The Lancaster Intelligencer

GEO. SANDERSON, EDITOR.
A. SANDERSON, Associate.

LANCASTER, PA., SEPTEMBER 20, 1859.

CIRCULATION, 2000 COPIES!
SUBSCRIPTION PRICE, $2,00 per annum.

☞ S. M. PETTENGILL & Co.'s ADVERTISING AGENCY, 119 Nassau street, New York, and 10 State street, Boston.

S. M. PETTENGILL & Co., are Agents for *The Lancaster Intelligencer*, and the most influential and largest circulating Newspapers in the United States and the Canadas.—They are authorized to contract for us at our *lowest rates*

DEMOCRATIC STATE NOMINATIONS.

AUDITOR GENERAL:
RICHARDSON L. WRIGHT, Philada.
SURVEYOR GENERAL:
JOHN ROWE, Franklin.

DEMOCRATIC COUNTY TICKET.

Assembly.
DAVID REESE, City,
BENJAMIN HERR, Columbia,
ROBERT S. McILVAIN, Paradise,
WILLIAM HAYS, Jr., Colerain.

District Attorney.
ALDUS J. NEFF, City.

County Treasurer.
JOHN W. CLARK, Marietta.

County Commissioner.
ABRAHAM PETERS, Manor.

Prison Inspectors.
DANIEL HARTMAN, Lancaster twp.
SAMUEL LONG, West Lampeter.

Directors of the Poor.
ALBERT G. KILLIAN, City,
LEWIS ECKERT, Paradise,
JACOB ZECHER, City.

County Surveyor.
DANIEL FULTON, Pequea.

Auditor.
WILLIAM W. WOODS, Conestoga.

THE COUNTY CONVENTION--THE TICKET.

This body met at Fulton Hall, on Wednesday last, and was very fully attended—nearly every district in the county being represented. There was more than the usual amount of talent present, and, the discussions on the resolutions were able and animated, but generally in the right spirit. After the discussion was over, the utmost harmony and good humor prevailed, and the resolutions passed by a unanimous vote. The full proceedings are given in another column, to which we invite the attention of all our readers.

The ticket formed by the Convention—which will be found at the head of our columns—is one which will strongly recommend itself to the hearty support of every Democrat in the County. All the candidates are honest, intelligent and reliable men, who deserve to be elected, and whose election would be creditable to the county. We doubt whether there has ever been a more unexceptionable ticket presented to the people of Lancaster county, and we confidently anticipate for it a heavy vote. We have not room now to speak of the candidates in detail, as they deserve; but this would seem to be unnecessary, as they are all so well known throughout the county, as that they will strongly recommend themselves to public support.

We hope, therefore, now that so good a ticket has been placed in nomination, our Democratic friends, one and all, will set to work in earnest, and resolve to give it such a vote as will astonish our opponents in the county and all "outside barbarians" to boot. We can do it, if we will—then let us try our best.

A CARD.—The senior editor of THE INTELLIGENCER cannot do less than return his sincere thanks to the Democratic County Convention for the *unanimous* compliment they paid him, in placing his name before the people of the State as a candidate for the Gubernatorial nomination. Whether their wishes in this respect will be gratified or not, is for the future to determine; but he shall ever feel grateful to the Democracy of Lancaster County for this mark of their approbation and esteem, and shall in the future, as in the past, be found battling with them in defence of the great principles of the party which we all so much cherish and admire. The compliment is one of which any man might be proud, and we should be doing violence to our own feelings did we not thus publicly express them.

NEXT WEEK.

In our next issue we shall endeavor to find room for a synopsis of the able and elaborate article from the pen of Senator DOUGLAS, published in the September number of *Harper's Magazine*, on the "Dividing Line between Federal and Local Authority," and also some extracts from the masterly review of it in the Washington *Constitution* of the 10th inst. Our readers will then have both sides of the question, and be able to form their own conclusions. It is very apparent that the writer in the *Constitution*—whom some suppose to be Attorney General BLACK—understands thoroughly what he is talking about, and is a full match, if not a little more, for his distinguished antagonist. Both productions are written in fine style and temper, and apparently exhaust the entire field of argument in the controversy.

MISREPRESENTATION CORRECTED.

We were not aware, until we read it in the *Express* of Thursday evening, that the contest in the Democratic County Convention of Wednesday was in reference to the next Presidency—in other words between the friends of Mr. BUCHANAN on the one hand and those of Senator DOUGLAS on the other.—The whole contest, on the contrary, was as to the policy or impolicy of endorsing Governor PACKER and the State Administration; and Mr. DOUGLAS' name was not mentioned in the discussion, except once, incidentally, by Messrs. McPhail and Kline. There was no disposition, whatever, manifested on the part of any of the delegates or speakers to lug into the discussion the Presidential question, nor was it done, the assertions of the *Express* to the contrary notwithstanding. The minority contended manfully, as a matter of policy, for the endorsement of Governor PACKER; but they were voted down, and, as good Democrats, submitted cheerfully to the decision of the majority.

