

Lancaster Intelligencer.

SATURDAY EVENING, JULY 19, 1884.

Mr. Blaine's Message.

It seems rather premature for Mr. Blaine to compose and publish a presidential message. There is an old saying that one should not count one's chickens before they are hatched. Candidates for president may very properly, in accepting the nomination, accompany their yes with some statement of their political doctrine; and they have a right, no doubt, to say what they please, and a good deal of it. The approved practice, however, has been to be somewhat brief, and seeing that the party doctrine is expressed in the platform, there does not appear to be any great occasion for a candidate to be very long-winded in his acceptance.

Blaine's letter to Warren Fisher is far more interesting than his document of acceptance of the presidential nomination. If it costs eighteen lives to penetrate fourteen miles farther into the frozen polar recesses than England has heretofore done, the United States can well afford to do without the blood won honor.

Did any one discover in the Blaine letter of acceptance an allusion to the unconstitutional surplus distribution scheme, fathered by Wharton Baker. And yet Blaine wrote a letter emphatically approving it.

By those who can find time to read Mr. Blaine's letter, it will be found that it is a great country, and that its greatness has come to it in its twenty years of Republican rule. He states that the property in the country in 1850 amounted to fourteen thousand millions, and in 1880 to forty four thousand millions; and quotes the census as authority. That certainly is an interesting statement; and if the census states it, there is better reason to believe it to be a fact than Mr. Blaine is always ready to give for his facts. He is not very reliable in dealing with those troublesome things. It would be well to know, however, some other things, before we congratulate ourselves too much upon our growth in wealth since 1850. We should know, for instance, what our debts amounted to then, and what they are now. There are a great many millionaires in the country yet, though they have been sadly diminishing of late; and our recent observation has impressed upon us the fact that a man may possess a great deal of property and yet be worth much less than nothing, being simply a trustee for his creditors. Now, the question that Mr. Blaine has not solved is as to whether or no we as a people are trustees for other people to whom we owe more than we own, or any great part of it. We remember that the late Hiestor Clymer, some years ago, in the last period of business depression, was prompted to look over the records of judgments and mortgages in Berks county, and he was astounded to find that in their aggregate the liens knocked about all the dollars out of it, according to the assessed value. We know no way in which the indebtedness of our people could be reached in census inquiry; and our real wealth will never be disclosed by it. Mr. Blaine's figures are glittering, and suited well the aim of his argument; and so he used them, though well knowing their lack of value to prove his point, which was that the protective policy of the Republican administration had enriched the people of the country in far greater proportion than nature had enriched it in population.

Mr. Blaine's persuasion is that a people who have done so well in twenty years should keep along under the same party under whose rule the happy result was achieved; and there would be strength in his idea, undoubtedly, if the situation was just as he describes it. But supposing that we admit the country's prosperity and give the Republican party credit for it, must we not admit that the country is not now prosperous and give the Republican party credit for that too? And are we not dealing with the present rather than the past; and as we have garnered the good fruit of Republican government—if it was truly that which gave us the fruit—must we not look up another tree now, since the old one has failed us, and has apparently exhausted its vigor? We all know that we cannot rely on a good tree forever; the time of decay must come; and as the big fortunes of the country are undoubtedly now fast waning away, must not the Republican party wane too—if it is true that it gave them to us—since it can no longer do the trick? That seems to us to be a solid argument. If Mr. Blaine's is.

And then we have to suggest to Mr. Blaine that the poor man does not find himself sensibly better off than the poor man was twenty years ago. It is still a struggle for bread, and just as hard as ever. Wages are no better, if as good; provisions no cheaper, if as cheap. It is as hard to lay by money against a rainy day now as then, if not harder. Where then has the country's thirty thousand millions of increased wealth gone to, if it has been gained at all? It must have gone to the rich man. The rich man is richer; and there are more of them, just as there are more people; but the poor man has not grown richer; if he has not, indeed, grown poorer. And whose votes then is Mr. Blaine looking for, with such an argument? Certainly not the poor man's; and if he is content with polling the rich men's votes, we are content, and will cheerfully trade them off for the poor man's.

Evidently Mr. Blaine seeks to put himself in position as the candidate of the capitalists. He rests on the tariff as his foundation stone. He preaches peace; while squinting towards a South American alliance in a way to please the vulture elements that awaits a chance to seize upon the wealth of Mexico and Peru. And while constituting himself the candidate of cash, he declares for an honest ballot, of which cash is the

Blaine's Acceptance.

