PREVENTING FUTURE MISERY.

Which will you have? Is there sense in a sufferer who prefers to have the last condition of the liver rather than the first? Is it reasonable that the sufferer should be willing to be made miserable at five dollars a day, when a fifty cent Stomach Bitters is the sure and satisfactory remedy? Is it the sense and intelligence of the nineteenth century, that, knowing as it is known that there are substitutes which work as surely as the laws of nature, a large portion of the public will still insist on taking the old, nauseous, sickening, and dangerous mixtures, which, instead of purifying the blood, make it still more impure? Is it the sense of the nineteenth century, that, knowing that there is a remedy which will cure dyspepsia, biliousness, and all diseases of the stomach, liver, and bowels, a remedy which is pleasant to the taste, and which, instead of prostrating the patient, gives him a good appetite and strength, a remedy which is a tonic, and not a stimulant, a remedy which is a medicine, and not a beverage, a remedy which is a specific, and not a nostrum, a remedy which is a cure, and not a mere palliative, a remedy which is a fact, and not a fiction, a remedy which is a reality, and not a pretension, a remedy which is a blessing to the sick, and not a curse, a remedy which is a boon to the public, and not a fraud on the public, a remedy which is a public benefactor, and not a public nuisance, a remedy which is a public good, and not a public evil, a remedy which is a public necessity, and not a public superfluity, a remedy which is a public blessing, and not a public curse, a remedy which is a public benefit, and not a public injury