

ADMITTED TO THE BAR IN 1853.

With a letter from him to his brother-in-law, Judge Hampton, St. Louis, Mo. He was admitted to the bar in 1853...

When he came to Lancaster he was an utter stranger to the city and its people. His single acquaintance here was a social one...

THE CAREER OF A BRILLIANT ORATOR AND SUCCESSFUL LAWYER.

One of Lancaster's foremost citizens who came here a stranger—fame and fortune into the hands of many in important cases.

"Has it ever occurred to you," said an old member of the bar, as the INTELLIGENCER's artist was lastly strolling up Barbary Coast...

THE IRISH LAND BILL.

GLADSTONE PROPOSES HIS GREAT SCHEME TO PARLIAMENT.

Features of the Measure Designed to Go into Effect Simultaneously With the Home Rule Act—Chamberlain Makes a Speech in Opposition to the Scheme.

Mr. Gladstone proposed his Irish land bill to the House of Commons on Friday evening. There was hardly any excitement attending the event...

THE MANNER OF BUILDING THE STRUTURE CONTEST.

York and Lancaster Counties.

About the year 1812 or 1813 the citizens of the lower ends of York and Lancaster counties, undertook the matter of erecting a bridge over the river...

IN BASE BALL CIRCLES.

The Philadelphia and Atlantic clubs closed their season yesterday and the former was defeated by 4 to 2.

DEATH OF PETER HUBER.

Peter Huber died on Friday afternoon at his residence, No. 137 North Water street.

DR. FOMBER TO BE RELEASED AND REARRESTED.

Dr. Fomber was released out of his abode in the street, yesterday, and is now in the hands of the British government.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN'S SPEECH.

Mr. Chamberlain made a speech in which he said he was not an irreconcilable opponent of Mr. Gladstone's scheme.

MR. REYNOLDS' RESIDENCE.

Mr. Reynolds resides in the elegant marble-fronted residence, built by Benjamin C. Bachman, at No. 123 North Duke street.

MR. REYNOLDS' EDUCATION.

Young Reynolds had some education in the Danville academy, and later at Armstrong's Presbyterian school in Bellefonte.

MR. REYNOLDS' PROFESSIONAL STUDIES.

Mr. Reynolds was graduated with honor at the age of 18 in 1850. He spent several succeeding months in Philadelphia, taking a literary course with Prof. Allen then at Girard college and studying law in the office of Peter McCall.

MR. REYNOLDS' PROFESSIONAL STUDIES.

Mr. Reynolds was graduated with honor at the age of 18 in 1850. He spent several succeeding months in Philadelphia, taking a literary course with Prof. Allen then at Girard college and studying law in the office of Peter McCall.

MR. REYNOLDS' PROFESSIONAL STUDIES.

Mr. Reynolds was graduated with honor at the age of 18 in 1850. He spent several succeeding months in Philadelphia, taking a literary course with Prof. Allen then at Girard college and studying law in the office of Peter McCall.

MR. REYNOLDS' PROFESSIONAL STUDIES.

Mr. Reynolds was graduated with honor at the age of 18 in 1850. He spent several succeeding months in Philadelphia, taking a literary course with Prof. Allen then at Girard college and studying law in the office of Peter McCall.

MR. REYNOLDS' PROFESSIONAL STUDIES.

Mr. Reynolds was graduated with honor at the age of 18 in 1850. He spent several succeeding months in Philadelphia, taking a literary course with Prof. Allen then at Girard college and studying law in the office of Peter McCall.

MR. REYNOLDS' PROFESSIONAL STUDIES.

Mr. Reynolds was graduated with honor at the age of 18 in 1850. He spent several succeeding months in Philadelphia, taking a literary course with Prof. Allen then at Girard college and studying law in the office of Peter McCall.

THOUSAND FOR LABOR.

What it is Costing to Keep Up the Great Strike of Laborers.

CHICAGO, Ill., April 17.—Ten thousand dollars was sent from Chicago to the Knights of Labor at St. Louis on Friday...

THE BLOODY RESULTS OF THE REVOLT IN MISSOURI.

The Whole Trouble Arises From the Hard Feelings Caused by the Exactions of the Tax Farmers—Failure of the Insurgents' Attack on Baker.

PARIS, April 17.—A dispatch from St. Louis gives further details of the revolt in Missouri and the fighting at Baker on Monday and Tuesday...

ROADS IN GOOD RUNNING ORDER.

ST. LOUIS, April 17.—In East St. Louis all is quiet. The roads north of the bridge were receiving a good deal of freight this morning...

A WAR TO THE BITTER END.

KANSAS, Mo., April 17.—P. H. Barry, of the general executive committee of the Knights of Labor, addressed a committee's meeting last night at the Board of Trade hall...

THE BUREAU OF THE MANUFACTURE OF TOBACCO.

WASHINGTON, April 17.—Hons.—On motion of Mr. Emmenton, of Pa., Senate bill granting the right of way to the Schuylkill River East Side railroad company...

A DISASTROUS EXPLOSION.

PITTSBURGH, April 17.—Shortly after 12 o'clock this afternoon a disastrous explosion occurred in Singer, Nimick & Co.'s iron works...

WHY 1,000 HANDS ARE OUT OF WORK.

CLINTON, Mass., April 17.—Agent Bigelow, of the Bituminous coal company, sent out the following notice yesterday:

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

LONDON, April 17.—The trial of Mrs. Adelaide Bartlett for the murder of her husband was concluded to-day and the case was given to the jury.

MR. BARTLETT'S TRIAL.

THE TESTIMONY OF A NOTORIOUS CASE ALL IN A DAY.

OPINION DAY.

THE COURT'S RULING IN CASES ARGUED AT THE MARCH TERM.

Tampering With a Jailor—Box-Something for the Henderson Creditors—The City Clerk's Dispute—A Forger's Sentence Reduced—From Twenty-seven to Six Months.

THE COURT'S RULING IN CASES ARGUED AT THE MARCH TERM.

Judge Livingston delivered opinions in the following cases:

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account of a dispute as to whether the parties who now are holding office in the City council are the legally elected officers.

THE COMMUNWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. RELIGIOUS C. C. DONNELLY et al., vs. GEORGE A. MARTIN et al., rule to show cause why a writ of quo warrantum should not issue.

This case came on for argument on account