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| ster Intellige |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OTjAY EVENING, MAT 10, 1800. | geet | ocratic senators are aga |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | as being confident that he w most popular nominee the De |  |  |  |
| boat is being signally illustrated in thesedays in the old-fashioned way-by the rats that are leaving the sinking ship. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Leemis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| just now in opposition to Grant. Mr.Bunn goes back to the supper the city gave the boys at the Grant reception and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (ixicans of their party. |  |  |  |  |  |
| gave the boys at the Grant reception andat which, and the company assembled, the distinguished guest turned up his |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nose, fresh from snifling the royal air of Europe and the East. The Bunnies ofPhiladelphia didn't like the treatment, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| but still the Philadelphia delegates to the state convention went in for Grant and the unit rule, because it then still seem |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ed the strong card. They, at least, did teeth. Now they do. They see the shii |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harrisburg convention, but hesaid noth- ing against Grant there, because of his |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and did not even take so much interestin the party success as to express hisopinion against the policy of nominatingGrant-which he even then st rongly held |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| idea of his duty to his party, whose delegate he is to Chicago. But be lats been its delegate ever since hats been its delegate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harrisburg and has been very quiet until lately; and now his tongue getslooser every day. A few days ago he was |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| looser every day. A few days agro he was still non-committal; he hardly thought |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| little time intervening light has broken strongly upon him and the weight of his aloud against Grant. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| going to do about it. As we foretold at the timbe of IHw.isbarg convention, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br> the calumu wantst winere.1. 1 its nit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| be more in his father's line. There is no emergency; bat whether Don has the supheness to get over to the other sidein the big hurry that is necessary, or whether in fact he cares so much for theshatow, when the substance of his power is gone is the question. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grant. <br> It is not doing fuil justice to the poten- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tial politicians of the Republican partywho espouse the cause of Grant to as-sume that they have no higher interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in his third term candidacy than their hopes of securing more intuence under such an administian would be |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| other candidate. but they are all astute politicians, who do not, at least, want to |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| easily make terms with any of the other candidates to get a fair considerationfor support of one of them. It is not to be assumed therefore that they are |  |  |  |  |  | and that forty hours de next Sunday at $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for Grant, because they believe himto be the weakest candidate their party could devise, as the anti-third termers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fervently believe that Grant is the only man whom they can elect, if indeed they can elect anyborly. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| It is very evident that to win this fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| candidate their convention puts up, and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fiercer than it was in $18 \pi$, will be stamped out and made as ineffective as it was |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a break in the solid Democratic South, cratic votes for Grant in all the other states. |  |  |  | der storm. Over 10,000 tons of ice were consumed. The loss is estimated at about |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metiorever. they point to cinit cem. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lances will pierce and thus discomfit theparty hosts. Sherman is a low trickster |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and his abuse of the civil service to aid his own nomination is notorious. Blaine |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| legislative jobbery about his clothes; |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| know, say that there is an accumulationof evidence against him which will blasthis name as soon as it is inscribed on his |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| price of his political integrity. Wash- burne is simply a legatee of Grant's for- tunes, a retainer in waiting for his old |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Golyer pavement record would be a kill-ing frost to the incipient buds of further |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| political promotion. Edmunds's course onthe electoral commission would preventhim from gaining any Democratic sup- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| port, and so on to the end of the list.The elder Cameron recently expressedthe opinion that if his party could not |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

