



GEORGE B. GOODLANDER, Editor.

CLEARFIELD, PA.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, OCTOBER 19, 1881.

Reader, if you want to know what is going on in the business world, just read our advertisements, the Special column in particular.

Democratic State Ticket.

FOR STATE TREASURER, HON. ORANGE NOBLE, of Erie.

Democratic County Ticket!

FOR ASSOCIATE JUDGES: JOHN H. COTTELL, of Clearfield; JOHN W. HOCKENBERRY, of Chest Township.

FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONERS: JOHN T. STRAW, of Ferguson Township; JOHN FIGARD, of Covington Township.

FOR COUNTY AUDITORS: RUBEN STRAW, of Jordan Township; JOHN W. HOWE, of Lawrence Township.

FOR COUNTY CORNER: DR. JOHN S. KANE, of DuBois Borough.

An exchange pertinent asks, who is now benefited by Edmunds' lottery of assassination?

Hon. Andrew G. Curtin, our member of Congress, is said to be suffering from a serious affliction of the eyes.

The Republican papers are all as dumb as an oyster in regard to Mr. Wolfe's candidacy. By reading the *Baltimore Journal* over we would not know that there is such a man in existence as Charles S. Wolfe, a Republican, who is warring against the Cameron Republicans.

PARNELL ARRETTED.—Chas. Parnell, leader of the Irish Land League, has been arrested in Ireland and put in prison in Dublin. The Irish capt is bristling with bayonets, the guard being doubled. A revolution is expected to break out almost any time.

THE OLDEST.—The death of John A. Cuthbert, at Mobile, takes away not only the oldest ex-Congressman, but the man whose service in Congress antedates that of all other men. He represented Georgia in Congress in 1820 and 1821. His commission was issued on the 15th day of December, 1819. At that time Georgia was represented by seven members. The veteran lawyer's age at the time of his death was ninety-four.

Office-seeking has set in as lively as after the inauguration of Garfield. An entire new Cabinet is mentioned among the possibilities of the week. As a break will soon be made by the retirement of Secretary Windom and Attorney General MacVeagh, it is believed the whole state will be sent in with the names of their successors. Who these men will be is mere guess-work, which can be indulged in by anybody.

"Shiny on your own side" need to be a standard remark when we are a boy; but now they shine all around. General Baily, the Cameron Republican nominee for State Treasurer, however, is a confirmed third-termer, and belongs to the Grant gang, which poll 306 votes in the Chicago Convention last year for that hero, statesman, tramp, etc. This Radical nominee for State Treasurer, having allied himself with the third-termers, has now made it obligatory on himself to rise and explain why this is thus.

MR. NOBLE ACCEPTS.—Hon. Orange Noble, our candidate for State Treasurer, has written a letter in which he accepts the nomination. The letter in full, with that of the Committee, is published in another place in this issue. Mr. Noble defines his position very nicely. His declarations are not profane and of empty sound, but they are right and to the point. Mr. Noble's chances for election to the office of State Treasurer on the 8th of November are very promising.

On Thursday last the Republicans in the United States Senate removed Senator Bayard as President pro tem, and substituted David Davis, of Illinois. They dread the loss of power, which was fully manifested in this act. Mr. Davis is an Independent Republican, and if they don't regret placing him in the position he now occupies, a great many of our fellow-countrymen will be very much disappointed. Mr. Davis resigned his position on the United States Supreme Bench, to be elected United States Senator from Illinois. This was done by the Republicans to get him off the Supreme Bench, so that the Hayes fraud might be perpetrated successfully. Now they want him to hold the balance of power in their own partisan issues. Will he do it? We will see.

