

# The Clearfield Republican.



CLEARFIELD, PA.

Wednesday Morning July 3, 1861.

## Who are "Traitors?"

We again ask this question for the purpose of calling attention to an article in the last *Journal*, as also to the extract from one of the last speeches made by the late Senator Douglas in the United States Senate, as given in the letter of C. L. Vail, landholder, member of Congress from Ohio, and which will be found on our outside page.

Self respect might, perhaps, deter us from taking notice of an article so mean and contemptible—so false and slanderous—as this production of the traitor. It turns grind out the editorials of the *Journal*; but we must not fail to call the attention of the people to the fact that in this article the late suspension of the writ of *Habeas Corpus* in Baltimore, and the seizure by the authority of the government, of the secret correspondence in the telegraph offices, are *uncovered without qualification*. We want our fellow citizens to stick a pin here. These gentlemen who were such sturdy advocates of "free men, free speech, and freedom," last fall—and who are not willing to join them in a war of extermination against the Southern States—under the pretext of "enforcing the laws"—are the defenders of the most high-handed and dangerous usurpation ever perpetrated in this country since the downfall of British power in the then colonies.

Do our readers understand this *Habeas Corpus case*? Let us explain: A Mr. Merriman was arrested by order of Gen. Caldwell, on the charge of assisting to destroy railroad bridges, and by other means obstructing the passage of U. S. troops through Baltimore, and held as a prisoner in Fort McHenry. His friends applied to the U. S. Court and procured a writ of *Habeas Corpus* not to have the prisoner "released," as the *Journal* says, but to inquire into the cause of his arrest, and to afford him an opportunity to establish his innocence, or to defend himself against the charges made against him. The U. S. Marshal, with the rest, proceeded to the Fort, and was denied admittance, by Gen. Caldwell, who afterwards informed the Comt that the President had authorized him to disregard or to suspend the writ of *Habeas Corpus*.

This we believe to be a precisely correct statement of the case.

Marshal law was not then, and has not yet been proclaimed in Baltimore—that is, that the only protest or apology yet offered for this setting aside of the civil power is that it was a "military necessity." However, let the people judge from the facts. But even if necessary, Congress alone, and not the President, and much less "our military commander," has power to suspend this shield of American liberty.

Such is a specimen of the "freedom" so much of which was promised last fall.

But our chief object in noticing this article, which gets out with a remark attributed to the late Judge Douglas, is to call the special attention of our readers to extracts from the speech of the same Judge Douglas, in the Senate on the 1st of March last. Many one doubts the genuineness of this speech; then can remove these doubts by turning to a Correspondent of *Globe* of March 15, page 1531. Judge Douglas never uttered more solemn or more truthful words. "The only way to treat the traitors is to subdue and punish them," says the *Journal*. Says Douglas, "war is disunion." "The policy of making any sort of compromise with them, is a policy to break up the Union."

THE FIRST DISASTER.—A special election for a member of Congress in the 2d district, in place of E. Joy Morris, sent on a foreign mission, took place yesterday. The district embraces the old city proper of Philadelphia. Two candidates were notifying these gentlemen that the time of their service was needed had arrived, when lo, and behold, but a single publican; Col. C. J. Biddle, Democrat—Bressler, of Clinton county, responded to the call.

Our readers will remember that a flourish of trumpets was made over the alleged fact that at the adjournment of the extra session of the Legislature—after voting an appropriation of three millions of dollars of the people's money for war purposes, and performing many other base acts,—seventy-two members formed themselves into a company of volunteers, pledging themselves to be ready at a moment's notice to march to the battle-field.

This was a wonderful display of patriotism, and the fact was proclaimed throughout the length and breadth of the land, that the law-makers of Pennsylvania had resolved to risk their own precious lives in the execution of the laws. But unfortunately Gov. CURTIS took all the poetry out of this little episode the other day, by notifying these gentlemen that the time of Philadelphia. Two candidates were when their service was needed had arrived, when lo, and behold, but a single publican; Col. Biddle is now near Cumberland, Md., in command of the "huck tail" regiment,

## Who are "Traitors?"

"Trust no man who now talks of hushing up this treason by a compromise with the rebels."

"The policy of making any sort of compromise or parley with them, is a policy to break up the Union."—*Journal*.

The same paper also asserts that he who even suggests "compromise" is a "traitor," or is at "least in sympathy with traitors."

There is especially backbone in this, and our neighbor will soon be hushing his own dog-tar, Greely himself, for even Greely is in favor of compromise "under certain circumstances." Nor will he stop with Greely; but the necks of a very large majority of the people would be in danger if the latter, &c., were quite certain that any plan by which this exhorting and unnatural can be brought to an end, whether it be called a "compromise" or any thing else, would be heartily sanctioned by the American people.

But who are and who are not for compromise?

There are three classes who are for war to the bitter end! They are:

First.—The blind, infatuated and fanatical Abolitionists, who want the Union dissolved in order that "slavery may be driven from the Continent."

