



completion of the district was Republican by a majority of 138.

At the recent election the vote was as follows:

Cochrane, Blenker,	
Blair county,	2484 184
Cambria county,	1535 2734
Clearfield county,	1313 2167
	5335 6795
	5332 6795

Democratic majority, in 1862, 1490  
And Republican majority in 1860, 188

CLEARFIELD, PA

Wednesday Morning Nov. 5th, 1862.

#### MORE FALSE THAN FUNNY.

The Altoona Tribune of the 30th ult., contains an article on the recent Senatorial election in this district, under the head of "Comical," which we shall show to be decidedly more false than funny. Speaking of Mr. Wallace's vote, the editors say:

"It is run further BEHIND his ticket than ever a man of any party did before, in the same number of votes, is a triumph, than your Senator is entitled to the claim. *It is run 1100 behind his State ticket here, without the army vote, and farther behind in Cambria than he gave ahead in his own county.* A splendid demonstration thus of personal strength and popularity. Nothing saved him but the political complexion of the district and the five thousand soldiers away from the three counties, who are endeavoring to save our Union and uphold our constitution. A splendid triumph another time will complete it sure.—Please publish the figures of your triumph in this county."

We shall do as requested. The figures just what we depend upon, and if we are not greatly mistaken they will show the attitude of our friends of the Tribune to be decidedly more serious than "comical." The assertion is that Mr. Wallace "was 1100 votes behind his State ticket in Blair county." Here is the official vote of Blair county for Slenker, he being at the head of the State ticket, compared with that given for Mr. Wallace:

Slenker's vote in Blair county, 1894

Wallace's do do do 1283

Slenker over Wallace, 509 instead of 1100, as asserted by the Tribune, isn't this very "comical?"

But the editors further say that Wallace "ran farther behind in Cambria than he was ahead in his own county." Here we again call upon the official figures as our witness:

Slenker's vote in Cambria, 2734

Wallace's do do 2680

Slenker over Wallace in Cambria, 54 So much for Cambria. Now for Clearfield:

Wallace's vote in Clearfield, 2237

Slenker's do do 2167

Wallace over Slenker in Clearfield, 80 Deduct Slenker's excess in Cambria, 54

Excess in favor of Wallace, 26

It would thus seem that it is one of the easiest things in the world for the Tribune to tell what is not true.

Now for the whole district:

Slenker, Wallace.

Blair, 1894 1385

Cambria, 2734 2680

Clearfield, 2167 2237

6795 6312

6342

Slenker's excess, 483

It is thus seen that Wallace falls but 483 votes behind the State ticket in the district. As this may not be well understood in Clearfield as it is in Blair, we will explain: S. S. Blair was the Republican candidate for Congress, against Mr. McAllister, Democrat. L. W. Hall was defeated by Blair for the Congressional nomination, and at the recent election, wherever a McAllister Democrat could be induced to vote for Hall for the Senate, Hall's friends had a Republican ready to exchange from Blair to McAllister. This is the sole reason why Wallace runs behind his State ticket in Blair county; and while we rejoice in the defeat of Mr. Blair, not only on account of his radical Abolition principles, but also because of his mean conduct in turning a poor orphan girl out of the Post Office at Tyrone, we yet say it was dastardly conduct on the part of some 400 or 500 Democrats of Blair county. Blair would have been defeated without any such sacrifice of principles on their part. Their conduct bears a sad contrast with the 1858 good and true Democrats of Blair county whose integrity could not be warped. To prove that we are correct, let us compare the vote of Blair for Congress, with the "vote for his State ticket" in Blair and Cambria counties:

Blair, Cochrane.

Blair county, 2313 2185

Cambria county, 1418 1535

3733 4020

3733

Blair behind his State ticket, 287

But, says the Tribune, "nothing saved him (Wallace) but the political complexion of the district and the five thousand soldiers away from the three counties."

Here the Tribune is more "comical" than ever. It is really farcical. Let us see what the "political complexion" of the districts was, and now is. At the Governor's election in 1860 the vote was thus:

Foster, Curtin.

Blair county, 2172 2061

Cambria do 2583 2177

Clearfield do 2040 1755

8795 9693

6795

Republican majority, 188

Here we see that, in 1860, the "political"

district was Republican by a majority of 188.

At the recent election the vote was as follows:

Cochrane, Blenker,	
Blair county,	2484 184
Cambria county,	1535 2734
Clearfield county,	1313 2167
	5335 6795
	5332 6795

Democratic majority, in 1862, 1490  
And Republican majority in 1860, 188

Democratic gain in two years, 1648  
Now for the "five thousand soldiers away from the three counties."

The vote for Durbin and Hall three years ago was as follows:

Durbin, Hall,	
Blair county,	1281 2734
Cambria,	2070 1591
Clearfield,	1382 1219
	5910 6312
Add Durbin's vote,	4733 5514
	5910

Total vote in 1859, 10,077

For Wallace and Hall, at the recent election, the vote was as follows:

Hall, Wallace,	
Blair county,	3064 1385
Cambria county,	1601 2680
Clearfield county,	1245 2247
	5910 6312
Add Hall's vote,	5910

Total vote in 1862, 12,222

Total vote in 1859, 10,077

Increase, 2145

It is thus seen that 2145 more votes were polled for Wallace and Hall in 1862, when Hall was defeated, than were polled for Hall and Durbin in 1859, when Hall was elected.

In 1860, when the soldiers were all at home, and when we had the heaviest vote ever polled in the district, the total vote was 12,778.

Total vote in 1862, 12,222

Showing but 553

less when the soldiers were away than when they were all at home.