Of a piece with the above, and equally false and unfounded, is the allegation in the same paper of Friday evening, that *three* of the four wards in this city voted against the resolutions endorsing the National Administration. The truth is there was not a dissenting voice against those resolutions, neither in the City or County—the only difference of opinion being, as we stated before, as to the policy of endorsing Governor PACKER.

We cannot understand why a professedly *neutral* paper should thus seek to mislead the public mind by misrepresenting and perverting the action of the Convention. It would be decidedly better policy, in all cases, for our cotemporary to stick to the truth.

MAINE ELECTION.

The election last week resulted in the triumph of the Republican candidate for Governor, Lot M. Morrell, by about the usual majority. A majority of the members elect to the State Legislature are also of the same stripe in politics.

POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY.

It must be apparent to the most casual observer of passing events, that there is in the public mind a marked misconception as to the rights of the citizens of the several States to take and enjoy their property in the common territories of the Union. The fact that the domain outside of State jurisdiction is the common property of all the States—South as well as North—is conceded by all parties; but in reference to the rights which may be enjoyed in the Territories by the citizens of the different States, the opinions are various and conflicting. On the one hand, it is claimed that under the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, in the Dred Scott case, a citizen of a State has a right to carry his slave into common territory, and there hold him and enjoy his services without the sanction of Congress or the local Legislature. On the other hand, the correctness of this decision is totally repudiated, and resistance to its mandates counseled. In addition to these two distinct and diametrically opposite views, there is another entertained by some, which, whilst it does not directly ignore the decision and opinions of the Supreme Court, proposes a system of evasion by which the same result is accomplished as is attained by those who deny its validity in toto. This latter view admits that a citizen may carry his slave into the Territories, and that slaves are recognized as property under the Constitution of the United States; but denies that Congress has any right to protect this kind of property in the Territories, or that the master can enforce his rights without local police regulations.

The first of these views has the sure foundation of the Constitution, as expounded by the highest judicial tribunal of the Nation, to rest upon and sustain it. The second is consistent with itself, but, to become effective, the Government must be revolutionized, and a despotism will have to be established. The third is inconsistent and contradictory in itself, and is in opposition to the established law of the land.

The right to carry slaves into common territory, and hold them there so long as it is a Territory, is clearly in accordance with common sense, and well established by the ruling of the Supreme Court, whose decisions must be taken as the law of the land. If this be so, and who can deny it? upon what process of reasoning can it be shown that the right to enjoy the services of the slave by the master is dependent upon local police regulations? Horses are property, just as slaves are property, and yet the right to own and enjoy the horse is not dependent upon local police regulations. It is true, that if the local Legislature declares the stealing of a horse larceny, and punishes the offence with fine and imprisonment, or either, it is so far protection; but if, on the other hand, the Legislature declines to gives such incidental protection by the enactment of a statute against horse stealing, would the horse be any the less property, or less subject to all the rules and regulations of trade, purchase, and sale? The want of a criminal code would not invalidate a warranty of purchase—the writ of replevin, attachment, and all the other remedies known to a Court of law, under the Constitution, would still remain in full vigor and force. Now, then, if slaves are property, recognized as such by the Constitution of the United States, the supreme law of the land, the failure of a Territorial Legislature, or of Congress, to give incidental protection, would not have the effect to destroy the property qualification. Such neglect or failure on the part of the Legislature might render that kind of property less secure, just in the same way that the failure to pass a criminal code punishing theft would have a tendency to render other property less secure; but it would be none the less property. If A enticed away B's slave without the consent of the latter, a writ of replevin would lie for his recovery; and if the slave could not be found or recovered, A would be compelled to respond to B's demand for damages, for the value of his property,—and so of all the other remedies known to our jurisprudence.

On this point a contemporary comments as follows:

The absurdity of saying that Congress has no power to protect slave property in the territories, and—upon this denial of power—to assert that the people of the territory can only act in this matter, is palpably ridiculous. By whose authority do the people in a territory act—by their own, or the delegated power of Congress? Congress institutes government in the territories, and by its delegated authority the local government of the territory carries it on. And can Congress delegate greater power than it possesses?—The absurdity of the proposition is apparent at once. If the local territorial governments have a right to grant or refuse protection to this kind of property, Congress also possesses the power, and from it the power must be derived, for the people of a territory possess none inherently. Nor does this power of protection necessarily carry with it that of destruction by negative restrictions. Congress may refuse to exercise the power, as a matter of policy or expediency, but it has no power to destroy what has been confided to its protection. To it is confided the maintenance of the government of the Union, and certainly no sane man would argue that because Congress has power to maintain government, it follows that it also has power to destroy it.—And, on the other hand, it does not follow that because Congress possesses the power to protect slave property in the territories, it must be exercised, as a matter of necessity, regardless of discretion and a sound and judicious governmental policy. Congress possesses the power to declare war, yet none would argue that it should be exercised merely because it is possessed. A power may exist, but its exercise may be inexpedient. Congress possesses the power of protecting slave property in the territories, but the direct exercise of it involves a grave question of expediency and policy. England claimed the right and power to tax her colonies; the attempt to enforce it lost her an empire—and it might be well for the United States to profit by the illustrious example.

