

## Egyptian DEITIES

"The Utmost in Cigarettes"

Plain end or Cork tip

People of culture, refinement and education invariably prefer Deities to any other cigarette.

Makers of the Highest Grade Turkish and Egyptian Cigarettes in the World.

25¢

## SUFFRAGE HOST IN 'SPRING DRIVE' UPON DEMOCRACY

Two Women's Organizations at St. Louis Begin Operations on Delegates

ONE FOR AMENDMENT

"Believing that governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, we acknowledge the right of women to participate in government and favor their enfranchisement."

By BARRY FARIS

ST. LOUIS, June 13.—After two days of preliminary skirmishing woman suffrage today began a real advance on the Democratic National Convention. The offensive is on two fronts. The woman's party—led by some of the most prominent suffrage workers in America—is trying to force the Democrats to pledge the immediate adoption by Congress of the Susan B. Anthony amendment, while the National Woman's Suffrage Association is demanding that the convention include in its platform a plank fully endorsing suffrage.

Though a majority of the Democratic leaders indicate that they will not seriously consider the woman suffrage question, the leaders of the two organizations are optimistic. They declare that the Democratic party, if it wishes success in November, must recognize and endorse woman suffrage. The two bodies are not working in conjunction, but they are not interfering with each other's activities, and the delegates to the Democratic Convention are being told plainly that "votes for women" is one of the burning issues of the hour.

Pennsylvania has representatives of both organizations here. Mrs. Lawrence Lewis is with the faction seeking immediate action by Congress, while Mrs. J. Frank Rossing and Mrs. Hannah Patterson, of Pittsburgh, are on the other side. The two last named are insisting upon the adoption of this plank:

"Believing that the Government derives its just powers from the consent of the governed, we acknowledge the right of women to participate in government and favor their enfranchisement."

Representatives of the woman's party are conducting a vigorous "button-hole" campaign. Every person wearing the badge of delegate to the convention is held up and made to tell his stand on suffrage. If it does not suit, the delegate is bombarded with the most vigorous shot and shell that he has ever faced.

The suffragists plan their big demonstration tomorrow. That will be their "silent walk" parade. Thousands of women fighting for the ballot, garbed in white and wearing a yellow banner, will line the streets from the Hotel Jefferson Democratic headquarters to the Convention hall, where the delegates will be held.

The delegates will endeavor to pass the women and it is hoped by those in charge of the plan that the delegates will be so impressed by the demonstration that they will demand a strong endorsement of woman suffrage in the platform. The suffragists will not say a word. They have been instructed to stand and stare at the delegates as they pass by—and stare hard.

Mrs. Harriet Stanton Blatch has arrived to reinforce the woman's party workers. She is in a decidedly belligerent mood.

In no uncertain tones she declares that the Democratic party, if it hopes for success at the November election, must not only include a strong suffrage plank in the platform, but must guarantee that the Susan B. Anthony amendment to the Constitution, giving the vote to women, be passed at once by Congress. She has made no threats, but takes pains to state that the women now living in States where they are allowed to vote are fighting the battle for their sisters in every State, and that their votes may decide the next presidential campaign.

The general belief is expressed by politicians that the Democratic party will issue in its platform a plank similar to the one adopted by the Republicans endorsing woman suffrage by State legislation. Suffrage leaders, however, declare that this will not suffice, and openly state that if the Democrats do not do better than this the support of a country's women voters may go to another candidate.

Telephone Company Buys Lots

The Chelton Trust Company has sold to the Bell Telephone Company premises on the northwest side of Maplewood avenue, 141 feet southwest of Germantown avenue, 14 feet 9 inches by 64 feet 3 inches, together with a lot in the rear, 60 feet by 110 feet 8 inches, for \$17,500. The lots adjoin the exchange building of the company, on Chelton avenue, west of Germantown avenue.



REV. DR. W. H. MAIN

The pastor of the Memorial Baptist Church, Broad and Master streets, for the last nine years, has received a call to the First Baptist Church, Chicago. Whether he will accept or not has not been announced. Doctor Main has added more than 400 members to the church since he became pastor. In the first two years he succeeded in getting the congregation to cancel a \$12,500 indebtedness. The church is without debt today.

## ENGLAND PAYS TRIBUTE TO LORD KITCHENER

Continued from Page One

Alexandra, as well as Government and diplomatic representatives, attended the services. Ambassador Page and Mrs. Page represented the American embassy at the memorial.

The services were opened with the hymn, "Abide With Me," followed by the reading of Psalms 130 and 23, by Dean Inge. After a special prayer, the hymn, "For All Thy Saints," was sung. The memorial was closed with a benediction pronounced by the Archbishop of Canterbury. The last part was then sounded by an army bugler and the whole congregation arose and sang "God Save the King."