SECRETARY TELLER has decided that women are ineligible as pension examiners, "owing to the nature of the duties to be performed." A better explanation of the ineligibility, perhaps, would be that women have no votes.

Blaine has spoken. Now for Logan's assault on the English language.

SOME portions of Mr. Blaine's letter would have more weight if their author had more reputation as a truth teller.

Blaine's letter to Warren Fisher is far more interesting than his document of acceptance of the presidential nomination.

If it costs eighteen lives to penetrate fourteen miles farther into the frozen polar recesses than England has heretofore done, the United States can well afford to do without the blood won honor.

Did any one discover in the Blaine letter of acceptance an allusion to the unconstitutional surplus distribution scheme, fathered by Wharton Baker. And yet Blaine wrote a letter emphatically approving it.

By those who can find time to read Mr. Blaine's letter, it will be found that it is a great country, and that its greatness has come to it in its twenty years of Republican rule. He states that the property in the country in 1850 amounted to fourteen thousand millions, and in 1880 to forty four thousand millions; and quotes the census as authority.

That certainly is an interesting statement; and if the census states it, there is better reason to believe it to be a fact than Mr. Blaine is always ready to give for his facts. He is not very reliable in dealing with those troublesome things. It would be well to know, however, some other things, before we congratulate ourselves too much upon our growth in wealth since 1850. We should know, for instance, what our debts amounted to then, and what they are now. There are a great many millionaires in the country yet, though they have been sadly diminishing of late; and our recent observation has impressed upon us the fact that a man may possess a great deal of property and yet be worth much less than nothing, being simply a trustee for his creditors. Now, the question that Mr. Blaine has not solved is as to whether or no we as a people are trustees for other people to whom we owe more than we own, or any great part of it. We remember that the late Hiestor Clymer, some years ago, in the last period of business depression, was prompted to look over the records of judgments and mortgages in Berks county, and he was astounded to find that in their aggregate the liens knocked about all the dollars out of it, according to the assessed value. We know no way in which the indebtedness of our people could be reached in census inquiry; and our real wealth will never be disclosed by it. Mr. Blaine's figures are glittering, and suited well the aim of his argument; and so he used them, though well knowing their lack of value to prove his point, which was that the protective policy of the Republican administration had enriched the people of the country in far greater proportion than nature had enriched it in population.

Mr. Blaine's persuasion is that a people who have done so well in twenty years should keep along under the same party under whose rule the happy result was achieved; and there would be strength in his idea, undoubtedly, if the situation was just as he describes it. But supposing that we admit the country's prosperity and give the Republican party credit for it, must we not admit that the country is not now prosperous and give the Republican party credit for that too? And are we not dealing with the present rather than the past; and as we have garnered the good fruit of Republican government—if it was truly that which gave us the fruit—must we not look up another tree now, since the old one has failed us, and has apparently exhausted its vigor? We all know that we cannot rely on a good tree forever; the time of decay must come; and as the big fortunes of the country are undoubtedly now fast waning away, must not the Republican party wane too—if it is true that it gave them to us—since it can no longer do the trick? That seems to us to be a solid argument. If Mr. Blaine's is.

And then we have to suggest to Mr. Blaine that the poor man does not find himself sensibly better off than the poor man was twenty years ago. It is still a struggle for bread, and just as hard as ever. Wages are no better, if as good; provisions no cheaper, if as cheap. It is as hard to lay by money against a rainy day now as then, if not harder. Where then has the country's thirty thousand millions of increased wealth gone to, if it has been gained at all? It must have gone to the rich man. The rich man is richer; and there are more of them, just as there are more people; but the poor man has not grown richer; if he has not, indeed, grown poorer. And whose votes then is Mr. Blaine looking for, with such an argument? Certainly not the poor man's; and if he is content with polling the rich men's votes, we are content, and will cheerfully trade them off for the poor man's.

Evidently Mr. Blaine seeks to put himself in position as the candidate of the capitalists. He rests on the tariff as his foundation stone. He preaches peace; while squinting towards a South American alliance in a way to please the vulture elements that awaits a chance to seize upon the wealth of Mexico and Peru. And while constituting himself the candidate of cash, he declares for an honest ballot, of which cash is the

Blaine's Acceptance.

SECRETARY TELLER has decided that women are ineligible as pension examiners, "owing to the nature of the duties to be performed." A better explanation of the ineligibility, perhaps, would be that women have no votes.

Blaine has spoken. Now for Logan's assault on the English language.

SOME portions of Mr. Blaine's letter would have more weight if their author had more reputation as a truth teller.

