the script with this comment: "My dear, the idea is bulky and the play is worth while; but it's too long and you need to build Juliet. The right woman will make a fortune with it but I should be very bad in it."

None the less I hesitated at first about turning a comedy with a Cinderella-like star into a character play. So I sent the script to one of America's greatest managers. Back it came with the statement that the name part was not big enough for the young star that he was exploiting and for whom he was making a fortune in the play. Appended was a friendly bit of advice to rewrite "Barnabetta" for the character role of Juliet.

Then one of the Corey & Riter firm read the manuscript. He liked the play and asked if I would be willing to make some changes. No one longed to make them as much as I did. Thereupon, we decided that "Barnabetta" should be done over for the Juliet role. I shut myself in my study for a week and achieved version number three.

"But can't we eliminate the college scene?" was the comment when the revised manuscript went back. "We can," was my reply, "but I'll have several more murders committed. One entire act was slat-

tered, the college president and all of Barnabetta's school friends going down in the waste-basket massacre.

I decided that Juliet must have a past, so I gave her one. Frankly, I considered it a very nice past, quite humorous and in keeping with her whimsical character. But again I had counted without Mrs. Fiske. The play was in its fifth version by this time and the original title no longer fitted.

I pictured Barnabetta dramatized, but I counted without Mrs. Fiske, and without appreciating the difference between book characters and the vitalized characters, characters that must stamp their personality upon a scene and give to a play the indescribable necessary difficult attribute which, for lack of a better term, we call atmosphere.

I tried to make the play a real dramatization, but in the attempt I was obliged to get away from the Pennsylvania Dutch atmosphere, transporting the little household drudge from Reinhardt's Station to a boarding school. In the book poor little unappreciated Barnabetta struggles between her love for a Bostonian and her admiration and friendship for Judge Jordan. It takes her months to appreciate the difference and to realize that Barrett does not ring true.

With an honest desire to do my full duty by the author, I wrote the original comedy in four acts. The author wrote me that she was delighted, but I was not. I did not feel that we had a play. At this point I threw aside the book, which I have never opened since. We beyond his years was the successful young playwright who gave us his rule for making a dramatization: "I read the book, throw it away and let me see the reason why. Novelists and playwright seldom speak the same language. Occasionally some soul is versatile enough to do both, but instances are few and methods are never the same."

After putting away for a few weeks the manuscript of "Barnabetta," the play, I took it out again and equipped with a trusty blue pencil cut every line I thought was "booky," killed off a few characters, killed down the college experience of Barnabetta and introduced some of Barnabetta's people from her home town, trusting to Juliet, optimistic, buoyant, humorous Juliet, to supply the something I knew was lacking in the college scene.

For the first and only time in our acquaintance Juliet failed me. However, the play was certainly improved. I sent it to a popular character actor. She returned the script with this comment: "My dear, the idea is bulky and the play is worth while; but it's too long and you need to build Juliet. The right woman will make a fortune with it but I should be very bad in it."

None the less I hesitated at first about turning a comedy with a Cinderella-like star into a character play. So I sent the script to one of America's greatest managers. Back it came with the statement that the name part was not big enough for the young star that he was exploiting and for whom he was making a fortune in the play. Appended was a friendly bit of advice to rewrite "Barnabetta" for the character role of Juliet.

Then one of the Corey & Riter firm read the manuscript. He liked the play and asked if I would be willing to make some changes. No one longed to make them as much as I did. Thereupon, we decided that "Barnabetta" should be done over for the Juliet role. I shut myself in my study for a week and achieved version number three.

"But can't we eliminate the college scene?" was the comment when the revised manuscript went back. "We can," was my reply, "but I'll have several more murders committed. One entire act was slat-

YOU'LL NEVER SEE THEM LOOKING JUST LIKE THIS



Frank Keenan, Ince star, believes in holding the mirror up to nature before he goes "on location" for some such Triangle film as "The Sin Ye Do," which comes to the Victoria Monday.



Leo Dietrichstein, star of "The Great Lover," waits for a light.



Nazimova, on her first venture into a movie studio, discusses "War Brides" with Director Herbert Brenon, while Mile, Dazie, the dancer, looks on. The Brenon-Selznick film is due soon at the Stanley.