JOHN C. CALHOUN A NON-INTERVENTIONIST.—On the 22d of June, 1848, in the U. S. Senate, John C. Calhoun thus defined his position in regard to the question of slavery in the Territories:—

There is a very striking difference between the position on which the slaveholding and non-slaveholding States stand in reference to the subject under consideration, (the prohibition of slavery in Oregon.) The former desire no action of the government; demand no law to give them any advantage in the Territory about to be established, are willing to leave it and other Territories belonging to the United States, open to all their citizens, so long as they continue to be Territories, and when they cease to be, to leave it to their inhabitants to form such governments as may suit them, without restriction or condition, except that imposed by the Constitution, as a prerequisite for admission into the Union. In short, they are willing to leave the whole subject where the Constitution and the great and fundamental principles of self-government place it. On the contrary, the non-slaveholding States, instead of being willing to leave it this broad and equal foundation, demand the interposition of the government, and the passage of an act to prevent the citizens of the slaveholding States from emigrating with their property into the Territory.

I hold that justice and the Constitution are the easiest and safest grounds on which the question can be settled, regarded in reference to party. *It may be settled on that ground simply by non action*, by leaving the Territories free and open to the emigration of all the world. If a party cannot safely take this broad and solid position and successfully maintain it, what other can it take and maintain?

☞ The Luzerne Democratic County Convention has recommended the Hon. HENDRICK B. WRIGHT, of Wilkesbarre, as a candidate for Governor.

SAMBO IS KING.

Sambo is no myth, but a substantial reality. Time was, says a contemporary, when Sambo occupied an humble, unobtrusive sphere, and none so poor as to do him reverence. But times have changed; Sambo has gradually emerged from obscurity, and may now be said to reign supreme in many aristocratic circles. His obsequious followers are legion. In the halls of legislation, in courts of justice, and at executive council boards—in the pulpit and at the editorial desk—Sambo presides with the dignity and authority of an autocrat. No project of a law can be discussed, enacted or approved—no new State can be admitted into the confederacy without consulting the woolly-headed despot. Sambo elects Representatives to Congress and the State Legislatures, and grave Senators, and venerable Governors, too. Sambo, also, controls the jury-box, elects constables, school directors, etc., etc.—Oftentimes he puts on a religious air, presides at conferences, synods and other similar associations, and either dictates resolves or smashes up churches at pleasure. In fine, no station can be reached, no measure carried, or object attained, without the complacent smile of imperial Sambo.

What a perfect god send Sambo has been to many an addle-pated politician or poorly fed divine! How the ebony-hued autocrat has gladdened the hearts of such, and filled their scanty stores with plenty! Where now, indeed, would have been the myriads of brawling demagogues and fanatical divines, had not Sambo revealed himself to them in their hour of need? Indeed Sambo, like the household gods of antiquity, has become indispensable in every walk of life; for it is in him, by him, and through him that thousands seem to live, and move, and have their political being. He is the only divinity they worship. With great versatility of character and varied learning, Sambo writes proclamations, sermons, novels, orations and letters missive. The serf of Russia, or the humblest menial about the throne of Francis Joseph of Austria, is not more obsequious to the royal will than Sambo's votaries are to him. What will please Sambo or promote *his* ends are the great questions of the day. More than a million of people voted for him in 1856, anxious to make him President of the United States, and were well nigh distracted because they did not succeed.

Will some one inform us when there will be an end of the reign of Sambo? Cannot our neighbor of the "Union," or our handsome friend of the "Examiner" inform us? Come, gentlemen, give the people the desired information.

THE CINCINNATI PLATFORM.

That the Cincinnati platform is good enough and broad enough for the Democracy to stand upon in the contest of 1860 is fast becoming the fixed opinion of prominent men of the party, as well as the Democratic press generally. Upon this subject the Louisville (Ky.) Courier remarks:

The Democracy of the South desire neither to "cheat nor be cheated." The Cincinnati platform, fairly interpreted, is broad enough for all practical purposes. But that platform must be adhered to and carried out in good faith. There should not be two readings of it—one for the North and one for the South. On the subject of slavery the platform is clear and explicit, and, in our opinion, meets all the necessary demands of the South. It declares that the people shall determine the question of slavery when they shall come to form a State Constitution, thereby precluding the idea that they can determine the question before that time. But, notwithstanding the Cincinnati platform is explicit on the question as to the time when the existence or non-existence of slavery may be determined, and fixes it *when a State Constitution is formed*, there are still men who, like Mr. Douglas, hold that the question may be practically settled by "unfriendly legislation," long before the time indicated in the Cincinnati platform.

