

DEMOCRATIC NOMINATIONS.

FOR GOVERNOR: WILLIAM BIGLER, of Clearfield County.

JUDGE OF SUPREME COURT: JEREMIAH S. BLAIR, of Somerset County.

FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER: HENRY S. MOTT, of Pike County.

CONGRESS.

JOSEPH S. LEFEVER, Paradise, SENATOR.

NATHAN WORLEY, Manheim, SENATOR.

WILLIAM STEVER, Lebanon county, SENATOR.

ASSEMBLY.

HUGH M. NORTH, Columbia, CYRUS S. HALDEMAN, Conoy, ROBERT MONTGOMERY, Bart, JACOB L. GROSS, Ephrata, WM. K. LEONARD, City.

SHERIFF.

MARK CONNELL, Jr., Upper Leacock.

PROTHONOTARY.

B. FRANKLIN HOLL, Leacock.

REGISTER.

JAMES H. HOUSTON, Salisbury.

RECORDER.

Dr. LEVI HULL, Warwick.

CLERK ORPHANS' COURT.

CHARLES M. HOWELL, City.

CLERK QUARTER SESSIONS.

JOHN C. MARTIN, West Earl.

COUNTY COMMISSIONER.

JOHN W. CLARK, Marietta.

DIRECTORS OF THE POOR.

Dr. S. WHEAT, West Coaling, DAVID M. EBELLY, Penn.

PRISON INSPECTORS.

WILLIAM WHITE, City, GEORGE W. BOYER, Elisabethtown.

AUDITOR.

SAMUEL E. KELLER, Warwick.

CORONER.

CLARK PHILLIPS, Drumore.

ASSOCIATE-LAW JUDGE.

NEWTON LIGHTNER, City.

ELECTION DAY—TUESDAY, October 10.

THE DEMOCRATIC TICKETS ARE NOW PRINTED AND READY FOR DISTRIBUTION TO THOSE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE THEM.

The various Township and Borough Committees are requested to call, as possible, with H. B. SWARE, Esq., Chairman of the County Committee, and obtain a supply.

A Free and Great People.

OUR FLAG IS THERE!

A free and great country can be governed only by a free and great party. Whatever may be the future destiny of the United States, its freedom and grandeur are inseparable from the Democratic party. Whenever danger threatens the Union—whether it be from the Constitution and Laws, or the open hostility of another Nation—it is to the rank and file of the Democracy, and to the wisdom and conduct of its statesmen, that the wisdom and protection of the integrity and independence of the Nation are intrusted.

In every emergency that has yet arisen, the country has not been disappointed in her expectations.

At the present time there is an organized political party, not working in the face of day and in the full gaze of the people, as an American party should work—but hatching their conspiracies in secret meetings, and preparing for a sudden assault on the rights of conscience.

JOHN W. CLARK, of Marietta, and one of the most intelligent business men of that Borough, is the candidate for County Commissioner—This is an important office to the tax-payers, and it will be promoting their own interest and just a step to extravagance in the financial affairs of the county, by electing the Democratic candidate.

The Democratic candidates for Directors of the Poor, Prison Inspectors, Auditor and Coroner, Messrs. WHEAT, EBELLY, WHITE, BOYER, KELLER and PHILLIPS, are all men of excellent character and ability, and well qualified to fill the various offices assigned them, and to support the Democratic party.

NATHAN WORLEY, Esq., is the Democratic candidate for Associate Law Judge. He is a gentleman of undoubted legal abilities, of high moral character and acknowledged integrity. Should he be elected, the community may rest satisfied in the honesty and impartiality of his decisions; and that he would fill the responsible position with credit to himself, and to the advantage of the public, we have not a doubt.

Such, fellow citizens of Lancaster county, are the ticket presented to you for your support—such are the candidates—all men of worth and ability. It now remains for you, one and all, to lay your shoulders to the wheel, and keep the ball moving. Let there be "a long pull, a strong pull, and a pull together."

Let us, as a whole, be united, and nothing but the ticket will be forgotten to cast your order to accomplish this object? Clearly not to throw their votes away on a third candidate. Each vote will take one from HESTER; but if, in addition to taking the vote from him, it is cast for LEFEVER, it would be equivalent to two in the way of defeating him.

If the disaffected Whigs, therefore, are sincere in their opposition to HESTER, they can have no hesitation as to the true course of policy they should pursue.

The Examiner, on the strength of what it calls "common rumor," says that eight of the candidates on the Democratic ticket are Know-Nothing—and mentions the names of Messrs. NORTH, HALDEMAN and GROSS as among the number. The Examiner knows better—and it is well aware that the "rumor" upon which it bases its charge, is a downright and positive falsehood, manufactured from the whole cloth, for the purpose of saying a portion of their ticket from anticipated defeat.