CECIL LEAN MAYOR OF SUNAPEE

Cecil Lean, Mayor of Sunapee, N. H. That is his proper title. He doesn't go about bragging about it, or calling the Common Council when the streets are impassable, or anything of that sort. The only way you could discover that he is Mayor of the town would be to ask him to show his fireman's badge. As Mayor of the town, he is entitled to a big shining badge, which he wears on his lapel, and all that sort of thing. Mayor Lean is, of course, proud of his Mayorship and the fact that he has the policeman department at his beck and call. The police of Sunapee, at least.

It is little wonder that Lean wears the police badge and is glad to wear it next to his heart. Not only is it good to look at, but it is useful. If it was good form to wear it on his hat, he'd probably do it—and all this on account of an incident which happened last week in Chicago. Cecil Lean, one afternoon, was hurrying home in his automobile from a visit to a friend who resides in the suburbs. He was late for the matinee of "The Blue Paradise."

In order to be in time it was necessary to hit it up, as the saying goes. All this happened in Lincoln Park, where the speed limit is fifteen miles an hour. Cecil Lean wasn't going anything like that. In point of fact he was doubling on the speed limit. Finally, a bulky person in uniform, sticking up behind him and nodding his head in a way to indicate that a full stop was desired. Lean put on the brakes and the officer took out his little notepad to take the number of the car, and informed the driver which police court the next morning at 9. But Chicago is a windy place; it is famous on that account, a lucky thing for Cecil Lean. The officer, colored up and apologetically said:

"Excuse me, Mr. Mayor, but I mistook you for somebody else whom I was looking for. A pleasant day, eh? Good-day."

And as Cecil Lean drove on he happened to look down and discovered that the wind had blown his coat open, disclosing the Mayor's fire badge, with a beautiful, large, glowing, crimson "Mayor" lettered across the center. That's what came of being Mayor of Sunapee and owning a Lake. Then it was the town discovered that it had been getting along, somehow, without a Mayor. As Cecil Lean had become the life of the place, he was promptly elected the Mayor of the town, chief of police, and Edward J. Connelly, chief of the fire department. Cecil Lean liked the place so well, and particularly the city officials, he bought a home on the Lake. Then it was the town discovered that it had been getting along, somehow, without a Mayor. As Cecil Lean had become the life of the place, he was promptly elected the Mayor of the town, chief of police, and Edward J. Connelly, chief of the fire department. Cecil Lean liked the place so well, and particularly the city officials, he bought a home on the Lake. Then it was the town discovered that it had been getting along, somehow, without a Mayor. As Cecil Lean had become the life of the place, he was promptly elected the Mayor of the town, chief of police, and Edward J. Connelly, chief of the fire department.

Where Griffith Got His Clouds for 'Intolerance'

The Art of Searching the Heavens for Divine Decorations

By D. W. GRIFFITH



I am afraid that a great many people who see "Intolerance" pass over lightly one of the most important photographic achievements made in motion-picture production. I am referring especially to the apothosis at the conclusion of the play, when there is seen the moon behind drifting clouds and below various groups of humanity engaged in strife or in activities to which the conclusion of an allegory. The achievement in this instance is the actual photographing of moving clouds, so that they are seen to be in motion exactly as in nature. There have been pictures showing clouds swirling across the sky, but until our experts realized the possibilities of actual photography of moving clouds only panoramic reproductions of mist of the sky were used in photo-dramatic representations. In these cases the moving clouds came only as a result of moving the camera as the picture was taken. I have taken the trouble to investigate claims of photographers who said they had been able to take motion pictures of clouds and to reproduce those pictures on the screen. In every instance I discovered that panoramic effects were utilized.

It was not an easy matter nor was it expensive to get the cloudland pictures. For three years an expert was at work trying to discover a means of photographing clouds, and another man worked for two years on the same thing. The experiments made were costly, but we felt sure we were on the right track, and so we kept on and on. Thousands of feet of film were exposed to no avail. We kept no record of this loss, but I am sure it was tremendous. However, we were patient and we were ultimately rewarded. When we had discovered the proper process, we were in the position of attempting to utilize our discovery to the best advantage. We had our opportunity in a scene showing a priest overlooking the city. As he stands before the enthralled spectators of "Intolerance" gazing upon the city, moving clouds are seen in the sky and stars and the moon are shown. To take this picture we had, of course, to resort to the double exposure method, but the problem was not so complicated as in our later effort for our concluding allegory. In that picture, which takes but a minute perhaps in all to shoot, there is the result of infinite patience, enormous labor and of the most expert photography. Hundreds of hours were spent in arranging the detail, but it was well worth it. In this case, double exposure is multiplied by four. In other words, eight different exposures were necessary in order to obtain the desired picture, and it can be imagined that the almost care required in order that there should be no overlapping of pictures. You see clouds passing in two directions, which, of itself is a marvelous achievement, and when you remember that each detail of the eight different exposures is photographed on a minute film it will be felt that a marvellous photograph has been attained.