We appeal to candid men to know whether such dogmas as squatter sovereignty and unfriendly legislation carry out fairly and in its true spirit the Cincinnati platform.—Surely, if the squatters, at the very organization of a Territory, may declare that slavery shall not exist, or pass laws which will prevent owners from carrying their slaves into the Territories, or rendering them valueless when they may arrive there, it is a practical prohibition of slavery, and a direct violation of the spirit and letter of the Cincinnati platform.

In order that there may be no mistake about the position of the National Democracy, a party which boasts like ours that it is planted on principles, should pursue no shuffling policy, the Charleston Convention should embody in the platform to be made there next year, the principles embodied in the opinion in the Dred Scott case. Then there will be no mistaking the position of our party. Then, planted on our platform as it has been elucidated by the Supreme Court, and maintaining the Constitutional rights of all sections of the Confederacy, we can march on, if not to a glorious victory, at least to an honorable defeat.

☞ "With the executive power of the government in his [Mr. Buchanan's] hands, his administration has failed in every respect to meet the expectations of all classes. It has presented the most humiliating spectacle of corruption, extravagance, imbecility, recklessness, and broken faith."—*Extract from Circular of "Republican National Committee,"* 1859.

The Providence *Post*, referring to the above charges against the present administration, says:—

"The signers of this circular have not been able, with the aid of the four members of their party who were kicked out of Congress for corrupt practices, to prove a single instance of corruption under the federal government for which any decent man would say that Mr. Buchanan or his 'administration' ought to be held responsible. The 'extravagance' referred to consists of *reducing* the expenditures of the government to the tune of *twenty millions of dollars!* The 'imbecility' is seen in the settlement of the Kansas quarrel and the Utah quarrel, and the settlement of a controversy with England which had involved us in one war and threatened us with another; the squaring of the accounts with Paraguay without the shedding of a drop of blood; a better enforcement of the laws against the African slave-trade than was ever known before; the complete suppression of filibustering; and such an administration of the laws at home as even the bitterest enemy of the President has not complained of. 'Recklessness and broken faith,' when applied to this administration by men who have never been entrusted with power but to abuse it, savor so much of malice as to have little weight with thinking people."

EDITORIAL CHANGE.

The *Pennsylvanian* of Tuesday last announces a change of editors—Hon. NIMROD STRICKLAND retiring, and GEORGE W. BAKER, Esq., formerly of this city, taking his place. The cause of Mr. Strickland's retirement is ill health, which disqualified him from giving that close attention to his duties that he desired. We sincerely hope he may find that benefit from relaxation which will restore him to full physical vigor and usefulness. Mr. Baker, his successor, is a gentleman of decided ability as a writer, and will doubtless prove a strong advocate of Democratic principles. We congratulate him on his entrance into the editorial fraternity, and trust that he may find the enterprise both pleasant and profitable.

DISCHARGE OF A NATURALIZED AMERICAN FROM THE HANOVERIAN ARMY.—The State Department has received information from our minister at Berlin that Christian Ernst, an American naturalized citizen, who had been compelled by force to enter the Hanoverian army, has, upon the remonstrance of our government, been discharged from the service.

CITY AND COUNTY AFFAIRS.

Democratic County Convention.

The Democratic County Convention met at Fulton Hall on Wednesday last, at 11 o'clock, A. M., and was called to order by H. B. SWARR, Esq., Chairman of the County Committee.

The following gentlemen presented credentials of their election, and took their seats as members of the Convention:

Bart—Dr. John Martin, James M. Pennington, William Pickel, Daniel Ault, Harrison Graham
Colerain—F. P. Rylan, Samuel McConnel, David Walker.
William S. Barckley, George W. Whiteside.
Columbia—N. W.—Jacob S. Miller, H. M. North, Augustus Pelan, Jacob S. Routh, Samuel T. Wilson.
" S. W.—Peter A. Kimburg, James Schroeder, Morgan Hayes, George Tille, Benjamin Herr.
Conestoga—John Kolp, David Trissler, F. Sourbeer, H. Steaman, W. W Woods.
Conoy—Frederick Dase, Henry Nophsker, George Hackenberger, John H. Smith, Emanuel Nagle.
Donegal East—Thomas J. Albright, Jacob Gorner, Henry Housel.
Donegal West—John Gross, A. J. Kelley, Henry Funk, James Hughes, Samuel Diffenbach.
Drumore—John McSparran, Thomas Barnes, George Hastings, William Lee, Samuel Ashram.
Earl—James Darrow, Dr. Samuel Ringwalt, Roland Brubaker, Dr. J. Lemon Winters, Levi Hull.
Earl East—George Duchman, Harrison Haller, Isaac Foltz, John Sandre, Cyrus Stauffer.
Earl West—Mark Connell, John M. Sawyer, Isaac Ruth, Jacob Smith, Sr., Reuben Buch.
Ephrata—John W. Lebers, P. Martin Heitler, Emanuel Mobler, W. H. Spera, Dr. H. Reemsnyder.
Elizabeth—Joseph S. Keener, Benjamin Breitigam, Jas. H. McCausland.
Elizabethtown—Jacob H. Bletz, Jerome B. Shultz, John A. Gruss, Jr., Harrison T. Shultz, Christian Ebersole.
Eden—Henry H. Breneman, James C Ewing, John Graham, James Montgomery, Christian Mayers.
Fulton—Samuel Wicks, Esq., Samuel W. Scott, John A. Langdon, James Trayner, William F. Jenkins.
Hempfield East—B. F. Lutz, Christian Hoffman, Dr. G. W. Groff, Col. D. Ringwalt, Dr. Samuel Parker.
Hempfield West—John M. Weller, Benjamin F. Gramm, Henry M. Weller, David Weidler, Peter Mumma.
City—N. W. W.—Lieut. John Rees, George Ruth, Samuel C. Taylor, George W. Brown, John A. Shelrenbrand
" N. E. W.—Maj. Chas. M. Howell, Jacob H. Smith, John Lippincott, Mark Connell, Jr., John Rose.
" S. W. W.—Alfred Sanderson, Jacob F. Kautz, James Peoples, Dr. Henry Carpenter, Charles F. Volgt.
" S. E. W.—Col. Wm. S. Amweg, Albert G. Killian, Junius B. Kaufman, James H. Barnes, George M. Kline.
Lancaster Twp.—Benjamin Huber, Daniel Hartman, Benjamin Lentz.
Lampeter East—Col. Joel L. Lightner, H. W. Gara, Wm. Miller, Christian Erb, Henry Keneagy.
Lampeter West—Samuel Weaver, Samuel Long, George Raub, Jr., Henry M. Miller, Thomas Dobson.
Leacock—John Reed, Molton R. Sample, John L. Lightner, Adam Henderson, James Davis.
Leacock Upper—Dr. A. S. Bare, George W. Linville, Washington Simmons, Dr. I. C. Weidler, Cyrus Miller.
Little Britain—Christopher Hess, Levi Barnett, B. S. Patterson, E. C. Swift, Morris Reynolds, Jr.
Manheim Bor.—Jacob E. Cross, B. Donaven, A. J. Eby, F. H. Arndt, S. Brosy.
Manheim Twp.—George Wisner, Benjamin Eby, George A. Urban, George Hambright, John Flory.
Manor—George G. Brush, Jacob G. Peters, David Shoff, A. Deneke, Charles J. Rhodes.
Martic—Samuel Kirkwood, James Haddon, Henry Pegan, Alfred Savery, William N. Gibson.
Marietta—John W. Clark, James Duffy, Charles Kelly, Lewis Housel, Dr. John Huston.
Mount Joy Bor.—Charles W. Johnston, H. B. McNeal, William Doyle, Alexander D. Reese, Levi M. Hoffman.
Paradise—Joseph Hamilton, George L. Eckert, George Fondersmith, John G. Offner, Henry Girvin.
Penn—Hiram R. Hull, Samuel Plasterer, Aaron Longenecker.
Providence—Dr. John K. Raub, John Conrad, Esq., John C. Smith, Joshua Winters, Thomas Robinson.
Strasburg Bor.—William T. McPhail, James Curran, B. F. Ibach. R. M. Girvin, C. Rowe.
Strasburg Twp.—Franklin Clarke, Daniel E. Potts, Benjamin G. Herr, John Girvin, Jefferson Neff.
Salsbury—Franklin Homsher, N. Gillespie, Col. James Boon.
Salisbury—John Skiles, John Patton, B. F. Houston, Thomas S. McIlvain, John Eaby.
Warwick—Isaac F. Bomberger, Theodore Lichtenthaler, Hiram Kline, John Birkinbine, Peter Kafroth.
Washington—Col. Joseph Schoch, Joseph E. Charles, David Miller, Israel Hoover, George Green.

The organization of the Convention was completed by the election of the following officers:

PRESIDENT:
JOHN H. SMITH, Esq., Conoy.

VICE PRESIDENTS:
COL. JOEL L. LIGHTNER, East Lampeter,
GEORGE G. BRUSH, Esq., Manor,
LEVI M. HOFFMAN, Mount Joy Bor.,
JOHN M. WELLER, West Hempfield.
HENRY GIRVIN, Paradise,
BENJAMIN G. HERR, Strasburg Twp.,
JACOB S. ROATH, Columbia,
DR. SAMUEL PARKER, East Hempfield,
JAMES C. EWING, Eden,
JOHN GROSS, West Donegal,
THOMAS S. MCILVAIN, Salisbury,
DR. HENRY REEMSNYDER, Ephrata.

SECRETARIES:
Charles J. Rhodes, Manor,
Alfred Sanderson, City,
Benjamin F. Ibach. Strasburg Bor.,
Mark Connell, Jr., City.