Among others present were David Lloyd-George, the Minister of Munitions; Sir Edward Grey, the Foreign Minister; Premier Asquith, A. J. Balfour, First Lord of the Admiralty; Winston Churchill, Lord Curzon, Chancellor of the Exchequer, Field Marshal Viscount John French, General Sir William Robertson, Mr. and Mrs. Rudyard Kipling, Lieutenant General Sir Charles Tucker and Lady Tucker, and Major General Steele, of the Canadian forces.

## \$2,002,462 IN AUTO FEES

State Highway Department Reports Big Increase in Revenue

HARRISBURG, June 13.—The State of Pennsylvania has realized more than \$2,000,000 from automobilists during 1916 in registration and licensing fees. The exact amount at the close of business June 12 received by the automobile division of the State Highway Department was \$2,002,462.

The total amount received from this source during 1915 was \$1,665,276.50, which figures were passed this year on, April 25.

Department representatives said today that the amount received this year would probably exceed \$2,225,000. From June 12 to December 31, 1915, the department received \$210,265.50. Even granting that these figures be not exceeded this year, it would make the total sum \$2,212,667.50, but at the State Highway Department it was said it is believed likely that the figures from now on to the end of the year will be nearer \$500,000 than \$210,000.

## Prizes for German Awarded

Two prizes, one of \$50 and a silver medal, and the second \$25 and a medal, for excellence in the study of German have been awarded to Albert Vossberg, Jr., and Morris Freed by the Garmann Society. Vossberg won first prize. Both are students at the University of Pennsylvania. The prizes were provided for under the will of the late George Schleicher, of Philadelphia, who left a trust fund for the purpose. Competitors must be University of Pennsylvania students.

## "SNOW" ORGY MAY CAUSE MARINE'S DEATH

Victim of Narcotics Found Unconscious—Three Chinatown Companions Arrested

A marine, believed to be Walter Runstrand, unconscious and probably dying as the result of narcotic poison, was taken from the vicinity of 11th and Race streets to the Hahnemann Hospital early today.

William Stramm, of Camden; Frank Peely, of Broad street above Somerset street, and Anna Norton, 25 years old, of 623 North 10th street, 124 marines suspected companions in a "snow party" in Chinatown, were arrested and were held in \$400 bail by Magistrate Beaton for a further hearing next Monday at the Central Station.

Two packages of white powder, believed to be cocaine, taken from one of the prisoners, were confiscated by the police, and have been sent to the city chemist for analysis. Lieutenant Van Horn and his district detectives have started a search of the neighborhood in which the unconscious marine was found, suspecting that drug fiends have resumed their illegal practice.

The victim, whose condition was said at the hospital to be grave, came from the Philadelphia Navy Yard, and word of his whereabouts has been sent to the marine authorities. Policeman Tryon saw him, about daylight today, seated between two other men on the step of 1017 Race street. As the policeman approached the three started off, but the marine was so feeble that he could not keep up with them, and finally had to carry him bodily. They went west to 11th street, then turned northward to Spring street. Tryon kept them in sight, and they attempted to lay their helpless companion in an alley running off Spring street, the bluecoat overtook and placed them under arrest. The suspected packages were found in Freely's possession, the police say.

Acting upon the information given by the prisoners the police later arrested the Norton woman. They think she produced the drug responsible for the marine's condition. From their information the police gather that the affair began with a trip to Chinatown, where, after drinking together, the men induced the marine to go with them to a "snow party," as they term a gathering of drug-users. The combination of alcohol and opium, the police assert, is unusually dangerous and often fatal in its result. The visitor, unaccustomed to the use of narcotics, they think, became an easy victim.

Warrior Runstrand, a private in the marine corps, has been missing since last night. Lieutenant H. A. Judson went to the Hahnemann Hospital to make the identification.

## MOORE LOSES CANAL PLEA

Amendment for Control of Chesapeake and Delaware Rejected

WASHINGTON, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

Washington, June 13.—Another effort to have the Federal Government take over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal as a measure of adequate defense was made in the House today by Representative J. Hampton Moore, of Philadelphia. Mr. Moore submitted reports of naval experts on the value of the project, but his proposition as an amendment to the fortification bill was rejected on a point of order by Representative Sherry. This amendment was offered to the army and rivers and harbors bills, but rejected by the committee.