PHOTOPLAY EDITOR'S QUESTION BOX

H. H. M., Lycoming County—There are several excellent books of instruction on scenario writing. Eustace Hale Ball has published such a volume, as has Epes Willoughby. For information as to the latter, write the Moving Picture World, New York City.

B. C. T., Riverport, N. J.—Almost every modern film company considers "stories in the rough, herring technique." Except in the case of a comparatively few experienced authors, plots are bought today for idea and not polish of construction.

J. M. L., Philadelphia—Write your story in condensed synopsis form, appending a list of characters with terse descriptions of each, and send to any of the reputable film concerns, marked "scenario department," with return postage inclosed. See answer to B. C. T. It would be futile to attempt to explain your plots verbally to a scenario editor. They are too busy to grant frequent interviews.

L. J. H., Woodbury, N. J.—The Thomas H. Ince content, we believe, has closed. The Photoplay Magazine carried the details some months ago.

Waiting For the Local Theatre

IT IS just a bit of a shock to realize that that delightful comedy, "Erstwhile Susan," is one of the very, very few plays about the Pennsylvania Dutch to reach our stage.

It is a very great deal of a shock to realize how little of the individual flavor of "these" United States ever does get to the footlights. What an opportunity America presents to the playwright! Here we have a country of a dozen nations. Every race that settled has left its mark. Each modified some aspect of the common life. Today there are almost as many cultures as there are States: Maine, Boston, Cape Cod, New York, Philadelphia, Dutch Pennsylvania, the Virginia of first families, the Indiana of Riley, the Kentucky mountains, the eternal summerland of Florida, old French New Orleans, the Southwest of Irrigation, Minnesota with its farming Swedes, Chicago and its packing houses, the Pittsburgh of new riches, the mining West of Nevada, the farming West of Kansas, the California of orange farms and summer and mining memories.

The reader of novels knows something of all this, for there is Mary E. Wilkins Freeman and her New England, John Fox, Jr., and his Blue Ridge, the Indians and their Middle West, Will Harben and Cora Harris and their Georgia, Thomas Nelson Page and his South, Jack London and his wilds, Stewart Edward White and his Northwest, Joseph Lincoln and his Cape Cod, Helen R. Martin and her Pennsylvania Dutch.

But it is only when the work of one of these novelists is dragged into our theatre that we begin to learn a little about our fellow Americans. That was how "Erstwhile Susan" came to us. That is how the rest must come—until we have a system of local producing theatres over the country. Such a theatre is the only instrument which will cultivate community interests as the novel cultivates them, winnowing the best of local self-expression for the country at large.

Of course, we have local drama nowadays—in a certain sense. But it is all local to one spot and that is Broadway. Unfortunately, it is not the real Broadway of the Tenderloin, which "The Easiest Way" showed us. It is the Broadway of the manager's imagination, the Broadway of every city in the country. It is the place where convention meets convention and we call them drama.

The test of popularity which our wholesale touring system applies is whether a play has enough common elements to entertain the quasi-professional playgoers of Broadway and of the Broadway of all our lesser cities. Such a test will never develop true local drama expressive of America's score of differing cultures. Only twice in ten years have distinctive plays of this kind appeared and they—"The Great Divide," with its West and its East; "The Easiest Way," with its tenderloin—had to be great enough to win production even without the local theatre. K. M.

"Pearl of the Army"

By GUY W. MCCONNELL

Scenario by GEORGE BRACKETT SEITZ

PRODUCED BY PATHE

EPISODE V—"Somewhere in Grenada."

Copyright, 1916, by George Brackett Seitz.



Pauline Frederick At the Stanley next week in "The Grand Market," a Famous Players film.

IT KEEPS HIM LEAN



Cecil, of that ilk, shows friend-wife, Cleo Mayfield, a few things about a bicycle. Perhaps they were getting into training at their New York farm for "The Blue Paradise," which opened at the Lyric Monday night.