Second.—Practical revolutionists, mostly from Europe, who are always "calling for a fight."

Third.—Army-supply contractors, who are filling their pockets by fleecing the government and people.

Of the other classes, embracing seven-eights of the whole population, we venture to say that four-fifths of them will raise their voices any day in favor of my "compromise" or "parley" that will restore peace to the nation, return to their destitute and suffering families the thousands of soldiers now in the service of their country, and give a hope, no matter how faint it may be, that these States may be again united, and our glorious Union again resume its place, the first among the nations of the earth. But, says the *Journal*, "TRUST NO MAN WHO TALKS" of a "compromise"—all such are either "traitors" or in "sympathy with them."

The plain English of this language is, that this war must be prosecuted until the rebels are crushed, no matter at what cost of blood and treasure. It will cost ten thousand millions of money, a million of human lives, and destroy the last vestige of Republican liberty, no matter, the war must go on.

There can be no possible end to it until its object—which may be impossible—is accomplished; and he who even suggests a "compromise," or "parley," or cessation of hostilities, no matter how patriotic his motives, "IS A TRAITOR," and must be handed over to the

President without judge or jury.

"Ah, but this speech was uttered before the surrender of Fort Sumter, and Judge Douglas changed his opinion since then," says the *Journal*.

We disbelieve the alleged change of mind on the part of that great statesman. He was always the friend of the Union, and if now living, his voice would resound throughout the length and breadth of the land in favor of any "compromise" or measure calculated to save the Union and avert further bloodshed.

THE PROMISE CORRUPT.—Horace Greeley blames *the thousand millions of dollars* as not an extravagant estimate for the expenses of the year, and says the Congress which is to meet tomorrow should make provision for raising this sum. "Iron," the Washington correspondent of the Baltimore *Advertiser*, is less extravagant, but furnishes data for his conclusion, as follows:

"Still there may be a limit to the struggle in its present form. That limit cannot probably be reached in less than two years—and the term of peace must be a political factor for the elect of representatives to the next Congress; that is, to the second Congress of the newly-created Confederation. That Congress cannot be called together at an earlier day, probably, than the 1st of June. The present Congress is likely to adjourn on account of peace on the 1st of June, or the 1st of July. Every calculation as to the duration of the war must be made with reference to the political character of the Congress that meets in two years hence."

Colonel Jackson referred to the United States may also be made for no less than two years. Considering the great amount of naval and military preparations, the cost of the war for two years cannot fall short of eight hundred millions. Even if the government did not begin the war at the usual point, there will be a loss of interest per cent. on the amount of the aggregate value of property held in the U. S. at \$100,000,000,000, and that the people will gradually and easily pay a fifth of this sum in peace time, the war, but they cannot be easily dispensed with, as an estimate of the expense of the people to pay for a general upon the continent, before the present disturbance subsides in the country."

This would be quite a formidable national debt to burthen the people with, even in the best of times, and when our country was united and in the full tide of prosperity. But what will it be after we shall have exhausted and prostrated every business enterprise? Henceforth a national debt of an hundred millions, was looked upon as rather a heavy burthen. We were then a united people, and prosperous.

THE EXTRA SESSION OF CONGRESS.—The extra session of Congress will meet at Washington to-morrow. It is announced that the President's message will urge a vigorous prosecution of the war, and discountenance any effort looking to a peaceful settlement.

A MOTHER AND TWO DAUGHTERS DROWNED.—A letter from Mendota, Illinois.

The wife of G. F. D. Conkey, and two daughters, of the age of twelve and thirteen, respectively, were out visiting some friends at Homer, some six miles distant from this place. During their absence a heavy rain and rain storm deluged the country, and swelled Mud Creek, about midway between the two places, beyond its banks. They started for home in company with her brother, some time after the storm had subsided, and reached the stream about dark; but on running in the violence of the water overturned the buggy and received its inmates into its angry embrace. The mother and younger daughter were immediately carried under and drowned; the uncle struggled to save the older daughter, but being unable to do so barely saved his own life. The corpse of all were found last night, and now lie side by side on the same bed in their own dwelling.

SAY I SAY JOHN, WHERE DID YOU GET THAT ROGUE'S HAT?—Please your honor," said John, "its one of yours that Misses gave me yesterday, when you went to town."

THE WORK OF BUILDING ONE OF THE new sloops of war has been commenced at the Philadelphia navy-yard.

## The Journal vs. Judge Douglass

From the Clearfield Journal of June 26, 1861.

In this spirit the government has been acting; and in this spirit the majority of our citizens of all parties have responded.—The object is to crush the rebellion in the shortest possible time. This is the only way to save the Union, & to do it we must be prepared to sacrifice the rights of individuals and give up the principles of justice.

I have too much respect for Judge Douglass to believe, for one moment, that there is a man who is not a man, nor is he not a man of principle, nor is he not a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.

He is a man of principle, and a man of conscience.