On motion of WILLIAM T. MCPHAIL, Esq., a committe of thirteen was appointed to draft resolutions expressive of the sense of the Convention. The committee consisted of the following gentlemen: William T. McPhail, Dr. A. S Bare, John L. Lightner, Samuel Wicks, Dr. E. Haldeman, John Gross, H. M. North, Charles J. Rhodes, Joel L. Lightner, Jacob F. Kautz, James Duffy, Henry H. Breneman, Dr. John K. Raub.

The Convention then proceeded to make the following nominations for the various offices:

Assembly.
Benjamin Herr, Columbia,
William Pickel, Bart,
Jacob H. Bletz, Elizabethtown,
Henry B. McNeal, Mount Joy Bor.,
David Reese, City.
Robert S. McIlvain, Paradise,
Maj. Charles M. Howell, City.
Emanuel Nagle, Conoy,
William Hays, Jr., Colerain,
Moses Wenger, City.
William N. Gibson, Martic.

District Attorney.
Aldus J. Neff, City.

County Treasurer.
James Bones, Manor,
John Martin, Conestoga,
John W. Clark, Marietta.
A. Z. Ringwalt, City.

County Commissioner.
Abraham Peters, Manor,
John M. Skiles, Salisbury.

Prison Inspectors.
Daniel Hartman, Lancaster Twp.,
Samuel Long, West Lampeter.

Directors of the Poor.
Albert G. Killian, City,
Lewis Eckert, Paradise,
Jacob Zecher, City.

County Surveyor.
Daniel Fulton, Pequea.

Auditor.
William W. Woods, Conestoga.

The Convention then adjourned until 2 o'clock, P. M.

AFTERNOON SESSION.

The Convention re-convened at 2 o'clock, and was called to order by the President.

WILLIAM T. MCPHAIL, Esq., Chairman, reported the following from the Committee on Resolutions:

WHEREAS, The Democracy of Lancaster County in convention assembled for the purpose of placing in nomination a ticket to be supported at the ensuing election, and to express their opinions in relation to the course to be pursued in the future, setting forth what they conceive to be the true policy of our government, and reiterating those time-honored principles promulgated by THOMAS JEFFERSON, the founder of the Democratic party: therefore,

Resolved, That we reiterate the principles contained in the Cincinnati Platform, and that we recognise it as the creed of the Democratic party.

Resolved, That the Democracy of the County of Lancaster entertain an unbroken confidence in the unsullied personal and political integrity, patriotism and wisdom of JAMES BUCHANAN, President of the United States. That we believe it has been his cardinal and dearest object, in the administration of the Executive Department of the Government, to execute the Constitution and the laws of Congress made in pursuance thereof, without fear, favor or affection to any section, State or individual. That in thus endeavoring to execute the laws of the country, with an earnest effort to preserve unimpaired the union of the States, we believe that he has accomplished his whole duty to the best of his ability.

Resolved, That the position taken by the Administration in relation to the question of expatriation, and as enunciated in the opinion of Attorney General BLACK in the instructions to our Minister at Berlin, meets with our hearty approval.

Resolved, That the acquisition of the Island of Cuba, by peaceful negotiation, would be of incalculable benefit to the United States, by increasing the resources of our national wealth, and making our seaboard more secure in case of war with other nations, and also advance in an eminent degree the cause of humanity by its tendency to check the progress of the Slave trade.

Resolved, That the Democracy of Lancaster county now, as heretofore, advocate adequate and discriminating protection to the industrial interests of our State, and also prefer specific to advalorem duties on Iron and Coal, for the reasons so ably set forth in the President's last annual message.

Resolved, That the recent outrage perpetrated by the Republicans of Massachusetts, in depriving adopted citizens of the right of suffrage for the period of two years after they become naturalized, and at the same time conferring the privilege on runaway slaves after one year's residence in the State, merits the condemnation of every Democrat; and that the silence manifested by the Republicans of this State, in regard to the outrage, clearly demonstrates that if they had the power to enact such restrictions it would receive their hearty support.

Resolved, That RICHARDSON L. WRIGHT and JOHN ROWE, our candidates for Auditor and Surveyor General, are eminently deserving of the energetic and zealous support of every true and faithful Democrat.

WHEREAS, We have been highly gratified at the numerous and favorable notices taken of our esteemed fellow citizen, Hon. GEORGE SANDERSON, in connection with the Gubernatorial nomination; and, whereas, the Democracy of Lancaster County fully appreciate his valuable services, his sound and conservative Democracy, and deeming him eminently fitted by education and experience to fill with honor to himself and credit to the Commonwealth the Chair of State, therefore be it

Resolved, That the name of Hon. GEORGE SANDERSON is hereby recommended to the consideration of the Democracy of the State for the nomination of Governor, and that we respectfully ask their hearty co-operation to secure his nomination by the next Democratic State Convention.