Blaine's letter to Warren Fisher is far more interesting than his document of acceptance of the presidential nomination.

If it costs eighteen lives to penetrate fourteen miles farther into the frozen polar recesses than England has heretofore done, the United States can well afford to do without the blood won honor.

Did any one discover in the Blaine letter of acceptance an allusion to the unconstitutional surplus distribution scheme, fathered by Wharton Baker. And yet Blaine wrote a letter emphatically approving it.

By those who can find time to read Mr. Blaine's letter, it will be found that it is a great country, and that its greatness has come to it in its twenty years of Republican rule. He states that the property in the country in 1850 amounted to fourteen thousand millions, and in 1880 to forty four thousand millions; and quotes the census as authority.

That certainly is an interesting statement; and if the census states it, there is better reason to believe it to be a fact than Mr. Blaine is always ready to give for his facts. He is not very reliable in dealing with those troublesome things. It would be well to know, however, some other things, before we congratulate ourselves too much upon our growth in wealth since 1850. We should know, for instance, what our debts amounted to then, and what they are now. There are a great many millionaires in the country yet, though they have been sadly diminishing of late; and our recent observation has impressed upon us the fact that a man may possess a great deal of property and yet be worth much less than nothing, being simply a trustee for his creditors. Now, the question that Mr. Blaine has not solved is as to whether or no we as a people are trustees for other people to whom we owe more than we own, or any great part of it. We remember that the late Hiestor Clymer, some years ago, in the last period of business depression, was prompted to look over the records of judgments and mortgages in Berks county, and he was astounded to find that in their aggregate the liens knocked about all the dollars out of it, according to the assessed value. We know no way in which the indebtedness of our people could be reached in census inquiry; and our real wealth will never be disclosed by it. Mr. Blaine's figures are glittering, and suited well the aim of his argument; and so he used them, though well knowing their lack of value to prove his point, which was that the protective policy of the Republican administration had enriched the people of the country in far greater proportion than nature had enriched it in population.

Mr. Blaine's persuasion is that a people who have done so well in twenty years should keep along under the same party under whose rule the happy result was achieved; and there would be strength in his idea, undoubtedly, if the situation was just as he describes it. But supposing that we admit the country's prosperity and give the Republican party credit for it, must we not admit that the country is not now prosperous and give the Republican party credit for that too? And are we not dealing with the present rather than the past; and as we have garnered the good fruit of Republican government—if it was truly that which gave us the fruit—must we not look up another tree now, since the old one has failed us, and has apparently exhausted its vigor? We all know that we cannot rely on a good tree forever; the time of decay must come; and as the big fortunes of the country are undoubtedly now fast waning away, must not the Republican party wane too—if it is true that it gave them to us—since it can no longer do the trick? That seems to us to be a solid argument. If Mr. Blaine's is.

And then we have to suggest to Mr. Blaine that the poor man does not find himself sensibly better off than the poor man was twenty years ago. It is still a struggle for bread, and just as hard as ever. Wages are no better, if as good; provisions no cheaper, if as cheap. It is as hard to lay by money against a rainy day now as then, if not harder. Where then has the country's thirty thousand millions of increased wealth gone to, if it has been gained at all? It must have gone to the rich man. The rich man is richer; and there are more of them, just as there are more people; but the poor man has not grown richer; if he has not, indeed, grown poorer. And whose votes then is Mr. Blaine looking for, with such an argument? Certainly not the poor man's; and if he is content with polling the rich men's votes, we are content, and will cheerfully trade them off for the poor man's.

Evidently Mr. Blaine seeks to put himself in position as the candidate of the capitalists. He rests on the tariff as his foundation stone. He preaches peace; while squinting towards a South American alliance in a way to please the vulture elements that awaits a chance to seize upon the wealth of Mexico and Peru. And while constituting himself the candidate of cash, he declares for an honest ballot, of which cash is the

Blaine's Acceptance.

SECRETARY TELLER has decided that women are ineligible as pension examiners, "owing to the nature of the duties to be performed." A better explanation of the ineligibility, perhaps, would be that women have no votes.

Blaine has spoken. Now for Logan's assault on the English language.

SOME portions of Mr. Blaine's letter would have more weight if their author had more reputation as a truth teller.

Blaine's letter to Warren Fisher is far more interesting than his document of acceptance of the presidential nomination.

If it costs eighteen lives to penetrate fourteen miles farther into the frozen polar recesses than England has heretofore done, the United States can well afford to do without the blood won honor.