The Editor is well aware that, unless something is done, by fair means or foul, to injure those three gentlemen, they stand a very fair chance of being elected to the Legislature. This is the truest sense of the word, and we apprehend the "rumor" referred to had its origin and propagation in the office of the Examiner, and nowhere else. By the way, why did not the Editor give the names of the remaining five? We should like to know.

Perhaps one reason why the Examiner starts an unfounded story against eight of the nominees on the Democratic ticket, is to divert public attention from the Whig candidates—several of whom, including the nominee for Governor, are known to be connected with Know-Nothingism, and were so known to be at the time they were placed upon the ticket.

Indeed, if we are to believe common rumor, all but two of these exceptions, say out and out Know-Nothing in every sense of the term, and one of them at least is said to be scarcely able to write his own name!

The General Election will take place this day two weeks, the 10th of October. Are you all ready, DEMOCRATS? If not, organize in your several districts without delay, as there is not a moment to lose. We hope the Township, Ward and Borough Committees will attend to their duties, at once. The two intervening weeks should be almost exclusively devoted to the good work. After the election is over it will be time enough to think of rest.

Our Ticket.

JOSEPH S. LEFEVER, the Democratic candidate for Congress, is a highly intelligent farmer of Paradise township—descended from a good and true stock; he is a gentleman of great personal popularity and undoubted integrity—a veteran Democrat, who will do honor to his constituents in Congress or anywhere else.

NATHAN WORLEY, our candidate for Senator, is well known in the northern section of the county as one of our most active and enterprising merchants—a gentleman more than ordinary intelligence and refinement, and with a true, zealous and thorough-going Democrat. His colleague, WILLIAM STEVER, Esq., of Lebanon county, is represented to us as being an old and true-hearted Democrat, of excellent moral character, a gentleman of intelligence and worth, and altogether deserving the confidence of every Democratic voter in the District.

For Assembly, we have HUGH M. NORTH, of Columbia, (a Lawyer of ability, and a most estimable citizen in every respect.) CYRUS S. HALDEMAN, of Conoy, (whose antecedents are all in his favor, and who is well known to be an intelligent and active member.) ROBERT MONTGOMERY, of Bart, (a young farmer of undoubted ability, whose general intelligence and political integrity give him a strong hold on his neighbors and acquaintances of every political faith.) Gen. JACOB L. GROSS, of Ephrata, (whose great personal popularity and services to the party are well known and appreciated, and who would make a capital representative.) and Capt. WILLIAM K. LEONARD, of this City, who is an active, energetic, trust-worthy, intelligent, working Democrat, and well qualified for the station for which he is selected.

For Sheriff, MARK CONNELL, Jr., has been selected. He, too, is from an old Democratic stock—being the worthy son of the veteran Moore Connell, Esq., of Leacock, who has been so well known to the people of this County for nearly half a century. The son is an active and enterprising farmer, with rare business qualifications which would make him an excellent Sheriff.

Our candidate for Prothonotary is B. FRANKLIN HOLL, of Leacock township—a young gentleman of excellent moral character, of easy and agreeable manners, and one of the best penmen and accountants in the county. He would fill the office with great credit to himself and to the entire satisfaction of the public.

For Register, we have that old, staunch, intelligent and reliable Democrat, JAMES H. HOUSTON, of Salisbury, so long and so favorably known to the people of Lancaster county as to render any further remarks at this time entirely superfluous.

Dr. LEVI HULL, of Warwick, is the candidate for Recorder. It is scarcely necessary for us to say a word in reference to his character and qualifications—as almost every body in the county knows him, if not personally, by reputation, to be one of our most active, useful and enterprising citizens, and well qualified for the office.

For Clerk of the Orphans' Court, the Democracy of the County have presented to them, CHARLES M. HOWELL, of this City, than whom a more competent man to fill the office does not exist anywhere. Mr. H. is one of our most industrious and enterprising Mechanics, and is withal a thorough-going, reliable Democrat.

JOHN C. MARTIN, of West Earl, is the candidate for Clerk of the Quarter Sessions. Mr. M. has been engaged for a number of years, in the highly honorable and useful profession of a School Teacher. He is a man of excellent character, a Democrat of the true grit, and well qualified, in every respect, to fill the office.

JOHN W. CLARK, of Marietta, and one of the most intelligent business men of that Borough, is the candidate for County Commissioner—This is an important office to the tax-payers, and it will be promoting their own interest and just a step to extravagance in the financial affairs of the county, by electing the Democratic candidate.

The Democratic candidates for Directors of the Poor, Prison Inspectors, Auditor and Coroner, Messrs. WHEAT, EBELLY, WHITE, BOYER, KELLER and PHILLIPS, are all men of excellent character and ability, and well qualified to fill the various offices assigned them, and to support the Democratic party.