Immediately after the reading of the above resolutions GEO. M. KLINE, Esq., rose and offered the following as a substitute:

Resolved, That the Democracy of Lancaster County recognise and adopt the principles contained in the organic laws establishing the Territories of Kansas and Nebraska, as embodying the only sound and safe solution of the slavery question, upon which the great national idea of the people of this whole country can repose in its determined conservatism of the Union—non-interference by Congress with slavery in State and Territory, or in the District of Columbia.

Resolved, That the agitation on the question of domestic slavery has too long distracted and divided the people of this Union, and alienated their affections from each other. But, we may safely anticipate that it is rapidly approaching a finality. The legislation of Congress respecting domestic slavery, derived, as it has been, from the original and pure fountain of legitimate political power, the will of the majority, promises ere long to allay the dangerous excitement. That this legislation is founded upon principles as ancient as free government itself, and in accordance with them has simply declared that the people of a Territory, like those of a State, shall decide for themselves whether slavery shall or shall not exist within their limits.

Resolved, That the Democratic party of Lancaster County hereby reiterate their approval of the Cincinnati Platform of 1856, the letter of acceptance of President BUCHANAN, as well as his inaugural Address, and cordially endorse the National and State Administrations, having full confidence in the integrity and ability of our worthy Chief Magistrates.

Resolved, That the recommendation abroad and at home of our worthy townsman, the Hon. GEO. SANDERSON, as the Democratic candidate for Governor, meets with our hearty approbation. An undivided life-time of unrequited service in the Democratic ranks commends him most strongly to the favorable consideration of the Democracy of the State.

Resolved, That the State and County Ticket shall receive our hearty support.

Mr. KLINE supported the passage of his resolutions in a few very able remarks, and was replied to with equal force by Mr. MCPHAIL.

A discussion ensued which lasted for about an hour.—The adoption of the resolutions of the Committee was advocated by Mr. MCPHAIL Dr. A. S. BARE, H. M. NORTH, Esq., and BENJAMIN G. HERR, Esq. The adoption of Mr. KLINE'S resolutions was advocated by Mr. KLINE, Dr. SAMUEL PARKER and P. MARTIN HEITLER, Esq.

A motion was made by Dr. A. S. BARE that the resolutions of Mr. KLINE be laid upon the table. The motion prevailed by the following vote:

YEAS—Bart, Colerain, Columbia—North Ward, South Ward—Conestoga, Conoy Donegal East, Donegal West, Drumore, Earl, Earl East, Earl West, Elizabeth, Elizabethtown, Eden, Fulton, Hempfield West City—N. W. Ward—Lancaster Twp., Lampeter East, Lampeter West, Leacock, Leacock Upper, Manheim Bor., Manor, Martic, Marietta, Paradise, Strasburg Bor., Strasburg Twp., Washington—31

NAYS—Hempfield East, City—N. E. Ward, S. W. Ward, S. E. Ward—Manheim Twp., Mount Joy Bor., Penn, Providence, Salsbury, Salisbury, Warwick—11.

The Committee's resolutions were then taken up *seriatim*, and on the reading of the first Dr. PARKER offered an amendment. [Dr. P.'s resolution was not sent to the Secretaries' table, and not having the same in our possession, we are therefore unable to publish it. It was similar in substance however, we think, to Mr. KLINE'S third resolution.] The amendment was tabled by a vote of 28 to 11.

The resolutions of the Committee were then unanimously adopted.

The Convention then proceeded to ballot for candidates for the various offices:

MEMBERS OF ASSEMBLY.

The President announced the first balloting in order to be the nomination of four candidates for Assembly. Before proceeding to ballot the names of Maj. Charles M. Howell and Emanuel Nagle were withdrawn. The following was the result:

Benjamin Herr	had	25	votes.
William Pickel	"	15	"
Jacob H. Bletz	"	13	"
Henry B. McNeal	"	10	"
David Reese	"	26	"
Robert S. McIlvain	"	25	"
William Hays, Jr.,	"	18	"
Moses Wenger	"	1	"
William N. Gibson	"	14	"

The President announced that 23 votes were necessary to a choice, and DAVID REESE, BENJAMIN HERR and ROBERT S. MCILVAIN having received a majority were declared three of the nominees for Assembly. A motion was made by GEO. M. KLINE, Esq., that WILLIAM HAYS, Jr., having the next highest number of votes, be declared the fourth nominee, which was unanimously agreed to.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

ALDUS J. NEFF, Esq., was declared by acclamation the nominee for District Attorney.

COUNTY TREASURER.

The Convention proceeded to ballot for a candidate for County Treasurer: [The names of James Bones and A. Z. Ringwalt were withdrawn.]

John Martin	had	6	votes.
John W. Clark	"	28	"

JOHN W. CLARK, Esq., was declared the nominee.

COUNTY COMMISSIONER.

The name of John M. Skiles was withdrawn, and ABRAHAM PETERS was declared the nominee by acclamation for County Commissioner.

PRISON INSPECTORS.

Messrs. DANIEL HARTMAN and SAMUEL LONG were declared the nominees for Prison Inspectors by acclamation.