Did any one discover in the Blaine letter of acceptance an allusion to the unconstitutional surplus distribution scheme, fathered by Wharton Baker. And yet Blaine wrote a letter emphatically approving it.

By those who can find time to read Mr. Blaine's letter, it will be found that it is a great country, and that its greatness has come to it in its twenty years of Republican rule. He states that the property in the country in 1850 amounted to fourteen thousand millions, and in 1880 to forty four thousand millions; and quotes the census as authority.

That certainly is an interesting statement; and if the census states it, there is better reason to believe it to be a fact than Mr. Blaine is always ready to give for his facts. He is not very reliable in dealing with those troublesome things. It would be well to know, however, some other things, before we congratulate ourselves too much upon our growth in wealth since 1850. We should know, for instance, what our debts amounted to then, and what they are now. There are a great many millionaires in the country yet, though they have been sadly diminishing of late; and our recent observation has impressed upon us the fact that a man may possess a great deal of property and yet be worth much less than nothing, being simply a trustee for his creditors. Now, the question that Mr. Blaine has not solved is as to whether or no we as a people are trustees for other people to whom we owe more than we own, or any great part of it. We remember that the late Hiestor Clymer, some years ago, in the last period of business depression, was prompted to look over the records of judgments and mortgages in Berks county, and he was astounded to find that in their aggregate the liens knocked about all the dollars out of it, according to the assessed value. We know no way in which the indebtedness of our people could be reached in census inquiry; and our real wealth will never be disclosed by it. Mr. Blaine's figures are glittering, and suited well the aim of his argument; and so he used them, though well knowing their lack of value to prove his point, which was that the protective policy of the Republican administration had enriched the people of the country in far greater proportion than nature had enriched it in population.

Mr. Blaine's persuasion is that a people who have done so well in twenty years should keep along under the same party under whose rule the happy result was achieved; and there would be strength in his idea, undoubtedly, if the situation was just as he describes it. But supposing that we admit the country's prosperity and give the Republican party credit for it, must we not admit that the country is not now prosperous and give the Republican party credit for that too? And are we not dealing with the present rather than the past; and as we have garnered the good fruit of Republican government—if it was truly that which gave us the fruit—must we not look up another tree now, since the old one has failed us, and has apparently exhausted its vigor? We all know that we cannot rely on a good tree forever; the time of decay must come; and as the big fortunes of the country are undoubtedly now fast waning away, must not the Republican party wane too—if it is true that it gave them to us—since it can no longer do the trick? That seems to us to be a solid argument. If Mr. Blaine's is.

And then we have to suggest to Mr. Blaine that the poor man does not find himself sensibly better off than the poor man was twenty years ago. It is still a struggle for bread, and just as hard as ever. Wages are no better, if as good; provisions no cheaper, if as cheap. It is as hard to lay by money against a rainy day now as then, if not harder. Where then has the country's thirty thousand millions of increased wealth gone to, if it has been gained at all? It must have gone to the rich man. The rich man is richer; and there are more of them, just as there are more people; but the poor man has not grown richer; if he has not, indeed, grown poorer. And whose votes then is Mr. Blaine looking for, with such an argument? Certainly not the poor man's; and if he is content with polling the rich men's votes, we are content, and will cheerfully trade them off for the poor man's.

Evidently Mr. Blaine seeks to put himself in position as the candidate of the capitalists. He rests on the tariff as his foundation stone. He preaches peace; while squinting towards a South American alliance in a way to please the vulture elements that awaits a chance to seize upon the wealth of Mexico and Peru. And while constituting himself the candidate of cash, he declares for an honest ballot, of which cash is the

Blaine's Acceptance.

SECRETARY TELLER has decided that women are ineligible as pension examiners, "owing to the nature of the duties to be performed." A better explanation of the ineligibility, perhaps, would be that women have no votes.

Blaine has spoken. Now for Logan's assault on the English language.

SOME portions of Mr. Blaine's letter would have more weight if their author had more reputation as a truth teller.

Blaine's letter to Warren Fisher is far more interesting than his document of acceptance of the presidential nomination.

If it costs eighteen lives to penetrate fourteen miles farther into the frozen polar recesses than England has heretofore done, the United States can well afford to do without the blood won honor.

Did any one discover in the Blaine letter of acceptance an allusion to the unconstitutional surplus distribution scheme, fathered by Wharton Baker. And yet Blaine wrote a letter emphatically approving it.

By those who can find time to read Mr. Blaine's letter, it will be found that it is a great country, and that its greatness has come to it in its twenty years of Republican rule. He states that the property in the country in 1850 amounted to fourteen thousand millions, and in 1880 to forty four thousand millions; and quotes the census as authority.

