

This body seems to be in great... resolution as that offered by the...

Mr. Wilson, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged...

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished...

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers...

CLEARFIELD REPUBLICAN.

GEO. B. GOODLANDER, Proprietor. PRINCIPLES; NOT MEN. TERMS—\$2 per annum, in Advance. VOL. 41--WHOLE NO. 2107. CLEARFIELD, PA., WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 1869. NEW SERIES--VOL. 9, NO. 32.

ful whether those men will be paid. Certainly the House should pass some such resolution as that offered by the gentleman from Washington...

Mr. WILSON, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill, but yielded to Mr. VANKRIVE, (Rep.) Washington, who offered a resolution...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged, I hope the House will pass the resolution at once.

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished afterwards, if it is the sense of the House to discharge them.

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers, I need not say any good reason for our not passing a joint resolution.

Mr. WILSON, The original resolution calling for the additional number of officers to the number of twenty-seven, provides that when, in the judgment of the Chief Clerk, any officers are not needed, he shall discharge them.

Mr. McCULLOUGH, (Dem.) Clearfield. Mr. Speaker, I desire to be just, as well as generous. Shortly after the appointment of these twenty-seven officers, I took occasion for several days to look at their work...

Mr. WILSON, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill, but yielded to Mr. VANKRIVE, (Rep.) Washington, who offered a resolution...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged, I hope the House will pass the resolution at once.

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished afterwards, if it is the sense of the House to discharge them.

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers, I need not say any good reason for our not passing a joint resolution.

Mr. WILSON, The original resolution calling for the additional number of officers to the number of twenty-seven, provides that when, in the judgment of the Chief Clerk, any officers are not needed, he shall discharge them.

the business of the House. Let us ascertain the facts. I say we have not twenty-seven additional officers here; I assert that we have not seventeen additional; I do not know how many have been discharged...

Mr. WILSON, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill, but yielded to Mr. VANKRIVE, (Rep.) Washington, who offered a resolution...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged, I hope the House will pass the resolution at once.

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished afterwards, if it is the sense of the House to discharge them.

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers, I need not say any good reason for our not passing a joint resolution.

Mr. WILSON, The original resolution calling for the additional number of officers to the number of twenty-seven, provides that when, in the judgment of the Chief Clerk, any officers are not needed, he shall discharge them.

are, or may be, or how conscientious; let them once fasten their fingers upon a contract in connection with the business of this Capitol and we know where it leads to. Talk about economizing by making contracts for the pasting and folding of this House?

Mr. WILSON, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill, but yielded to Mr. VANKRIVE, (Rep.) Washington, who offered a resolution...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged, I hope the House will pass the resolution at once.

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished afterwards, if it is the sense of the House to discharge them.

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers, I need not say any good reason for our not passing a joint resolution.

Mr. WILSON, The original resolution calling for the additional number of officers to the number of twenty-seven, provides that when, in the judgment of the Chief Clerk, any officers are not needed, he shall discharge them.

ocrat, after that resolution has been passed—after these men have been employed—is it any reason that any Democrat on the side of the House should refuse to vote for a joint resolution providing for the payment of these men, simply because they choose to make a political question?

Mr. WILSON, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill, but yielded to Mr. VANKRIVE, (Rep.) Washington, who offered a resolution...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged, I hope the House will pass the resolution at once.

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished afterwards, if it is the sense of the House to discharge them.

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers, I need not say any good reason for our not passing a joint resolution.

Mr. WILSON, The original resolution calling for the additional number of officers to the number of twenty-seven, provides that when, in the judgment of the Chief Clerk, any officers are not needed, he shall discharge them.

have to say. I will not speak more than an hour. Now, in the face of known facts, stubbornly recorded, and proclaimed by the Senate, will you ride heedlessly along, and pass the resolution? Can you have the assurance to do it?

Mr. WILSON, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill, but yielded to Mr. VANKRIVE, (Rep.) Washington, who offered a resolution...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged, I hope the House will pass the resolution at once.

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished afterwards, if it is the sense of the House to discharge them.

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers, I need not say any good reason for our not passing a joint resolution.

Mr. WILSON, The original resolution calling for the additional number of officers to the number of twenty-seven, provides that when, in the judgment of the Chief Clerk, any officers are not needed, he shall discharge them.

one what their answer is. A fair and square way, it seems to me, to meet the Senate's desire to discharge these men and pay them for the time they have been here.

Mr. WILSON, (Rep.) Allegheny, called up the general appropriation bill, but yielded to Mr. VANKRIVE, (Rep.) Washington, who offered a resolution...

Mr. DUNCAN, (Rep.) Venango, as a number of the officers have already been discharged, I hope the House will pass the resolution at once.

Mr. WILSON, I will say to the gentleman that provision for their payment is a matter which can easily be accomplished afterwards, if it is the sense of the House to discharge them.

Mr. GINNIS, (Dem.) Philadelphia, the resolution would not concern in the Senate appointing these officers, I need not say any good reason for our not passing a joint resolution.

Mr. WILSON, The original resolution calling for the additional number of officers to the number of twenty-seven, provides that when, in the judgment of the Chief Clerk, any officers are not needed, he shall discharge them.