

DEMOCRATIC NOMINATIONS.

FOR GOVERNOR: COL. WILLIAM BIGLER, OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY. FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER: GEN. SETH CLOVER, OF CLARION COUNTY. FOR JUDGES OF THE SUPREME COURT: JEREMIAH S. BLACK, Somerset. JAMES CAMPBELL, Philadelphia. ELLIS LEWIS, Lancaster. JOHN B. GIBSON, Cumberland. WALTER H. LOWRIE, Allegheny.

A Proposition.

Any person forwarding the names of five good men subscribers to the Intelligencer, shall have a copy of the paper for one year, gratis; or if he be already on our list, receive credit for one year's subscription.

By the next postage law, it will be seen that from and after the 1st of July, papers circulated through the mails, free of postage, to subscribers within the county in which they are published.

Money Wanted.

Those of our subscribers and advertising friends who know themselves in arrears, would confer a great favor by settling their respective accounts as early as practicable. We need money very much at the present writing, and we would not ask it. The city subscribers will be waited upon personally, and our friends at a distance will be kind enough to remit by mail at our risk.

County Committee Meeting.

The Democratic County Committee of Lancaster county, are requested to meet at the public house of William J. Steele, in the City of Lancaster, on Saturday the 2d day of August, at 1 o'clock, P. M., on business connected with the approaching general election.

A general attendance of the members is requested. NEWTON LIGHTNER, Chairman. The following gentlemen constitute the Committee: James Harris, Esq., City. Bart—William Miller. Brecknock—Ephraim Shover. Curran—Thomas Edwards. E. Coakley—Col. Andrew Ream. W. Coakley—Col. Jesse Reinhold. Colman—Dr. George D. Dare. Columbia—Peter A. Kimbrough, S. F. Lockard. Conestoga—Adam Kendig. Conoy—Jacob B. Hamilton. D. Donaghy—Henry Housholder. W. Donaghy—Jacob K. Keller, Jr. Drummer—John Hastings. Earl—Wendell Hill. E. Earl—John P. Farley. Elizabeth—John Elzer. Elizabetha—A. Redecker. Ephraim—Jacob L. Grosser. Fenton—James Harris. G. Hemphill—Henry Imhoff, Sr. H. Hemphill—Jacob Gamber. I. Lantier—John R. Miller. Lancaster City, E. Ward—Peter Garber, James L. Reynolds, James Barnes, John L. Keffer. Lancaster City, W. Ward—Dr. Geo. B. Kerfoot, Geo. M. Steinman, Henry C. Wentz, Col. Wm. S. Anawg. Lancaster Twp.—Charles Nauman. Little Britain—Dr. James P. Andrews. Locusts—Isaac E. Foy. Upper Locusts—Dr. J. C. Weider. Muncie Twp.—Henry E. Leman. Manor—Abraham Peters. Martintown—Andrew A. Kender. Martintown—David Laird. Mountjoy—James Laird. Penn—Henry Hambricht. Paradise—George D. McIlvaine. Rapho—Joseph Masterson. Sadsbury—Robert Stee. Salisbury—Thomas W. Henderson. Strasburg Boro—James McPhail. Strasburg Twp.—Jacob Neff. Warwick—Peter Fielles. Washington Boro—John A. Brush.

The Chambersburg Valley Spirit will look in vain, we think, for a satisfactory disclaimer of Mr. Hiesters' remarks, by the Lancasterian. The whole reply is nothing more or less than a silly attempt to charge the friends of Col. Bigler, in this county, with having originated the story. Such ridiculous stuff is unworthy of notice. If the allegation of Mr. H. is false, why did not that paper contradict it, in a plain and unmistakable manner? But, instead of doing so, it virtually admits, by its silence in reference to the strong point in the case—the charge made against certain gentlemen of a determination to oppose the election of Col. Bigler—the truth of the remarks made by Mr. H. at the Chambersburg meeting. At least this is the way it strikes our mind—and the course of that paper, taken in connexion with the fact that certain gentlemen in this city, who are considered active leaders of the anti-Bigler faction, are loud mouthed in their denunciation of the Democratic ticket, has satisfied us that, so far from supporting the ticket, they will do every thing they can to defeat it. That they can lead off the honest portion of the Democrats, who have heretofore acted with them, we do not believe—still less are we apprehensive that they can do any serious injury to the ticket, but that they will make the attempt is reduced to a certainty.