DIRECTORS OF THE POOR.

Messrs. ALBERT G. KILLIAN, LEWIS ECKERT and JACOB ZECHER were declared by acclamation the nominees for Directors of the Poor.

COUNTY SURVEYOR.

DANIEL FULTON, Esq., was declared by acclamation the candidate for County Surveyor.

AUDITOR.

WILLIAM W. WOODS was declared by acclamation the nominee for Auditor.

The following resolution was then offered by WM. T. MCPHAIL, Esq., and unanimously adopted:

Resolved, That the ticket settled this day commends itself to the support of the Democracy of the County.

The following resolutions were unanimously adopted:

Resolved, That if any vacancies occur in the ticket this day settled, by declination or otherwise, the same shall be supplied by the County Committee.

Resolved, That the thanks of this Convention are tendered its officers for the manner in which they have discharged their duties.

There being no further business, the President declared the Convention adjourned *sine die*.

COMPLIMENTARY.—The Columbia Spy in publishing the Democratic County Ticket thus speaks of two of the candidates on it:

It will be seen that as usual Columbia has rival candidates in the field for Assembly. We have already thrown up our hat for our friend A. S. Green, but nevertheless we wish Mr. Herr all the luck possible against any other candidate on the People's ticket. He is a good citizen and would make a good representative. We should not at all object to having two Columbians at Harrisburg this winter, even though they should be of opposing political faith.

FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY.—We do not often indulge in political preferences—never in our paper. We have our personal friends, however, and among them are happy to number Aldus J. Neff, Esq., of Lancaster. This gentleman has just received the Democratic nomination for District Attorney, and as creditable a bit of acclamation it was as anything the party has lately got off. We fear our friend is in the wrong boat to succeed, but he may certainly justly claim the merit that deserves success. He is our candidate; we hoist his name beside A. S. Green's, and will give him our vote were he ten times a Buchanan Democrat (which we hope he is'nt.)

EQUINOCTIAL.—To morrow the "Sun crosses the Line," which always brings a storm, so "they say." This year, however, the "storm" came a little ahead of time. On Friday morning last it commenced raining gently, and so continued through the day; but after night-fall, and until early dawn on Saturday morning, the rain came down in torrents, accompanied with a regular "blow." During Saturday morning the wind prostrated quite a number of shade trees through the city, and otherwise behaved in a very unruly and boisterous manner throughout the day. On Sunday morning, however, all was calm again, and we have been favored with delightful weather ever since, thus verifying the truth of the old saying that "after a storm there is always a calm."

TALL CORN STALK.—Our good old Democratic friend, Mr. JOHN BRANNON, residing in West German street, this city, exhibited in our sanctum yesterday morning a stalk of corn measuring 13 feet 7 inches in length, and 8 feet 4 inches to the ear. This stalk was grown in Mr. Brannon's garden, and he informs us that he had "more of the same sort," and one or two even longer. It is about the tallest specimen of a corn-stalk we have ever seen.

COLUMBA AND READING RAILROAD.—A meeting for the purpose of securing an expression in reference to the contemplated Columbia and Reading Railroad was held by the citizens of Mount Joy borough, at the public house of Mr. Sherbahn, on Wednesday evening, the 14th inst. The meeting was organized by calling Dr. Andrew Gerber to the Chair, and appointing Mr. F. H. Stauffer Secretary. After some preliminaries, and remarks favorable to the project by Jacob Nissley, Esq., J. R. Hoffer, Messrs. Gerber, Stauffer, Brady and others, the following gentlemen were appointed a committee to confer with the commissioners and surveyor in reference to the project—B. M. Greider, Henry Sherbahn, John Patterson, William Brady, J. R. Hoffer, J. E. Greybill and J. Hoffman Hershey.

Upon motion, the committee were instructed to confer with said parties at the earliest opportunity, prepared to offer a liberal subscription in consideration of the railroad being made to intercept Mount Joy borough. Upon motion, it was agreed that the proceedings of the meeting be published in all the papers in the city and county. Upon motion adjourned, to meet again in town meeting at a call issued by said committee.

INFORMATION WANTED.—Dr. Hitchcock, of Waterville, Minnesota, writes to H. B. Swarr, Esq., Postmaster of this city, stating that a man named John Fields, who represented himself as being from Lancaster, and formerly resided there, but had left, and was probably dead. Fields owned between three and four hundred acres of land located there. He told Dr. Hitchcock that he had a son residing in this county.

HELD TO BAIL.—Rommel, who killed Dochty at Millersville on Saturday night week, was brought before Judge Hayes on a writ of habeas corpus on Tuesday last, and held to bail in the sum of $2,000 for his appearance at the November Court.

McFillan, who gave young Henderson a blow on the head with a stone at a Pic Nic at the Compass, in Chester county, a few weeks since, which caused his death, has been held to bail in the sum of $5,000. Efforts are being made to have this case tried at our Court, Henderson having died at his home in this county.

CLAIMS.—The State Treas