With what justice, therefore, can the Republicans attribute their late disastrous defeat to the absence of the soldiers, half of whom, at the very least, were Democrats before they entered the service, and if the letter from which the following extract is taken, is any evidence, Democrats elected Simon pure Abolitionists, while Scott, Crane and Worley were banished off the track by both parties, and are now ready to unite their forces with Torrey, Knox, Hickman and the rest of the Abolition Union Smashers. We hope, however, that their labors in attempting to overthrow the Republic will prove as insignificant and futile as their efforts to destroy the Democratic party.

Election day passed quietly by. Very few votes were polled in our regiment, owing probably to the fact that but one kind of ticket (Republican) was furnished us. We have all changed our policy since last year, and do not care what ticket now.

Having now "published the figures," as requested by the Tribune, may we not ask the same favor at the hands of the editors of that paper. We have no doubt their readers would consider such an exhibition really "comical," and would not fail to see precisely "where the laugh should come in."

The FARMER'S CONSOLATION.—We take the following remarks from the Harrisburg Telegraph:

The Pennsylvania delegation in the House of Representatives of the Thirty-Eighth Congress will stand as follows:

Democrats.	Abolitionists.
Sam'l J. Randall,	2. Chas. O'Neill,
Joh D. Stiles,	3. Leonidas Myers,
S. E. Ancona,	4. Wm. D. Kelly,
Myer Strous,	5. M. R. Thayer,
Philip Johnson,	6. J. M. Broome,
Chas. Denison,	7. J. M. Broome,
Wm. M. Miller,	8. Thad. Stevens,
Joseph Bailey,	9. Amos Myers,
A. H. Coffroth,	10. J. K. Moorhead,
Arch. McAlister,	11. Thos. Williams,
John L. Dawson,	12. H. W. Tracy,
Jesse Lazear,	13. James T. Hale.

Democrats, 12; Abolitionists, 10; Independents, 2.

A SAN-STORY.—The Hartford (Conn.) Press relates that between three and four weeks ago the wife of Mr. George L. Ford, of Glastonbury, Ct., who has mourned unceasingly over the loss of two children three or four years ago, and had recently been troubled with church difficulties, spiritualism, &c., and was in poor health, became violently insane. Her father and mother—Mr. Lucius Talcott and wife—came to assist her husband in taking care of her, and after four days and nights of incessant care, Mr. Ford and Mr. Talcott and wife also became insane—through a sort of mesmeric influence, Mr. Ford thinks—and all four were shouting and breaking all the furniture in the house. The neighbors removed Mr. Ford from the presence of his wife, when he became rational again and remained so. Mrs. Ford was removed to the Insane Retreat, Mr. Talcott and wife were taken there a few days later, and Mr. Talcott has since died. All the parties are of respectability and property. Mr. Talcott and wife were spiritualists.

"Good!"

Mr. Seward, in a circular addressed to our diplomatic and consular agents abroad, says: "You will see that the financial situation of the country is good!"—This is a "good joke." Greenbacks 30 per cent, below par here, and utterly worthless everywhere else. No sale for Government stocks abroad, and our own people dropping them and investing in State and other local stocks; foreign exchange nearly 50 per cent; the soldiers unpaid for some six months, and half naked at that; Secretary Chase paying 20 per cent, for gold to pay interest to the creditors of the Government; gold being contracted for (in Buffalo), to be delivered six months hence, at forty-five per cent premium—and the financial situation of the country is good!" Since Mr. Seward declared that the rebellion would be suppressed in six weeks, he has not uttered anything so gloomily "good" as this.

The President has appointed Hon. David Davis of Illinois, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Republican majority, 188

Here we see that, in 1860, the "political"

#### Important to Soldiers.

The following circular has been issued from the Adjutant General's office at Washington:

Numerous applications are addressed to this office relative to the services of deceased officers and soldiers, and fraudulent claims have been facilitated by information procured from the public offices. To guard against such impositions and secure the rights of discharged soldiers, or heirs of deceased soldiers, no information as to the service, discharge or death of deceased officers or soldiers will be furnished except to those who shall show themselves entitled to it. Hence, in applications for information, where it can be used as a basis of a claim against the government, or to the prejudice of innocent persons, the following conditions must be complied with:

1st. That the identity of soldiers must be proved.

2d. Heirs and representatives must show that they are such. In the cases where the proof may be affidavit from creditable and disinterested persons, certified to be such by an acting justice or notary, those official character should also be made to appear.

3d. Where no agent acts, he must produce his authority in each individual case coupled with proof, and that of the party who empowers him in the matter above indicated;

4th. Where the object is to obtain pay or allowances, the applications must be made to the officer of the government under whose direction payment would be made. Where the officer is satisfied of the right of the claimant, he will call on the Adjutant General for information necessary to perfect the claim, which, if found on the records, will be furnished to him, but not to the party concerned.

5th. Where the affidavits or otherwise evidence proffered from a foreign country, the official character of the magistrate or acting officer before whom they are taken must be verified by a minister or consul of the United States resident in the country where such evidence originates, the verification to be in all cases under the hand and official seal of such minister or consul.

6th. Applications for certificates under the seal of the War Department will be made in foreign countries will only be entertained when coming from the highest representative of a foreign country through the Department of State. All the facts connected with the subject of inquiry should be communicated, particularly the full name, rank and regiment and company of the soldier when and where he was last heard from, and the names of the officers under whom he served.

L. THOMAS, Adjutant Gen.

A New test of Loyalty.

As conclusive proof of the desperation with which the Abolitionists struggled in this country in the late election, we need only state the fact that, in the township of Athens, the Abolition board attempted to burn the Constitution, his vote would be received. This of course, Mr. Depue objected, when his vote was refused. He left the polls, but was called back, and informed that if he would swear to support the Constitution, his vote would be received