

Terms of Subscription:  
If paid for in advance, \$2.00  
If paid for in arrears, \$2.50  
If paid for the expiration of a month, 30c

Advertisements, per square of 10 lines  
for one week, 50c  
For each subsequent insertion, 25c  
Advertisers' notices, 25c  
Classified and Extraordinary, 50c  
Obituary notices, 50c  
Professional Cards, 50c per line, year, 5.00  
Legal notices, 50c per line, year, 5.00  
Total notices, 50c per line, year, 5.00

Yearly Advertisements:  
1 square, 10 lines, 1 year, \$5.00  
1 square, 10 lines, 6 months, \$3.00  
1 square, 10 lines, 3 months, \$2.00  
1 square, 10 lines, 1 month, \$1.00

W. C. ARNOLD,  
LAW & COLLECTION OFFICE,  
COLUMBIAN BLDG.,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

MURRAY & GORDON,  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

FRANK FIELDING,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

WILLIAM A. WALLACE,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

McKENNA & McGRUDY,  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

G. R. BARRETT,  
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLOR AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

A. G. KRAMER,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

A. W. WALTERS,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

H. W. SMITH,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

WALTER BARRETT,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

ISRAEL TEST,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

JOHN H. FULFORD,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

JOHN L. CUTLER,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

J. BLAKE WALTERS,  
REAL ESTATE BROKER,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

J. J. LINGLE,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

J. S. BARNHART,  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. W. A. MEANS,  
PHYSICIAN & SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. T. J. BOYER,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. E. M. SCHUEBER,  
HOMOEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

J. H. KLINE, M. D.,  
PHYSICIAN & SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. J. P. BURCHFIELD,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. H. B. VAN VALZAH,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. JEFFERSON LITZ,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

D. M. DOHERTY,  
FASHIONABLE HATTER & HAIR DRESSER,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

HARRY SNYDER,  
BARBER AND HAIRDRESSER,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

JOHN D. THOMPSON,  
JUNIOR OF THE PAID AND STRIVER,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

RICHARD HUGHES,  
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

GEO. B. GOODLANDER, Proprietor.  
VOL. 51—WHOLE NO. 2,509.

Cards.  
Livery Stable.

MY ANGEL FLOWER.  
BY W. H. W.

W. ALBERT & BROS.,  
Manufacturers and Retail Dealers in  
Sawed Lumber, Square Timber, &c.,  
WOODLAND, PENNA.

FRANCIS COURTRET,  
MERCHANT,  
Frenchville, Clearfield County, Pa.

THOMAS H. FORCEEE,  
DEALER IN  
GENERAL MERCHANDISE  
SHAWMONT, PA.

REUBEN HACKMAN,  
House and Sign Painter and Paper  
Hanger,  
Clearfield, Penna.

G. H. HALL,  
PRACTICAL PUMP MAKER,  
NEAR CLEARFIELD, PENNA.

SQUARE TIMBER,  
and manufacturers of  
ALL KINDS OF SAWED LUMBER,  
CLEARFIELD, PENNA.

JAS. B. GRAHAM,  
Real Estate, Square Timber, Boards,  
SHINGLES, LATH, & PICKETS,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

JAMES MITCHELL,  
DEALER IN  
Square Timber & Timber Lands,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

JAMES H. LITTLE,  
Innkeeper's Building, Clearfield, Pa.  
Dealer in Groceries, Provisions, Vegetables,  
Fruit, Flour, Etc., etc., etc.  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

WARREN THORN,  
BOOT AND SHOE MAKER,  
Market St., Clearfield, Pa.

ASHLEY THORN,  
ARCHITECT, CONTRACTOR AND BUILDER,  
Plans and Specifications furnished for all kinds  
of buildings. All work first-class. Clear  
field, Pa. P. O. address, Clearfield, Pa. Jan. 17, 1877.

R. M. NEIMAN,  
SADDLE AND HARNESS MAKER,  
Humboldt, Clearfield Co., Pa.  
Keeps on hand all kinds of Harness, Saddles,  
Bridles, and Trunks. Repairing done  
promptly. Clearfield, Pa. Jan. 17, 1877.

JOHN A. STADLER,  
BARBER, Market St., Clearfield, Pa.  
First Class, Hair, Boils, Pimples, and Cures  
all kinds of skin diseases. Clearfield, Pa.  
Jan. 17, 1877.

