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lancaster Intelligencer．
FRIDAY EVEMING，JUNB 23， 1882 ． Judge Livingstol Fallacy． porter for thingston expreseses to the re－
that it is not solicitous to tell the belief that it is not solicitous to tell the truth
and that particularly when he is con－
cerned it takes especial pains to do him cerned it takes especial pains to do him
injustice，but the judge was not happy
in selecting our publications in the mat． in selecting our publications in the mat．
ter of the Cople estate as furnishing will be noted from the judge＇s admis－ sious，we really did him a favor in giv－
ing him the first information he says he had of the remarks made by the auditor．
The auditor＇s second report was filed in
the usual course without being brought to the attention of the court，and if we
had not published it Judge Livingston
not only would．．．not have known what
Mr．Shadle had said to him，but he would also have been ingorant of the very
traocrdinary liberty that had been tak
with a court paper by its clerk in om with a court paper by its clerk in omit－
ting to record a part of tit．If the judze
thad known of Mr．Shade＇s personal re．
marks when the report was presented lie had known of Mr．Shade＇s personal re－
marks when the report was presentel the
says he would have orderei then to be
stricken from the record．This is what
we suggested he would have been likely io do；but whatever may have been his
authority in this regari，it it is evry clear
that the clerk of the court possessed no
such power；and it would seem that his assumpe of by the court to the en，that he
notice of
may be taught hisduty and be prevented
hereafter from making like false recors．
It appears to us that we are cutitled to the
its atten
its recor
tunity
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 It is an especialy unfortunate tendency
in a jode，who is expected to be a
model of decorum，and even more than
newspaper editor to be an expert in the
matter of judiciousy holing his tongue
Our reporter and he juidge seemed to have agreed，in their interview，that we
had a grievance arainst this court．
Doubtess they yefred to the fact that
the judges had disbarred the ecitors of
the INTrLLGENSER ；in which matter，
the preme court，we consider it settled that
they were wrong，where they stoutd by
anl meanss have been right，to hove pro－
served a poper repte for judicial wis
dom and fairness．That was as serious曾曾莮第
$\qquad$
up to public contempt．发范宽名宫
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