That certainly is an interesting statement; and if the census states it, there is better reason to believe it to be a fact than Mr. Blaine is always ready to give for his facts. He is not very reliable in dealing with those troublesome things. It would be well to know, however, some other things, before we congratulate ourselves too much upon our growth in wealth since 1850. We should know, for instance, what our debts amounted to then, and what they are now. There are a great many millionaires in the country yet, though they have been sadly diminishing of late; and our recent observation has impressed upon us the fact that a man may possess a great deal of property and yet be worth much less than nothing, being simply a trustee for his creditors. Now, the question that Mr. Blaine has not solved is as to whether or no we as a people are trustees for other people to whom we owe more than we own, or any great part of it. We remember that the late Hiestor Clymer, some years ago, in the last period of business depression, was prompted to look over the records of judgments and mortgages in Berks county, and he was astounded to find that in their aggregate the liens knocked about all the dollars out of it, according to the assessed value. We know no way in which the indebtedness of our people could be reached in census inquiry; and our real wealth will never be disclosed by it. Mr. Blaine's figures are glittering, and suited well the aim of his argument; and so he used them, though well knowing their lack of value to prove his point, which was that the protective policy of the Republican administration had enriched the people of the country in far greater proportion than nature had enriched it in population.

Mr. Blaine's persuasion is that a people who have done so well in twenty years should keep along under the same party under whose rule the happy result was achieved; and there would be strength in his idea, undoubtedly, if the situation was just as he describes it. But supposing that we admit the country's prosperity and give the Republican party credit for it, must we not admit that the country is not now prosperous and give the Republican party credit for that too? And are we not dealing with the present rather than the past; and as we have garnered the good fruit of Republican government—if it was truly that which gave us the fruit—must we not look up another tree now, since the old one has failed us, and has apparently exhausted its vigor? We all know that we cannot rely on a good tree forever; the time of decay must come; and as the big fortunes of the country are undoubtedly now fast waning away, must not the Republican party wane too—if it is true that it gave them to us—since it can no longer do the trick? That seems to us to be a solid argument. If Mr. Blaine's is.

And then we have to suggest to Mr. Blaine that the poor man does not find himself sensibly better off than the poor man was twenty years ago. It is still a struggle for bread, and just as hard as ever. Wages are no better, if as good; provisions no cheaper, if as cheap. It is as hard to lay by money against a rainy day now as then, if not harder. Where then has the country's thirty thousand millions of increased wealth gone to, if it has been gained at all? It must have gone to the rich man. The rich man is richer; and there are more of them, just as there are more people; but the poor man has not grown richer; if he has not, indeed, grown poorer. And whose votes then is Mr. Blaine looking for, with such an argument? Certainly not the poor man's; and if he is content with polling the rich men's votes, we are content, and will cheerfully trade them off for the poor man's.

Evidently Mr. Blaine seeks to put himself in position as the candidate of the capitalists. He rests on the tariff as his foundation stone. He preaches peace; while squinting towards a South American alliance in a way to please the vulture elements that awaits a chance to seize upon the wealth of Mexico and Peru. And while constituting himself the candidate of cash, he declares for an honest ballot, of which cash is the

The Home Clubs Win.

Two brilliant base ball contests yesterday. The first was between the Ironsides and the Home Club, and the second between the Ironsides and the Home Club.

The York and Ironsides played an exhibition game in this city before a good sized audience. The contest was slow and uninteresting throughout. York pitched a good team, but had neither of their regular pitchers, Ed Green filling the box. The Ironsides went on the ground somewhat mixed. Goodman was laid off and Oldfield took his place.

The first two innings McTamamy did the pitching, supported by Downey, who pitched well. They began to hit him, Downey took his place for four innings. The visitors also pounded him. Pyle and Oldfield went in, in the seventh inning, and the scoring suddenly stopped.

The features of the game were the heavy batting and loose fielding of both teams. The home club was placed as it was for the reason that the regular batteries were in need of rest and consideration. The fact that neither of the trial pitchers ever worked in the box, they did not make such a bad showing. Following is the score:

Table with columns: Name, R, H, E, In, P, A, S. Rows include Ironsides and Home Club statistics.

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Ironsides 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Home Club 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Ironsides 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Home Club 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Ironsides 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Home Club 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Ironsides 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Home Club 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Ironsides 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Home Club 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Ironsides 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

YORK AND IRONSIDES. R. H. E. In. P. A. S. Home Club 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47