J. R. MURRAY  
WILL SUPPLY YOU WITH ANY ARTICLE  
OF THE HOUSEHOLD AT THE LOWEST  
PRICE. COME AND SEE. 1877.

NEW WASHINGTON.  
MABLE AND STONE VARY,  
Mrs. S. S. LITTLE,  
Having opened the new building, 1877.  
Clearfield, Pa. Jan. 17, 1877.

DR. W. A. MEANS,  
PHYSICIAN & SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. T. J. BOYER,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. E. M. SCHUEBER,  
HOMOEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

J. H. KLINE, M. D.,  
PHYSICIAN & SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. J. P. BURCHFIELD,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. H. B. VAN VALZAH,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

DR. JEFFERSON LITZ,  
PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

D. M. DOHERTY,  
FASHIONABLE HATTER & HAIR DRESSER,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

HARRY SNYDER,  
BARBER AND HAIRDRESSER,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

JOHN D. THOMPSON,  
JUNIOR OF THE PAID AND STRIVER,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

RICHARD HUGHES,  
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE,  
CLEARFIELD, PA.

On the motion of the gentleman  
from Philadelphia [Mr. Hall].  
It was agreed to.

Such is Radical Legislation? More  
the facts stated by the lady, we be-  
lieve to be true.

Mr. Schmitterly. I had the floor  
upon this resolution and I call the gen-  
tleman to order.

Mr. Hubb. The gentleman was not  
entitled to the floor.

Mr. Hubb. If I could not hold  
the floor, how could you? It is open  
to debate, and I desire to speak  
upon it.

Mr. Hubb. There has been no order  
of the House to proceed to its second  
reading.

The Speaker. When a resolution is  
read the first time and a second read-  
ing is called for, and there are no ob-  
jections, the resolution is ordered to be  
read again.

Mr. Hubb. I did object in time—  
I thought a second reading was called  
for.

The Speaker. The gentleman did  
not object until after a second reading  
was ordered—ordered a couple of times.

Mr. Hubb. Well, I cannot under-  
stand that kind of ruling at all.

The resolution was then read a sec-  
ond time.

The question being on the resolution  
offered by the gentleman from Fayette  
[Mr. Schmitterly].

Mr. Hubb. I move that this resolu-  
tion be laid upon the table.

The Speaker. That motion is not  
in order.

Mr. Schmitterly. I desire to say  
only a few words upon this resolution.  
I should not have given it any atten-  
tion whatever if I had not found an ar-  
ticle after being published in a Pitts-  
burgh paper, obtaining a circulation  
through the eastern papers of the State,  
and in fact, through the Common-  
wealth. This article is a reflection  
upon the western members of Pennsylv-  
ania.

Mr. Stober. I raise the point of  
order that a motion to lay on the table  
is not debatable.

The Speaker. A motion to lay upon  
the table is not debatable.

Mr. Osborne. Will the gentleman  
from Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] allow  
himself to be interrupted?

Mr. Schmitterly. No, sir.

Mr. Osborne. I desire to ask if he  
is not one of the gentlemen referred to  
in that article?

Mr. Schmitterly. I decline to an-  
swer.

I desire to say that I was not upon  
that train, and I take no share of the  
responsibility in these charges—an in-  
nocent man.

Those who were in the train must  
take that responsibility. I think, my  
sir, that the article is an unwarrant-  
able reflection upon the members of  
this Legislature, because it does not  
discriminate between the guilty and  
the innocent.

Those who did not participate in the  
ingeniously conducted, and were in  
no wise a party to it, are made to suffer  
equally with the guilty.

Suddenly I was accosted in the  
carriage, and I was told that a gen-  
tleman named "Fayette" had been mis-  
takenly taken for a "drummer," for leg-  
islators. But we give the lady the  
benefit of my own language.

It was my misfortune on Friday last,  
on my way home from Philadelphia,  
to be on the train which was boarded  
by the State Legislature. As the car  
was not full, my friend (a lady) and  
myself occupied separate contiguous  
seats. Suddenly I was accosted in the  
carriage, and I was told that a gen-  
tleman named "Fayette" had been mis-  
takenly taken for a "drummer," for leg-  
islators. But we give the lady the  
benefit of my own language.

Mr. Hubb. I move to lay this resolu-  
tion upon the table.

Mr. Walker (Allegheny). I do not  
rise for the purpose of explaining any-  
thing in connection with this anony-  
mous communication—an article which  
has no other author.

A Member. No other you mean?