THE ASSASSIN ABANDONED.—Guiteau was arraigned on Friday, and Judge Cox fixed the trial for Monday, November 7th. Guiteau was brought in to court before Judge Cox, attended by Marshal Henry and Deputy Williams. The prisoner appeared very weak and trembled violently. He stood during the reading of the indictment, which was very long and consumed nearly thirty-five minutes in the reading. When asked to plead he hesitated and finally pleaded not guilty. He attempted to make a speech, but the Court told him he would have time for that after awhile. A long discussion in regard to a continuance followed between Mr. Scoville and District Attorney Corbitt, and the Court finally set the trial for November 7th. There was a large crowd in court, but no disturbance arose, and curiosity seemed to be the only impulse moving the crowd. He was taken to and from the jail in an ordinary barouche.

OUR COUNTY NOMINEES.

The Democrats of Clearfield county, at their recent County Convention, nominated excellent men to fill the several county offices at the approaching election. Personally, we have known all of the nominees for over twenty years, except Dr. John S. Kane, of DuBois, our nominee for Coroner.

ASSOCIATE JUDGES.

The selection of JOHN L. COTTELL, Esq., of Clearfield borough, and JOHN HOCKENBERRY, of Chest Township, for Associate Judges, was right, and no better selection could have been made. They are both pure and unadorned Democrats, competent in every way to fill the office, and are judiciously located. They are deserving and ought to receive the vote of every Democrat in the county. Mr. COTTELL is a lawyer, and during the past few years has been engaged considerably in land surveying. He is well acquainted with the lay of the land and is favorably known by the people throughout the county, and will render valuable assistance in our Courts. Mr. HOCKENBERRY is a farmer and landowner by learning and experience, and therefore is also practically educated for the position. Democrats vote for COTTELL and HOCKENBERRY on the 8th of November, and in the meantime talk and work in their favor.

TREASURER.

JOHN W. WATLEY, our candidate for Treasurer, is a native of Clearfield county, and a young man of excellent business qualifications. He is extensively known throughout the county, having done yeoman service in working for his party. He has been an earnest and faithful laborer, working night and day when necessary. He is entitled to the friendship and support of every Democrat in the county, which we trust he will get. Mr. WATLEY's social and business qualifications are such as to endear him with all who make his acquaintance. His opponent (Mr. Ellis) is a clever and accommodating gentleman, but he is not entitled to Democratic votes on that account. Remember, and give JOHN W. WATLEY your hearty support for Treasurer on the 8th of November next. He will make a good and faithful officer.

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

Our nominees for County Commissioners are JOHN T. STRAW, of Ferguson township, and JOHN FIGARD, of Covington township. They are both good farmers and excellent men of business. Mr. STRAW belongs to a representative family scattered through the townships of Ferguson, Jordan, Knox, and the entire middle portion of the county. He has been a Democrat all his life, true as the needle is to the pole. He is entitled to and will receive the support of every Democrat in the county. He should have the support of every honest man, because of the whole state will be sent in with the names of their successors. Who these men will be is mere guess-work, which can be indulged in by anybody.

COUNTY CORNER.

DR. JOHN S. KANE, of DuBois Borough, was the choice of the Convention for the office of County Coroner. The writer is not personally acquainted with the Doctor, but enough has been heard of him from responsible parties to know that he can be heartily endorsed by every Democrat, and Republican too, in Clearfield county. The office of Coroner is a very responsible position; for in case of the death of the High Sheriff, the Coroner would be entitled to fill the unexpired term. It, therefore, behooves us to put good men on the ticket for that office. Dr. KANE will fill the bill. Go to the polls and give him your vote on the 8th of November next.

Thus have we briefly sketched our

THE LOTTERY OF ASSASSINATION.

Senator Edmunds, the present Republican leader at Washington, denounced the election of Mr. Bayard as President of the Senate as a prize drawn by the Democracy in the lottery of assassination. Mr. Edmunds says, the New York Sun, seems to forget that the assassination was entirely Republican. Guiteau, the demagogue murderer who fired the deadly shot at President Garfield, is, like Mr. Edmunds, an ultra Republican. He says he was divinely inspired to kill the President in order to re-ignite the quarrel between the Republican party and the Democracy over power. Let nothing prevent you from going to the polls on election day, and see that your Democratic neighbors get there too. Then we will carry victory on our banners and our hearts will be made glad.

