

Offer for the FALL SEASON Larger and More Attractive Stocks of

Carpets, Wall Papers, Curtains and General Upholstering Materials

THAN THEY HAVE BEFORE SHOWN.

New Patterns in the Best Standard Makes of Wiltons, Moquettes, Royal Velvets, Jody Brussels, Tapestry Brussels, Extra Super and Medium Ingrains, Rag and Chain Carpets, Punjab and Smyrna Rugs, Velvet and Tapestry Rugs, Kensington Art Squares, etc., Linoleum and Floor Oil Cloths.

WALL PAPERS AND CEILING DECORATIONS—Full Lines of all Grades of Blanks, Bronzes, Flats, Satins, etc., at Extremely Low Prices. A Line of Blanks at 3, 6 and 7 Cents per Piece. A Line of Bronzes at 12, 18 and 20 Cents Per Piece.

CURTAINS AND UPHOLSTERING DEPARTMENT—Lace Curtains and Heavy Draperies, Silk and Madras Curtains, Novelties in Mantle Draperies, India Ties, Fringes, Valours Covers, etc., Raw Silks, Tapestries, Ramies, Terry, Felt Cloths, Window Cloths, Plushes and Satines. Complete Assortment of Shades and Shading, Scotch Hollands and Oil Shading in All Widths, with Best Finishes.

ALL ORDERS WILL RECEIVE PROMPT AND CAREFUL ATTENTION.

HAGER & BROTHER, Nos. 25 and 27 West King Street, Lancaster, Pa.

\$1,000,000 Ready-Made Clothing in Oak Hall.

In conducting our business, we are distinct and apart from all other clothing houses in this; We mark upon the goods what they are, what the materials consist of, and give with it a guarantee that will get you back your money if not satisfied.

WANAMAKER & BROWN, OAK HALL, S. E. Cor. Sixth and Market Streets.

Next Door to the Court House. Now Open--Full Lines of BLACK CASHMERE.

LUPIN'S Make, justly celebrated for their Extra Weight and Brilliant Black. ALSO, HENRIETTA CLOTH.

R. E. FAHNESTOCK, Next Door to the Court House, Lancaster, Pa.

NEW STYLES. THE FALL STYLES ARE HERE.

W. D. STAUFFER & CO., LEADING FASHIONABLE HATTERS,

We have the Largest Stock of NEW FALL STYLES in STIFF AND SILK HATS ever Shown in Lancaster. Sole Agents for B.V.O., of New York, and WILD CO., of Boston.

W. D. STAUFFER & CO., (SHULTZ & BROS.'S OLD STAND.)

No. 31 and 33 North Queen Street, Lancaster, Pa.

H. Z. RHOADS, JEWELER.

A Full Line of the "Birth or Month Stones" Mounted to Suit Your Particular Fancy.

Watches, Diamonds, Jewelry.

My Repair Dept. is Fully Equipped and Respectfully Solicit Repairing of Fine and Complicated Watches, Musical Boxes, Bronzes, Jewelry and any Intricate Piece of Mechanism.

H. Z. RHOADS, 4 WEST KING STREET.

SEEK NO FURTHER, FOR THERE IS NONE BETTER THAN Ruppert's Extra New York Beer.

THE PROGRESSIVE PARTY

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.

At Thursday morning's session of the state Homeopathic medical society in Philadelphia, Dr. Sarah J. Coe, of Wilkesbarre, responding from the bureau of sanitary science, read what proved to be the most interesting paper of the day, that on "cremation." She strongly favored the system. It has been proposed, she said, by persons who claimed the earth would be deprived of its proportion of nitrogen if interment was abolished. When a body is buried, however, it decomposes and other gases went into the atmosphere and conveyed to the earth by rain.

Chemical analyses had demonstrated the fact that the soil was enriched, not vitiated by gases from the crematory. Regarding the unchristian aspect, advanced by the Rev. Canon Farrar, who said that the martyrs had been buried at the stake, certainly no one would question their re-creation. In reply to the charge that cremation was a heinous crime, under suspicious circumstances, as, for instance, by poisoning, the cause could not be detected if cremation were resorted to, she said that the fact that cremation is a heinous crime, as signed by two physicians declaring that death was the result of natural causes, is presented with each body. She dwelt at length upon the advantage of cremation to the living over the old process of interment. It did away with the upper atmosphere to the injury of its stability. It avoided burial alive through the calcarium, and last, but one of the most important, it reduced the expense of final disposition that has been so great a burden on a large class of people who, through pride would undertake heavy financial responsibilities for the disposal of their relatives. The paper forcibly presented, was widely discussed by members present, of favoring the idea.