Mr. Walker (Allegheny). I accept  
the gentleman's correction. It has no  
other author. It is a mere anonymous  
publication, so far as the gentleman from  
Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] is concerned.  
I have responded to a demand for  
information, and I have done so in a  
friendly and noble manner. I do not  
know why I should give to a communica-  
tion of little importance and an-  
thority. It may be that the gentleman  
from Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] was  
upon that train, but it is possible that  
he or his friends may sometimes be  
accidentally associated with such a  
matter as this; and if such is the case  
he will not thank any one for giving  
prominence to anything in the nature  
of this article, which puts the whole  
country in an utterly false position. I  
do not think that the "young Harry  
of the West"—the Nester of the other  
side—would stoop so far, as to bring up  
a matter of this kind, unworthy of a  
moment's consideration. I thought  
that the Robin Hood of the wilds of  
Fayette would launch his shaft at  
higher and nobler game than a mere  
anonymous newspaper communication.  
I have no explanation whatever.

Mr. Hubb. I insist upon my motion  
to lay upon the table.

The Speaker. The Chair will state  
that a motion to lay on the table is  
not a parliamentary law as practiced  
in this Legislature. That mode of dis-  
posing of a bill, which puts the whole  
country in an utterly false position, is  
not a parliamentary proceeding. When  
they do not want to print a bill, or refer  
it to a committee in order to obviate that,  
or to lighten labors of committees, this  
practice grows up of disposing of them  
by laying them on the table. As I  
understand the parliamentary law in  
Pennsylvania, a motion to lay upon  
the table is not a parliamentary pro-  
ceeding. That is the judgment of the  
Chair.

Mr. Hubb. If the Chair so rules it  
is certainly contrary to all rulings  
heretofore in this body.

The Speaker. The question has  
been brought up and I had intended to  
call the attention of the House to my  
opinion before.

Mr. Hubb. I move that this resolu-  
tion be referred to the Committee on  
Vice and Immorality.

Mr. Schmitterly. I desire to say  
a single word in respect to the gen-  
tleman from Allegheny [Mr. Walker].  
I appreciate his friendship and hope to  
appreciate it in the future, but he  
would rather be called a Robin Hood—  
I should rather be called almost any  
thing in the English language than an  
insult to ladies. If he should fire the  
gentleman from Allegheny [Mr. Walker]  
of let him wear it. I do not desire to  
wear it myself without occasion, and  
I for one, protest now and forever  
against this treatment of ladies upon  
railroad cars, whether here or else-  
where, and if there are any gentlemen  
occupying seats upon this floor (you  
may speak of it lightly but it is no  
light matter), who have been guilty of  
half that is contained in this article  
they occupy them unworthily, and by  
such conduct, not only undignify  
their body but cast reflection and  
shame upon those associated with them.  
I now withdraw the resolution.

Mr. Hubb. I object.

The Speaker. It can only be with-  
drawn by unanimous consent of the  
House.

The question being

On the motion of the gentleman  
from Philadelphia [Mr. Hall].  
It was agreed to.

Such is Radical Legislation? More  
the facts stated by the lady, we be-  
lieve to be true.

Mr. Schmitterly. I had the floor  
upon this resolution and I call the gen-  
tleman to order.

Mr. Hubb. The gentleman was not  
entitled to the floor.

Mr. Hubb. If I could not hold  
the floor, how could you? It is open  
to debate, and I desire to speak  
upon it.

Mr. Hubb. There has been no order  
of the House to proceed to its second  
reading.

The Speaker. When a resolution is  
read the first time and a second read-  
ing is called for, and there are no ob-  
jections, the resolution is ordered to be  
read again.

Mr. Hubb. I did object in time—  
I thought a second reading was called  
for.

The Speaker. The gentleman did  
not object until after a second reading  
was ordered—ordered a couple of times.

Mr. Hubb. Well, I cannot under-  
stand that kind of ruling at all.

The resolution was then read a sec-  
ond time.

The question being on the resolution  
offered by the gentleman from Fayette  
[Mr. Schmitterly].

Mr. Hubb. I move that this resolu-  
tion be laid upon the table.

The Speaker. That motion is not  
in order.

Mr. Schmitterly. I desire to say  
only a few words upon this resolution.  
I should not have given it any atten-  
tion whatever if I had not found an ar-  
ticle after being published in a Pitts-  
burgh paper, obtaining a circulation  
through the eastern papers of the State,  
and in fact, through the Common-  
wealth. This article is a reflection  
upon the western members of Pennsylv-  
ania.