EDMUNDS' STATESMANSHIP.

Senator Edmunds (Republican), of Vermont, arrayed himself in opposition to the election of a President pro tem, on Monday, the 10th inst., until after three new Republican Senators were sworn in. In the course of his remarks he stated that "the Democrats are attempting to grasp a prize made possible to them by an assassination." That is a wound that had better not be torn open, now that it is scathed over. Senator Vest (Democrat), of Missouri, replied to these mean insinuations and promptly criticized the language used by Mr. Edmunds. Mr. Vest said: "The foul lips of the assassin who had struck down the President had had upon them no invocation for Democratic success, but the invocation had been for a faction of the Republican party. If there was responsibility for crime directly or indirectly, it rested upon his political opponents and not with the Democratic party. He charged no one, but the insinuation that the Democrats of the country had anything but tears for the dead President, sorrow for his family and execration for the miserable assassin, he declared was absolutely false. He contended, in the course of further remarks, it was the duty of the Democratic Senators to elect a President pro tem, and recalled the phrase so often quoted at the last session of the Senate that the voice of the constitutional majority is the voice of God." The constitutional majority to-day happened to be on the Democratic side, and of course, in the opinion of his Republican friend, his voice was scarcely divine utterance.

A RADICAL WARNING.

The Brooklyn (N. Y.) Times is a Republican organ and volunteers advice after this style:

"We feel very sure of this, that any serious departure from the spirit and purpose of Garfield's administration will be resisted with overwhelming force and energy by the people; and if Arthur yields to his old associates and attempts a change the same results will follow that succeeded Andrew Johnson's accession, and in the end it will be said that it had been better for Arthur if he had never been born, or that a million had been hanged about his neck and that he had been cast into the depth of the sea, rather than that he should have been made Conkling's candidate for Vice President (Chicago) and Guiteau's candidate for the Presidency after Conkling's resignation from the Senate. We say this, because we feel that Arthur's administration is a more miserable failure than the administration of any Vice President who has yet had. The only man who has it in his power to prevent this is President Arthur himself. It is his duty for the crisis he will soon demonstrate the fact, and if he does show it will only be exceeded in ultimate success and popular favor by his immediate successor. There are two ways open before President Arthur. The country is waiting to see which of the two he will choose."

MR. NOBLE'S ACCEPTANCE.

Official Notification of His Nomination. To the Hon. Orange Noble.

DEAR SIR.—We have been authorized by the Democratic State Convention, which met at Williamsport on the 28th day of September last, to formally announce to you the action of the Convention in nominating you to the important office of State Treasurer of Pennsylvania.

It must be a source of gratification for you to know that your selection by the convention was a standard bearer of the party and the representative of its principles in the present campaign was made from a large number of the most reputable gentlemen of the State, the nomination of any one of whom would have redounded credit upon you.

It is also a fact worthy of note that in these times of political juggery your nomination was unqualifiedly and without reservation, and that the Democratic idea, but was accomplished by the representatives of the party, setting freely in the exercise of their judgment as to its best interests, and the will of the majority thus expressed, finally ratifying by the unanimous voice of the convention.

With every reasonable prospect of success, and sustained as you will be by a united party, you can be sure that the people under the most auspicious circumstances will support you, without any weight or hindrance to best your way to ultimate success.

And in the event of election we are assured that the solemn pledges made by the convention to the people, in the resolution which you have so nobly redeemed, that you will hold the office as a sacred trust from the people, and that the rigid administration of the finances of the State inaugurated by you will mark the grave of the demagogues and influence of the "Treasury Ring" in Pennsylvania.

Very truly your obedient servants, GEO. W. MILLER, Geo. McGowan, W. J. Barlow, P. F. CONNOLLY, R. E. JAMES.

REPLY.