Mr. ANAWG'S Committee met at Steele's Hotel, on Saturday last. They sat with closed doors. What the nature of the business they transacted we cannot tell, as nothing has leaked out by which we can judge. We suppose the Lancasterian of to-morrow will tell the public into the secret.

We should like to know where the Philadel. phia Statesman got its information about the politics of NEWTON LIGHTNER, Esq. Is it a mere coincidence of the editor's brain, or is it a fabrication of some guerrilla in this county? Whenever that sheet clears its own skirts of nativism and disorganization, it will be time enough for it to take in hand the Chairman of the Democratic Committee of Lancaster county.

MR. WISE'S ASCENSION.—The ascension of Mr. Wise in his Mammoth Balloon, from Philadelphia, on yesterday a week, is represented as having been a very beautiful and successful one. He rose to a great height, passed over the Delaware, and landed some six miles north-east of the city. He was accompanied by Mrs. Wise and two or three other passengers.

It was his intention to have made another aerial excursion on Friday last, from the same place, but during the process of inflation the Balloon burst at the top and bottom, from the chafing produced by the high wind. Mr. Wise made an explanation, the money was returned, and the crowd slowly dispersed.

"HARRISBURG DEMOCRAT"—This is the title of a German Democratic newspaper just started at Harrisburg, by J. S. Royal & Co. The publishers are strongly recommended to public favor by a number of the leading Democrats of that Borough, and we have no doubt the paper will be a useful auxiliary in furthering the Democratic cause. The paper is of the Imperial size, published weekly, and furnished at the rate of \$1 per annum, payable in advance.

THE INVESTIGATOR.—The first number of a new English Democratic campaign paper, with the above title, has been issued at Harrisburg, by R. H. Adams. We have no doubt the paper will do good service during the contest. It is to be published semi-weekly during the campaign, at the following rates:

1 copy, \$ 50
5 copies, 2 00
10 " 4 00
15 " 6 00
20 " 8 00
25 " 10 00
100 " 26 00

The Hagerstown Republican has raised Mr. BUCHANAN'S name to its mast head.

Col. Bigler and Whig Opposition.

When Col. Bigler was nominated, he was nominated by acclamation, an evidence that he had the united support of the Democratic party. The choice of the Convention was every way approved. The Whig and Neutral press have joined in a tribute to his worth and virtues. It was a just and spontaneous acknowledgment of his merits. Had the election taken place then, he would have been returned by an overwhelming majority. Since that time an edit has gone forth from Dictator Johnson, rebuking the faithful Whigs for this injudicious praise, and requiring them to exhaust their commendations upon the Whig candidate for Governor, and to abuse Col. Bigler to the utmost.—Has Col. Bigler changed since the nomination?—Has he done any private or public act to forfeit the esteem and good-will of the people? Not at all. He has conducted himself as an honorable opponent, while his adversary has been hunting among State papers that are under his control, and publishing or causing to be published as genuine, fair or imperfect records of Col. Bigler's public acts. Is this an honorable opposition? Is this the opposition hoped for by the Whig press when it was announced that a reputable man like Col. Bigler, free from taint or reproach, had been nominated by the Democratic Convention? Is this the fair and candid discussion of the respective claims of the rival candidates, predicated solely upon their qualifications and competency? No. Such a course of opposition will not answer. Party feeling and rancor must be aroused. Slander and detraction must be resorted to; for the motto is, in "politics as in war, all is fair." Here then, is an end to the candid and honorable opposition, hoped for (but hoped for in vain) by some of the Whig press. In this position of affairs, we appeal to the people.

We place before them an honest, industrious and intelligent candidate—a self-made man—the architect of his own fortune and fame—an instance of the benign influence of our free institutions. He has risen by dint of his own exertions—the hardy growth of our own State, with no hot bed influence to bring him forward before his time.

You, that are republicans, and talk of that equality which elevates, support him, urge his claims present him to your friends and urge them to support him, and see that he is elected.