Mr. Stober. I raise the point of  
order that a motion to lay on the table  
is not debatable.

The Speaker. A motion to lay upon  
the table is not debatable.

Mr. Osborne. Will the gentleman  
from Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] allow  
himself to be interrupted?

Mr. Schmitterly. No, sir.

Mr. Osborne. I desire to ask if he  
is not one of the gentlemen referred to  
in that article?

Mr. Schmitterly. I decline to an-  
swer.

I desire to say that I was not upon  
that train, and I take no share of the  
responsibility in these charges—an in-  
nocent man.

Those who were in the train must  
take that responsibility. I think, my  
sir, that the article is an unwarrant-  
able reflection upon the members of  
this Legislature, because it does not  
discriminate between the guilty and  
the innocent.

Those who did not participate in the  
ingeniously conducted, and were in  
no wise a party to it, are made to suffer  
equally with the guilty.

Suddenly I was accosted in the  
carriage, and I was told that a gen-  
tleman named "Fayette" had been mis-  
takenly taken for a "drummer," for leg-  
islators. But we give the lady the  
benefit of my own language.

It was my misfortune on Friday last,  
on my way home from Philadelphia,  
to be on the train which was boarded  
by the State Legislature. As the car  
was not full, my friend (a lady) and  
myself occupied separate contiguous  
seats. Suddenly I was accosted in the  
carriage, and I was told that a gen-  
tleman named "Fayette" had been mis-  
takenly taken for a "drummer," for leg-  
islators. But we give the lady the  
benefit of my own language.

Mr. Hubb. I move to lay this resolu-  
tion upon the table.

Mr. Walker (Allegheny). I do not  
rise for the purpose of explaining any-  
thing in connection with this anony-  
mous communication—an article which  
has no other author.

A Member. No other you mean?

Mr. Walker (Allegheny). I accept  
the gentleman's correction. It has no  
other author. It is a mere anonymous  
publication, so far as the gentleman from  
Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] is concerned.  
I have responded to a demand for  
information, and I have done so in a  
friendly and noble manner. I do not  
know why I should give to a communica-  
tion of little importance and an-  
thority. It may be that the gentleman  
from Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] was  
upon that train, but it is possible that  
he or his friends may sometimes be  
accidentally associated with such a  
matter as this; and if such is the case  
he will not thank any one for giving  
prominence to anything in the nature  
of this article, which puts the whole  
country in an utterly false position. I  
do not think that the "young Harry  
of the West"—the Nester of the other  
side—would stoop so far, as to bring up  
a matter of this kind, unworthy of a  
moment's consideration. I thought  
that the Robin Hood of the wilds of  
Fayette would launch his shaft at  
higher and nobler game than a mere  
anonymous newspaper communication.  
I have no explanation whatever.

Mr. Hubb. I insist upon my motion  
to lay upon the table.

The Speaker. The Chair will state  
that a motion to lay on the table is  
not a parliamentary law as practiced  
in this Legislature. That mode of dis-  
posing of a bill, which puts the whole  
country in an utterly false position, is  
not a parliamentary proceeding. When  
they do not want to print a bill, or refer  
it to a committee in order to obviate that,  
or to lighten labors of committees, this  
practice grows up of disposing of them  
by laying them on the table. As I  
understand the parliamentary law in  
Pennsylvania, a motion to lay upon  
the table is not a parliamentary pro-  
ceeding. That is the judgment of the  
Chair.

Mr. Hubb. If the Chair so rules it  
is certainly contrary to all rulings  
heretofore in this body.

The Speaker. The question has  
been brought up and I had intended to  
call the attention of the House to my  
opinion before.

Mr. Hubb. I move that this resolu-  
tion be referred to the Committee on  
Vice and Immorality.

Mr. Schmitterly. I desire to say  
a single word in respect to the gen-  
tleman from Allegheny [Mr. Walker].  
I appreciate his friendship and hope to  
appreciate it in the future, but he  
would rather be called a Robin Hood—  
I should rather be called almost any  
thing in the English language than an  
insult to ladies. If he should fire the  
gentleman from Allegheny [Mr. Walker]  
of let him wear it. I do not desire to  
wear it myself without occasion, and  
I for one, protest now and forever  
against this treatment of ladies upon  
railroad cars, whether here or else-  
where, and if there are any gentlemen  
occupying seats upon this floor (you  
may speak of it lightly but it is no  
light matter), who have been guilty of  
half that is contained in this article  
they occupy them unworthily, and by  
such conduct, not only undignify  
their body but cast reflection and  
shame upon those associated with them.  
I now withdraw the resolution.