## SANATISIK ASKS NAME BE CHANGED TO SIMON

When Persons Sneezed Tailor With Quaint Cognomen Thought He was Being Called

For 28 years Louis Sanatistik carried his name with difficulty. But he found it a great burden, so he said. He was called "tis it" and "mays it." It kept him busy informing persons of the proper syllables and their sequence. He also had to do considerable spelling.

Furthermore, sometimes when a person on the street accidentally sneezed, Sanatistik thought he was being called. Finally, when some one called him Senator he decided it was time to take drastic action.

So he took the matter to court. This afternoon in Common Pleas Court No. 2 Sanatistik, who is a tailor and lives at 632 Cypress street, filed a petition to have his name changed to Simon, which is handier and takes less time and ink.

Sanatistik said he experienced great inconvenience when he came in contact with persons who attempted to pronounce his name.

It appears that he had no trouble with the name when it was printed. But when he got on a telephone on a warm day in a close booth and he tried to tell the operator who he was—

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Well, at any rate, the court will hold the matter under advisement.

Have YOU Been to Perry's Intensified Value Sale of Suits

Standard \$25, \$22.50 and \$20 fabrics at

\$15

This is the last Intensified Value Sale of this Season—a s t, but not least! Last and best, is more like it; for we surprised ourselves by the finds we made of mill-end fabrics and manufacturers' remnants—a few here, a few there—not enough to be of any account where they were—but when brought together, embracing choice-of-the-season \$25, \$22.50 and \$20 woolsens and worsteds!

Cut up over our own latest Summer models with the benefit of last-minute style ideas! Many skeletonized and silk-trimmed for hot-weather comfort!

Regular sack-coat Suits; two-in-one Pleated back models; Norfolk Suits and Golf Suits!

Blue serges; blue flannel pleated-back coat and trousers; silk-mixed worsteds, plain and fancy worsteds; Oxfords and Cambridge grays, broad and narrow stripes, checks and plaids—novelty mixtures—all to be sold during this One Week Sale of Intensified Value, at the

One Uniform Price \$15

Nothing that we can say will convey a full appreciation of their amazing value—it's a case where reality will exceed your expectations—seeing them will be far better than reading about them!

PERRY & Co. "N. B. T." 16th & Chestnut Sts.

## THREE DYING AS RESULT OF JOY RIDE SMASH

Race Between Two Machines on Jersey Road Ends in Accident

A Gloucester girl, a Paulsboro powder worker and a Paulsboro jitney driver are dying in Cooper Hospital, Camden, as a result of a joy ride accident near Westville, N. J., early this morning.

The girl is Miss Emma Britt, 22 years old. She has been conscious since the accident. The powder worker is Albert H. Sharp, 24 years old. He has a broken arm and internal injuries. The jitney driver is Joseph Heritage, 19 years old. His nose is broken, and he, too, has internal injuries.

From what the injured men told the Cooper Hospital doctors this is the way it happened:

Sharp and another powder worker, whom he won't name and who escaped unhurt, hired Heritage, the jitney driver, to take them to Gloucester. There they were to meet Miss Britt and another girl, but only she appeared.

Then they set out on the Crown Point road for Woodbury, N. J. Sharp and the girl sat in the rear seat; his friend and Heritage were in the front. They met another car and started to race.

This side of Westville, N. J., they struck a soft spot in the road. The machine skidded and turned over. The unknown friend of Sharp fell clear of the car and ran away. The other three, including the girl, were pinned under it.

The car they had been racing with came back and gave the injured a lift to Westville. There they were placed on the 3 a. m. train and brought to Camden.

## TO PRESENT LOUISIANA FLAG

Mayor Smith Will Take Part in Celebration at Independence Hall Tomorrow—Call to Patriotism

Besides issuing a proclamation calling for a display of the national flag by all citizens tomorrow—Flag Day—Mayor Smith will participate in the Flag Day ceremony at Independence Hall in connection with the presentation of the State flag of Louisiana.

The flag has been sent here by Mayor Behrman, of New Orleans, as a gift to the city, and it will be unfurled at noon as a part of the plan of the Flag Day Committee to have appropriate exercises at the birthplace of the national flag. The governors of the various States have called on citizens to sing "The Star-Spangled Banner" at the stroke of 12 o'clock.

Among the replies received by the committee are two from Governor Strong, of Alaska, and Mrs. Belle Luckett, principal of the American mission school in Pyeung, Korea. Mrs. Luckett wrote that the children in her school would get up at 2 o'clock in the morning, in order to sing the American anthem when it is noon in this city.

Mayor Smith will preside at the exercises and will present the Louisiana flag to the authorities in charge of Independence Hall. In making the presentation the Mayor will stand on the spot where Lincoln stood in 1861.

## Your Bank Account