In this republican country, we all commune life upon the same footing. Power is no more hereditary than virtue. We spring from a common race. The path to noble fame is open to all. See what an example you place before your children in the election of Col. Bigler! What an incentive you offer to youthful virtue and honorable ambition! The ratismen of the Susquehanna—the printer's boy—serving the State in the Legislature, and finally called upon to preside over the destinies of a great commonwealth.—Harrisburg Union.

Gov. Johnston's Sinking Fund.

Gov. Johnston and his friends are endeavoring to make a little political capital, says the Democratic Union, by their efforts to mislead the people in regard to his sinking fund. It is to be regretted, that whilst his Excellency is holding forth on the merits of "my measure," his proverbial modesty does not permit him to enlighten his hearers as to the share he took in creating the necessity for such a fund. We shall take frequent opportunities of supplying the hiatus caused by his extreme diffidence when speaking of his own merits. One or two facts will suffice for the present.

It is a conceded truth that, if no appropriations had been made to works, which if completed, would have been of no public utility, the State debt would have been restricted within such limits as to have presented the necessity of a burthenome tax upon the people. What was Gov. Johnston's course upon work of that character?

The Gettysburg railroad, "commencing in the woods, and ending no where," has cost the State near SEVEN HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS. This work was so totally useless for any State purpose that it has been entirely abandoned, and the money spent yet by Whig profligacy, lost to the Treasury. Yet Gov. Johnston's modesty will not permit him to proclaim that he was one of the most steadfast supporters of the appropriations to this scheme of plunder.

Again, Gov. Johnston was the father of the celebrated Kittanning feeder, another Whig scheme of folly and plunder. The pretence for undertaking this work was to afford a second feeder to the last thirty-five miles of canal above Pittsburg!! It commenced at Kittanning, (the place of Gov. Johnston's residence) and was to have been extended fourteen miles down the river, and to intersect the canal within six or seven miles of the highest and best feeder dam on the public works—THAT IS, THE SURPLUS WATER WAS THEN SO ABUNDANT, that it was subsequently found expedient to lease it to a gentleman who has erected a large manufacturing establishment at that point!! The estimated cost of this plundering Whig scheme, concocted and voted for by Gov. Johnston, was between \$650,000 and \$700,000. The deception was however, too glaring, and the work was abandoned after an expenditure of about FORTY THOUSAND DOLLARS.

These works were voted for, and advocated by Gov. Johnston. He thus aided in increasing the State debt and sinking THE FUNDS OF THE PEOPLE to the tune of NEAR SEVEN HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS!!!

We cite these two instances for the purpose of explaining (as his Excellency's modesty will not permit him to do so) what Gov. Johnston means when he speaks so glowingly of "MY SINKING FUND." As the razor stop man says, we have a few more of the same sort left.

Col. Bigler taking the Stump.

We learn from the Pennsylvaniaist, that a great Democratic meeting was held at Lock Haven, Clinton, co., last week, at which Col. BIGLER made a powerful speech.

The same paper is also authorized to announce that Col. BIGLER will be at the Spread Eagle, Delaware county, on Saturday next, the 2d of August; at New Bloomfield, Perry county, on the 5th; at Millburg, Union county, on the 7th of the same month—at each of which places he will address his fellow citizens.

Some of the more reckless of the Whig journals—amongst others the Union & Tribune of this city—are charging the Canal Commissioners with having furnished free tickets to the delegates to and from the State Conventions at Reading and Harrisburg. We do not believe a word of it—on the contrary, it may be set down as another round back from the prolific brain of our mendacious opponents. If any thing of the kind had been done, we presume the twelve delegates from Lancaster county, at Reading and Harrisburg, would not have been overlooked—but as they received nothing of the kind, but were compelled to pay their own fare, going and returning, we look upon the charge as false and unfounded in every particular. We challenge our opponents to the proof.—Let them at once "face the music," or make the amende honorable by admitting the falsehood.

The Washington Examiner, the organ of the Democracy of Washington county, has run up the BUCHANAN Flag to its mast-head.

A fine horse belonging to Col. Armstrong Noble, of Carlisle, was stung to death by honey bees on Saturday week.

From One Who Knows Him!

