

TRADE IN LEAF TOBACCO.

SEVERAL HUNDRED CASES DISPOSED OF BY LOCAL PACKERS.

Buyers Still Seeing the Seed Variety—Features of the New York and Other Markets.

The stock of Old Sumatra Very Light and the New Crop Said to Be Poor.

In the local market tobacco buyers are still picking up the '87 seed leaf, but there is no rush about it.

The transactions in Old Sumatra reported are as follows: Skiles & Frey, sold 110 cases and bought 77 cases; Dr. B. S. Kendrick & Co., sold 175 cases and bought 22 cases.

The young plants in the seed beds are coming up nicely, and will be in good condition by the time of planting.

New York Cigar Leaf Market.

For the past week the market was again in a state of stagnation. Such activity as was visible was confined to a movement of about 400 cases of B.V. C's and Little Dutch, all at depressed prices.

There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market, and it is not yet clear whether the market will be better or worse than it is at present.

It is not yet clear whether the market will be better or worse than it is at present. The market is still in a state of uncertainty.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

The market is still in a state of uncertainty. There is a feeling of uncertainty about the future of the market.

OPINIONS OF EDITORS.

Their Views of the New Chief Justice of the United States.

From the Philadelphia Ledger, Ind. Rep. It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

It is no impeachment of the fitness of Melville W. Fuller, of Illinois, for chief justice of the United States, that he is not widely known to the general public.

THE COMMON PLEAS COURT.

VERDICT AGAINST MILLER & BRAKE, WHO WERE HOTEL KEEPERS.

Claims of Jeremiah Hohrer and Henry W. Diefenbach Sustained—A Suit to Determine the Ownership of Land—Verdict to Lay Out a Road in Ephrata Township.

The suit of Jeremiah Hohrer vs. S. R. Miller and J. C. Brake, lately trading as S. R. Miller & Co., was called for trial on Monday afternoon before Judge Patterson.

This was an attachment under the act of 1859, on which plaintiff attached the goods of defendant. The testimony for the plaintiff showed that liquor to the value of \$145.51 was furnished to Miller & Brake, as proprietors of the Grape hotel.

About December 31, Mr. Hohrer learned that the defendants were about removing and disposing of their property with intent to defraud their creditors, and he then had it attached. In support of the allegation of attempted fraud it was shown that a transfer of all the furniture was prepared and at the time it was stated that the transfer would be made to prevent creditors from levying on them.

The defense called no witnesses but counsel argued that as the plaintiff has a judgment for the amount of the bill on record he cannot now recover another judgment. The court overruled the motion of counsel to instruct the jury to find in favor of defendant and the jury was instructed to find in favor of plaintiff for \$163.94, the full amount of the claim and interest. H. C. Brubaker and C. C. Kennedy for plaintiff; H. M. North and M. Brosius for defendant.

The next case called was that of Harry W. Diefenbach vs. Miller & Brake. This was a suit similar to the one above noted. Plaintiff's claim is for meat furnished and money loaned, and the amount of his bill is \$243.11 with two years interest.

There was no defense offered and the jury found in favor of plaintiff for \$282.84, the full amount of the claim with interest. H. C. Brubaker and C. C. Kennedy for plaintiff; H. M. North and M. Brosius for defendant.

The next case was one growing out of the above attachments, and was between Mary Bell Miller as plaintiff, and Jeremiah Hohrer and Henry W. Diefenbach as defendants. It was an issue to determine by a jury the ownership of certain personal property levied upon by the sheriff.

When the furniture at the hotel was attached Mary Bell Miller, wife of S. R. Miller, claimed them, and this issue was granted to determine the ownership. After the jury was selected court adjourned until before Judge Livingston.

The suit of Elizabeth Kreider, of Martic township, vs. John Hildebrand, of Martic, was attached for trial in the lower court room this morning. This was an issue to determine the ownership of certain property levied upon by the sheriff.

John Hildebrand had obtained judgment against John Kreider, and on it he issued an execution. The property levied upon by the sheriff was claimed by Elizabeth Kreider, wife of John, as her property. It was shown that she inherited \$1,500, and her husband's share of the property levied upon were bought with the money she received from home.