NATHAN WORLEY, Esq., is the Democratic candidate for Associate Law Judge. He is a gentleman of undoubted legal abilities, of high moral character and acknowledged integrity. Should he be elected, the community may rest satisfied in the honesty and impartiality of his decisions; and that he would fill the responsible position with credit to himself, and to the advantage of the public, we have not a doubt.

Such, fellow citizens of Lancaster county, are the ticket presented to you for your support—such are the candidates—all men of worth and ability. It now remains for you, one and all, to lay your shoulders to the wheel, and keep the ball moving. Let there be "a long pull, a strong pull, and a pull together."

Let us, as a whole, be united, and nothing but the ticket will be forgotten to cast your order to accomplish this object? Clearly not to throw their votes away on a third candidate. Each vote will take one from HESTER; but if, in addition to taking the vote from him, it is cast for LEFEVER, it would be equivalent to two in the way of defeating him.

If the disaffected Whigs, therefore, are sincere in their opposition to HESTER, they can have no hesitation as to the true course of policy they should pursue.

The Examiner, on the strength of what it calls "common rumor," says that eight of the candidates on the Democratic ticket are Know-Nothing—and mentions the names of Messrs. NORTH, HALDEMAN and GROSS as among the number. The Examiner knows better—and it is well aware that the "rumor" upon which it bases its charge, is a downright and positive falsehood, manufactured from the whole cloth, for the purpose of saying a portion of their ticket from anticipated defeat.

The Editor is well aware that, unless something is done, by fair means or foul, to injure those three gentlemen, they stand a very fair chance of being elected to the Legislature. This is the truest sense of the word, and we apprehend the "rumor" referred to had its origin and propagation in the office of the Examiner, and nowhere else. By the way, why did not the Editor give the names of the remaining five? We should like to know.

Perhaps one reason why the Examiner starts an unfounded story against eight of the nominees on the Democratic ticket, is to divert public attention from the Whig candidates—several of whom, including the nominee for Governor, are known to be connected with Know-Nothingism, and were so known to be at the time they were placed upon the ticket.

Indeed, if we are to believe common rumor, all but two of these exceptions, say out and out Know-Nothing in every sense of the term, and one of them at least is said to be scarcely able to write his own name!

The General Election will take place this day two weeks, the 10th of October. Are you all ready, DEMOCRATS? If not, organize in your several districts without delay, as there is not a moment to lose. We hope the Township, Ward and Borough Committees will attend to their duties, at once. The two intervening weeks should be almost exclusively devoted to the good work. After the election is over it will be time enough to think of rest.

More Banks! More Banks!

The people of Lancaster County would do well to consider what will be the effect upon the currency of the State from the result of the gubernatorial election. By reference to the Harrisburg papers, it will be found that there will be applications to the next Legislature for a new Bank, with an aggregate capital of \$8,000,000.

9 new Saving and Deposit Banks, with a capital of \$95,000.

7 old Banks, with an increase of capital to the amount of \$1,300,000.

10 old ditto, asking the recharter of capital to the amount of \$4,643,960.

Making a grand total of \$14,949,320.

Thus, says the Harrisburg Patriot, nearly fifteen millions of dollars of Banking capital is asking chartered privileges as extensive, as unlimited, as unrestricted, and as liberal as can be procured; and to this great extent the subject of Bank reform is clearly involved in the coming election of Governor and members of the Legislature. The question, and it is an important one, here arises: Shall action on this point be confided to the safe hands of the Democratic party, with Governor BIGLER at their head—or shall it be entrusted to JAMES POLLOCK and the opposition party, whose heart swells with liberality to Banks and who will grant a charter in every instance where one is asked, without liability, without restriction, without limitation as regards issuing, without tax, or any other wholesome regulation, but with the much coveted, and long sought, darling privilege of footing the Commonwealth with small notes.

The Banks of Pennsylvania are now generally in a prosperous condition—the currency sound and reliable, the most so of any State in the Union—and all this the result of Democratic policy. If the people of Lancaster county will vote this State of things to continue they will vote for Governor BIGLER and the Democratic candidates for the Legislature; but if they want some 40 or 50 new Banks chartered, and the community flooded with an immense quantity of worthless paper trash, they will vote for JAMES POLLOCK and the Whig candidates for the Legislature. Choose your wisely.

So, after all it appears that there was some truth in the allegation made by the Independent Whig, that Mr. COMLY, whose name is placed on the Whig ticket as a candidate for Associate Law Judge of this county, has a defect in his hearing.