Mr. Hubb. I object.

The Speaker. It can only be with-  
drawn by unanimous consent of the  
House.

The question being

On the motion of the gentleman  
from Philadelphia [Mr. Hall].  
It was agreed to.

Such is Radical Legislation? More  
the facts stated by the lady, we be-  
lieve to be true.

Mr. Schmitterly. I had the floor  
upon this resolution and I call the gen-  
tleman to order.

Mr. Hubb. The gentleman was not  
entitled to the floor.

Mr. Hubb. If I could not hold  
the floor, how could you? It is open  
to debate, and I desire to speak  
upon it.

Mr. Hubb. There has been no order  
of the House to proceed to its second  
reading.

The Speaker. When a resolution is  
read the first time and a second read-  
ing is called for, and there are no ob-  
jections, the resolution is ordered to be  
read again.

Mr. Hubb. I did object in time—  
I thought a second reading was called  
for.

The Speaker. The gentleman did  
not object until after a second reading  
was ordered—ordered a couple of times.

Mr. Hubb. Well, I cannot under-  
stand that kind of ruling at all.

The resolution was then read a sec-  
ond time.

The question being on the resolution  
offered by the gentleman from Fayette  
[Mr. Schmitterly].

Mr. Hubb. I move that this resolu-  
tion be laid upon the table.

The Speaker. That motion is not  
in order.

Mr. Schmitterly. I desire to say  
only a few words upon this resolution.  
I should not have given it any atten-  
tion whatever if I had not found an ar-  
ticle after being published in a Pitts-  
burgh paper, obtaining a circulation  
through the eastern papers of the State,  
and in fact, through the Common-  
wealth. This article is a reflection  
upon the western members of Pennsylv-  
ania.

Mr. Stober. I raise the point of  
order that a motion to lay on the table  
is not debatable.

The Speaker. A motion to lay upon  
the table is not debatable.

Mr. Osborne. Will the gentleman  
from Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] allow  
himself to be interrupted?

Mr. Schmitterly. No, sir.

Mr. Osborne. I desire to ask if he  
is not one of the gentlemen referred to  
in that article?

Mr. Schmitterly. I decline to an-  
swer.

I desire to say that I was not upon  
that train, and I take no share of the  
responsibility in these charges—an in-  
nocent man.

Those who were in the train must  
take that responsibility. I think, my  
sir, that the article is an unwarrant-  
able reflection upon the members of  
this Legislature, because it does not  
discriminate between the guilty and  
the innocent.

Those who did not participate in the  
ingeniously conducted, and were in  
no wise a party to it, are made to suffer  
equally with the guilty.

Suddenly I was accosted in the  
carriage, and I was told that a gen-  
tleman named "Fayette" had been mis-  
takenly taken for a "drummer," for leg-  
islators. But we give the lady the  
benefit of my own language.

It was my misfortune on Friday last,  
on my way home from Philadelphia,  
to be on the train which was boarded  
by the State Legislature. As the car  
was not full, my friend (a lady) and  
myself occupied separate contiguous  
seats. Suddenly I was accosted in the  
carriage, and I was told that a gen-  
tleman named "Fayette" had been mis-  
takenly taken for a "drummer," for leg-  
islators. But we give the lady the  
benefit of my own language.

Mr. Hubb. I move to lay this resolu-  
tion upon the table.

Mr. Walker (Allegheny). I do not  
rise for the purpose of explaining any-  
thing in connection with this anony-  
mous communication—an article which  
has no other author.

A Member. No other you mean?

Mr. Walker (Allegheny). I accept  
the gentleman's correction. It has no  
other author. It is a mere anonymous  
publication, so far as the gentleman from  
Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] is concerned.  
I have responded to a demand for  
information, and I have done so in a  
friendly and noble manner. I do not  
know why I should give to a communica-  
tion of little importance and an-  
thority. It may be that the gentleman  
from Fayette [Mr. Schmitterly] was  
upon that train, but it is possible that  
he or his friends may sometimes be  
accidentally associated with such a  
matter as this; and if such is the case  
he will not thank any one for giving  
prominence to anything in the nature  
of this article, which puts the whole  
country in an utterly false position. I  
do not think that the "young Harry  
of the West"—