THE LANCASTER DAILY INTELLIGENCER, TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1888.



We print elsewhere the very particular tement made by Blakely Hall in the few York Sun of last Sunday, detailing means used by the Delaware Republi Alticians to capture the legislature. As we read his story, it is that Chairmen super and Quay turned deaf ears to the peaks made to them for money for Delaare. Cooper doubtless because he had to spare and Quay because he was laying for bigger game than the Delaand this same story from other sources, and there seems to be no doubt that be glory or shame of the Delaware use does not rest upon Quay. it has, however, been claimed for John Wanamaker; Mr. Hall's statement saves that redoubtable politician and olf-accusing vote-buyer out in the cold in the Delaware performance. The oredit of the contributions is given to local purses. It is not important to the story who gave the money ; the fact that rests us is that money was given and used in buying votes. This is the dis-

New York, in Indiana and in Delaware, the Republican success came from the free use of money in buying the purmable vote ; called in Indiana " floatan," but not as yet recognized in the other states by a distinct appellation. There will be no dispute about such purohase in Indiana, where Dudley's letter has disclosed, that it was ordered and that the needed money was supplied for the purpose. It is conceded as to Delaware ; and in New York, the returns disclose it plainly.

The evidence is clear that the Republican effort in New York state was confined to the cutting down of the Demo-

Mari'to beat Cleveland. He offered town willing sacrifice for the purpose.

was well settled between himself and Quay that he was to be put to the best possible use to help forward the Harrison dei rote. Such political immolation is quite

sort of a fight. The evidence does not convict him of abetting the defeat of the Democratic electoral ticket; and it does not by any means show that he was ready to sacrifice himself for it. He got as many votes as Harrison ; and Cleveland got no more than Miller got for governor, save the two or three thousand that the sectoral exceeded the gubernatorial vote. Instead of Harrison and Miller and Cleveland and Hill running together, the mates were Harrison and Hill, Cleveland and Miller; which is decidedly suggestive and redoient of anything else but an honest vote.

Few will be persuaded that Cleveland Was cut so far below Hill by the tariff issue, which is shown to have had no such peculiar effect elsewhere ; especially when it is remembered that Hill would suffer a like cut with Cleveland from this cause, it being the Democratic position ; and when it is also remembared that was a Mugwump vote that Cleve-

of the American people would be carried

land got and Hill did not.

was bought from Miller's or that Harrison's was bought from Cleveland's. The former is the theory of those who place Hill's election to the credit of the liquor interest that is supposed to have solidly out Miller for Hill. But we do not see that the evidence shows the result to have been mainly achieved in this way. Hill doubtless gained Republican votes from this source, which may have compensated him for those that Cleveland gained from the Mugwump element. But it is clear to us that the Hill vote represents nearly the Democratic vote of the state and that there was a direct purchase of it to the extent to which the Democratic presidential fell below the guberna-torial vote. This is shown by the vote sidential fell below the gubernafor the local Republican ticket generally. It appears plainly in the vote of New York city. It is proved by the fact that all the state officers ran up with and even ahead of Hill ; there being no especial reason why the Republican liquor interest should desert its candidates for them. And if it is said that Hill carried shem with him, the question naturally occurs why did he not likewise carry with him the Democaatic electoral ticket; to which no satisfactory reply can be made. Republicans so loosely tied to party as to vote for the whole Demooratic state ticket, would hardly have so unanimously gagged on the presidential ticket. When they had got so far they would have been likely to float along with their company. Clearly it was money, buying the New York "floaters," in every election district of the state, that carried it for Harrison. And it is notable that no denial has ever appeared of the statement so freely made that the Republican national committee had all the money supplied to it that it desired. The fact is even gloried in ; and claimed as the great credit of the Philadelphia financiers, who raised it. Upon it is based John Wanamaker's new distinction as a political creator. He is himsel! a creation of this cam-

paign, and of its selection. He represents the idea of the use of unlimited cash to purchase an election that cannot other-wise be gained. He is pictured as Quay's money ladler. There seems to have been no one to do a like office for Chairman Brice. He is said to have closed up his accounts with his own check for \$150,000. Quay by his wagers added \$50,000 to his bank balance; and divided his interpended balance among the conbe other was rich. This is not denied and the poor side lost. But the poor are nore numerous than the rich, and the latter will reckon with them after awhile.

George in England.

Mr. Henry George has had a warm reto hear of it, for he appears to be more aded there than in America, and if he Il only stay away from us we can perape be proud of him. He is quite as elhalt so dangerous as he appeared in returning to his native land after in last great starring tour of Britain. makene'on that a respectable portion

Pringulatia in the