ERIE, PA., Oct. 10, 1881. Messrs. Geo. W. Miller, Geo. McGowan, W. J. Barlow, P. F. Connolly and R. E. James, Committee.

GENTLEMEN.—Your letter formally notifying me of my nomination for State Treasurer by the Democratic State Convention at Williamsport would have been answered sooner but for unavoidable absence from home. You say that it is a high compliment to have been made the nominee when so many worthy gentlemen were competitors for the position. I am gratified to know that the rivalry for the nomination was of the most friendly character, both before and during the sessions of the convention, and assure you that the choice has fallen upon any one of the candidates, my friends and myself would have given him a ready and active support. The reflection to which you so appropriately refer, that the nomination was influenced by faction, demagogues, combinations or boss dictation, adds very much to my appreciation of the honor.

I accept the nomination with a full understanding of the responsibility it imposes, and pledge myself that the confidence reposed in me by the Convention is ratified by the people they shall not be disappointed in their just

THE LOTTERY OF ASSASSINATION.

expectation. The Treasury will be administered as a sacred trust from the people's care will be taken to employ one but pure, competent and trustworthy man; and the records of the office will always be open for the most scrupulous and impartial scrutiny. It will be disseminated and the constant effort will be to reduce expenses, collect the revenues impartially, and in every way possible to promote the interests of the State. Not a dollar will be taken from the people's pockets. The platform is one of the boldest and soundest that has been adopted by a Convention of any party in Pennsylvania for many years. Those positions especially which relate to the rights, duties and control of the great corporations are in accord with my life-long convictions, and I cannot doubt that they will be approved by the people. While I would not take from any corporation a single right that properly belongs to it, I insist, in common with most of the business men, farmers and mechanics of the State, that monopolies must not be tolerated, and that the "greatest good of the greatest number" must be the supreme object of the Government.

Having made no pledges of patronage during the campaign, and not recognizing, and being determined to continue the same policy until the close of the campaign, I will be free, in the event of my election, to make such appointments as will best advance the interests of the State, and to give to my enemies to punish and will know no factions in the selection of my subordinates.

With thanks to the Convention and kind regards for each of the committee, I am, very respectfully,

ORANGE NOBLE.

MORE ABOUT THE PRESIDENT'S POLICY.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President of the United States, and the cowardly assassination of the man, his sufferings, his fortitude, his patience, and the womanly sorrow of his wife, which have been the chief objects of our thought, and that we have not in the past and in the future in order to discover what the future has in store for the country. The "example and experience" of Mr. Garfield as President, was of too short duration to make the people's memory of his administration. From the 4th of March to the 21st of July his whole occupation was with the "spoils system" of his party and with seeking how he could best "regulate" the distribution of patronage discharge his obligations to that wing of the party which by defeating Gen. Grant's nomination at Chicago had secured his preferment. His "example and experience" in that respect is of little value to the people. President Arthur, nor will the President regard the appointment of Robert to the collectorship of the port of New York as a matter likely to be "remembered in the hearts of the people." Nothing like the "example and experience" in the four or five months of President Garfield's administration. The permanent presentation of the star-route swindlers was more a matter of promise than performance, in which there was very little to be remembered. What President Garfield would have done had life and health been left to him must ever remain a matter of opinion, shaped and formed by personal admiration and colored by the most unscrupulous and unscrupulous of party politics, and the result will ever surround his name and memory. As an administrative model for President Arthur the four months of President Garfield's executive life furnish neither example nor experience. For that purpose, the four months of President Arthur's administration is a more valuable model than the four months of President Garfield's.

THE TRAGIC END OF PRESIDENT GARFIELD.

The tragic end of President Garfield has invested his memory with much sympathy for the suffering of the man, and so much indignation for the assassin, that the people are not disposed to carry their recollections backward beyond the 21st of July, 1881. Anterior to that date it was the politician and party leader that filled with different considerations the mind of the people. It is not surprising that the state it was the dastardly assault upon the President