George S. Fry, Pierson M. Eberly and Adam R. Hoan were appointed viewers to lay out a road in Ephrata township from a point on the road leading from Reamstown to Ephrata to a point on the road leading from the Reading and Lancaster road to Hahnstown.

George M. Taylor, of Philadelphia, auditor of the Pennsylvania State, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

The Pennsylvania road, testified manager of the Pennsylvania road, said that as far as he knew, there was no difference of rates to different persons on crude and refined oil at the present time.

THE STANDARD OIL MONOPOLY.

John D. Rockefeller and Several Railroad Officials Before the House Committee.

David B. Morey, general freight agent of the Southern Rail of the Illinois Central, was the first witness before the House committee on manufactures on Monday.

Mr. Morey attempted to bring out the difference in rates between oil carried in tanks and oil carried in barrels over the railroad during the last three years.

The witness said that his company was not paying a mileage rate upon tank cars going south loaded with petroleum. This practice dated back to the fall of 1885.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

John D. Rockefeller, president of the Standard Oil trust, said he would furnish to the committee a copy of the Standard Oil report for the year 1887, and a statement of the capacity of all the lines connected with or controlled by the Standard Oil trust.

SHAFTS OF GALL BY INGALLS.

THE SENATOR FROM INDIANA REPLIES TO MR. VOORHEES' SPEECH.

What He Now Says of Mellican, Hancock and Other—The Senate Chamber Crowded to Hear the Jayhawker Speak—A Synopsis of His Speech.

WASHINGTON, May 1.—The Senate wing of the capitol was the centre of attraction in Washington today. Although Senator Ingalls announced that he would not reply to Senator Voorhees at 2 o'clock this afternoon, it was only ten when the crowds began to assemble in the Senate galleries and by noon standing room could scarcely be secured.

The galleries included the families of many senators and representatives. When the Senate opened Mr. Ingalls was in the chair, but soon after 12 o'clock he called Mr. Dolph to the chair, and left the chamber.

Mr. Spooner reintroduced the direct tax bill as a proposed amendment to the sundry civil appropriation bill. The bill was read and the Senate adjourned.

At the conclusion of Mr. Stewart's speech, Mr. Ingalls then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

Mr. Stewart then took the floor for a silver speech on a resolution of inquiry as to treasury purchases of silver bullion. He spoke for about an hour, and his speech was well received.

A BANK'S FUNDS STOLEN.

NEARLY A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS TAKEN BY AN OFFICIAL.

The Park Bank of New York, Loss a Big Item Through the Dishonesty of the Assistant Cashier—The Last Twenty Statement of the Institution.

NEW YORK, May 1.—While the body of the venerable George H. Potts lay in its coffin yesterday awaiting burial, rumor began to toy with the affairs of the National Park bank, of which he was the president, for the first time in the history of that institution. Later investigation showed the rumors to be correct.

An official, whose name has not been given, had led to the bank a large sum of money, which had been taken from its fund nearly \$100,000 by an ingenious system of falsifying the books of which he had control.

In a story which began to circulate in New York early yesterday morning, it was said to touch the character of Mr. Potts. Some accounts, rumor said, would be overhauled before a new president could be elected, and something had occurred at the bank to indicate that a trusted officer had been guilty of some serious offense.

It was stated that an inspection of accounts, which had been made of \$100,000, was the most definite form in which suspicion found expression in financial circles. Mr. Potts died Saturday at his country home, at Somerville, N. J. Death notices did not appear in the newspapers until yesterday.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

Mr. Potts had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations. He had been in the employ of the bank for 23 years, was the one who had provided the funds for the bank's operations.

COLLECTION DUTENHOFFER'S BOND.

The Court Declines to Grant a Sale Asked by the Street Commissioners.

Brown & Hensel, counsel for Conrad Swartz and August Wais, the sureties of William G. Duttenhofer, the defaulting Columbia tax collector, asked court on Monday afternoon for a rule to show cause why the judgment entered by the county should be set aside.

By Messrs. Brown & Hensel that there was no question about the names of Messrs. Swartz and Wais and the witnesses to the judgment being signed. County Solicitor Shoenck and E. K. Martin, who appeared for the defendant, objected to the rule being granted. Their position was that the judgment of Duttenhofer was a valid one and if it was a forgery the bondsmen could show that fact at the proper time.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.

The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed. The court refused to grant the rule, and the judgment was affirmed.