Let us publish a letter signed by Judge COMLY, in relation to the charge, to the effect that he is not deaf, and that he will support the Democratic ticket, and that he will support the Democratic ticket, and that he will support the Democratic ticket.

Several members of the Dauphin Bar also write to the chairman, Mr. ELLMCKER, as follows:

Perhaps the best answer to this report is the fact that Mr. COMLY is at this time in full practice, in his profession, in this county, as well as in the Counties of Columbia and Northumberland; attends every case in these counties; and is concerned in nearly every important cause in each; and that in the trial of a cause at the bar, he is never known to be in any inconvenience or embarrassment, by reason of any defect in his hearing, or other physical infirmity whatever.

The report, so long originated in the fact that some two years since, Mr. COMLY was affected with a disease in the ears which for the time affected his hearing to a very great extent; and at one term of court he was unable to attend to business, but upon his recovery from the disease, his hearing was restored, and is now as good as it was for several years before that affliction; during all of which time he has attended to business to the practice of his profession.

From all of which we infer that there is more or less of truth in the story—and that Mr. COMLY has a defect in his hearing at least "on one side of the head," as stated by Judge CONYNGHAM. This is the gentleman's misfortune; but when it is so unexceptionable a candidate as Mr. LEFEVER on the Democratic ticket, one of our own citizens, who is sound physically, intellectually and morally, we see no good reason why the voters of Lancaster county, of all parties, should not prefer him to a stranger, who, whatever may be his other qualifications for the post, certainly labors under a physical defect which must less or more, injure his usefulness on the Bench.

Mr. JOHN F. HERR, of Strasburg township, one of the Whig candidates for Assembly, it appears is playing a game of "fast and loose" on the Temperance question. To Temperance men he professes to be warmly in favor of a Prohibitory Law, whilst to the opponents of that measure he expresses decided hostility to it. Such is the report, at least with regard to the sinuous course of this gentleman. He ought to be one thing or the other—"fish, flesh or salt herring." There should be no winking in and winking out. If he takes a position on the question at all, he should do it openly and boldly, without any dodging or evasion whatever. It will not do to denounce the Prohibitory Law in Taverns, when treating his friends on the Democratic ticket, and then afterwards by Temperance men, that it was all gannon, and done for the purpose of throwing dust in the eyes of their opponents. This kind of duplicity will hardly satisfy the honest voters of the County.

The Examiner of Herald is fearful that "Democratic Know-Nothingism" will support the Democratic State and County Ticket. This shows conclusively what the Whig managers of this County have been all along driving at. They fostered and encouraged the Know Nothing movement, expecting that a sufficient number of Democrats would be seduced from their allegiance to their party and drawn into the meshes of Whiggery, so as to secure the election of Pollock the Federal Whig candidate for Governor. After the election, Mr. Pollock and his Whig friends would then turn the clock back, and let them see that Democratic men are not so easily caught as these gentlemen imagine. Some of them, doubtless, mean the Know Nothing Lodges through sheer curiosity; but that tendency of this secret political organization was, at once severed the connection, and will be found fighting side by side with their old friends on the day of election. This is what troubles the Examiner and the bery of Whig politicians who congregate at that office.

Our amiable little friend and neighbor, who is "out for no office in the gift of the people of Lancaster county"—not he, is exclaiming withly and sarcastic. We hope he has not injured his fragile constitution in any way by this extraordinary effort, as the loss of so distinguished a lawyer [?] would be seriously felt by the Bar of Lancaster. We hope he may be speedily restored to his wonted health and strength. We would not hurt a hair of his head—especially as "the game would not be worth the candle."

The people of Lancaster County have now three tickets in the field claiming their support, viz: the Democratic, the Whig, and the Independent, alias Know Nothing ticket. It will be for the voters to choose between them. For our own part, we have no hesitation in saying that the Democratic candidates, in every respect, are superior to their opponents on either of the other tickets—and because they are so, they should command not only the votes of the honest, but also the votes of the Know Nothing party, who are in the habit of voting for the Democratic ticket.

Bishop WAINWRIGHT, Provisional Bishop of the Episcopal Church in the Diocese of New York died on Thursday last, in the 62d year of his age.

The Pledges—All Right!

Last week we published the letters of Messrs. Gross and LEONARD, two of the nominees on the Democratic ticket—and this week we insert those received from all the other candidates. It will be seen that, like the former, these are all satisfactory, and the pledges, from top to bottom, stand fairly and squarely upon the Democratic platform. We invite a careful perusal of the letters:

PARADISE, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your letter of the 18th inst., informing me of your nomination for the Democratic ticket, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket, and to support the Democratic ticket.

LEACOCK, Sept. 22, 1854. Dear Sir—Your communication regarding me, was duly received. I accept the nomination, and in doing so, I pledge myself to support the Democratic ticket, and