The deservedly great popularity of Judge Lewis is not confined to Lancaster county, still less is it confined exclusively to the Democratic party. Last week we gave an extract from the Haverhill Spectator, showing how he stands in York county—and below we give an article from the Union county Democrat, the German Whig organ of that region. Here it is:

JUDGES OF THE SUPREME COURT.—There are ten candidates before the people for the office of Judge of the Supreme Court. We are personally acquainted with five of them, and we have an opportunity to do justice to our old friend. He was Judge in our county when we were Sheriff in 1840. In 1841 we met with an accident, having our leg fractured at three places. We were confined for nearly a year. The times were hard then. There was a great deal of business for the Sheriff. Our Deputy had neglected and mismanaged our business so that we were in danger of losing large sums of money; our bill would have had to suffer with us. But through the goodness of Judge Lewis, we were saved, for he paid with the Plaintiffs in execution, and their Counsels, for time and space, and assisted us that we could get our business arranged. We rejoice that we have an opportunity of expressing our gratitude towards the Hon. Judge Lewis, who was our friend at that time. We needed a friend to save us and our bill. Judge Lewis was a poor boy; he worked his way to the law station he occupies in the affections of the people, by his honesty and industry. He had no money or wealthy friends to raise him in the estimation of the rich and aristocratic. He is emphatically a self-made man, and is the true genuine friend of the poor. We have given the sentiments of our heart long treasured up in our bosom, in favor of our old friend Judge Lewis. Lewis.

The Issue presented by the Whigs.

The New York Tribune, a leading Whig paper, presents the issue between the parties in Pennsylvania as follows. We are proud that such is the position of the Democratic party. Democracy is for the Union in every emergency.

The predominant issue relates to the Fugitive Slave Law. The opposition candidate, Col. Wm. Bigler, of Clearfield, stands on the ground of zealous adherence to the entire compromise policy, and the coalition with nomination passed resolutions of the most decided nature in its favor. They have explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

Hon. James Campbell.

This gentleman is charged with incompetency by the Whig press. To show that this is unfounded, we give an extract from the Haverhill Spectator, showing how he stands in York county—and below we give an article from the Union county Democrat, the German Whig organ of that region. Here it is:

JUDGES OF THE SUPREME COURT.—There are ten candidates before the people for the office of Judge of the Supreme Court. We are personally acquainted with five of them, and we have an opportunity to do justice to our old friend. He was Judge in our county when we were Sheriff in 1840. In 1841 we met with an accident, having our leg fractured at three places. We were confined for nearly a year. The times were hard then. There was a great deal of business for the Sheriff. Our Deputy had neglected and mismanaged our business so that we were in danger of losing large sums of money; our bill would have had to suffer with us. But through the goodness of Judge Lewis, we were saved, for he paid with the Plaintiffs in execution, and their Counsels, for time and space, and assisted us that we could get our business arranged. We rejoice that we have an opportunity of expressing our gratitude towards the Hon. Judge Lewis, who was our friend at that time. We needed a friend to save us and our bill. Judge Lewis was a poor boy; he worked his way to the law station he occupies in the affections of the people, by his honesty and industry. He had no money or wealthy friends to raise him in the estimation of the rich and aristocratic. He is emphatically a self-made man, and is the true genuine friend of the poor. We have given the sentiments of our heart long treasured up in our bosom, in favor of our old friend Judge Lewis. Lewis.

The Issue presented by the Whigs.

The New York Tribune, a leading Whig paper, presents the issue between the parties in Pennsylvania as follows. We are proud that such is the position of the Democratic party. Democracy is for the Union in every emergency.

The predominant issue relates to the Fugitive Slave Law. The opposition candidate, Col. Wm. Bigler, of Clearfield, stands on the ground of zealous adherence to the entire compromise policy, and the coalition with nomination passed resolutions of the most decided nature in its favor. They have explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.

The Whig convention and its candidate, Governor Johnston, stand upon ground perfectly antagonistic to this. They are out and out for freedom, and against the present mode of slave catching.—The Governor himself, at the last session, refused to sign the law which authorized the arrest and imprisonment of fugitive slaves, and he has since explicitly condemned the State law passed March 3, 1847, forbidding the use of the jails of the commonwealth to the slave catchers of the Federal Government.