

Local Items.

THE SCHEPPE CASE.

Application for Pardon.

REPORT BEFORE THE GOVERNOR.

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

Public argument was made on the

before the Governor and

Attorney General at Harrisburg on an

application for a pardon in this case. As it

is the first time such an argument has

been made, and on account of the great

interest in the case, it is interesting to

know the details of the proceedings.

On Thursday, November 25, 1899,

at 10 o'clock, A. M., in the

conference room of the Governor,

at Harrisburg, Pa., the following

gentlemen were present:

Gov. Dutton, Esq., counsel of the

prisoner, and W. H. Miller, Esq.,

one of the counsel for the prisoner.

Mr. Dutton presented to the Governor

the following papers and documents:

A pamphlet, published by

the columns of the *Carle's Herald*,

containing an account of the

proceedings of the trial of the

prisoner, and a copy of the

report of the medical commission

of Philadelphia, the opinion of the

College of Physicians of Philadelphia,

the opinion of the University of

Pennsylvania, the opinion of the

Medical-Legal Society of

the city of Philadelphia, the

opinion of the University of

Washington and Baltimore, and

of the county medical societies

of Cumberland, Luzerne and

Allegheny counties.

These opinions and memorials were

read and the following remarks

were made by the Governor:

"I have no doubt that the

prisoner is innocent, and that

the evidence against him is

wholly unconvincing. I have

no doubt that the prisoner is

innocent, and that the evidence

against him is wholly unconvincing.

I have no doubt that the

prisoner is innocent, and that

the evidence against him is

wholly unconvincing. I have

no doubt that the prisoner is

innocent, and that the evidence

against him is wholly unconvincing.

I have no doubt that the

prisoner is innocent, and that

the evidence against him is

wholly unconvincing. I have

no doubt that the prisoner is

innocent, and that the evidence

against him is wholly unconvincing.

I have no doubt that the

prisoner is innocent, and that

the evidence against him is

wholly unconvincing. I have

no doubt that the prisoner is

had a motive to commit murder, if

the will was genuine; but I cannot

ascertain any actual motive, if the

will was forged. If the prisoner knew

that Miss Stinebeck had made a will in

his name, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of the will was genuine; but I cannot

ascertain any actual motive, if the

will was forged. If the prisoner knew

that Miss Stinebeck had made a will in

his name, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

moment she might change her mind and

cancel it; and that, instead of being

in favor of her, he would be in favor

of her, he would know that at any

COURT PROCEEDINGS.—The regular

session of the Court commenced on

Monday, 8th inst., before Judge

Grubb and Associate Judges Stuart and

Blair. The following cases were tried

in the court of COMMON PLEAS:

Peter Spahr vs. Wm. Parks; appeal

from a judgment of the Court of

Common Pleas, in which the plaintiff

was awarded judgment for the

plaintiff for \$100.00. Judgment

affirmed. Maglaughlin for

plaintiff; Shearer for defendant.

H. J. Burkholder vs. W. M. Beeson's

administrators.—Action on the

part of a promissory note, for

\$100.00. Judgment for the

plaintiff for \$100.00. Judgment

affirmed. Penrose, Miller, and

Spangler for plaintiff; Henderson

and Hays for defendant.

QUARTER SESSIONS, AND OVER

AND TERMINER.

Commonwealth vs. Edward Warren,

defendant.—A true bill—defendant

guilty, and is sentenced to restore

the property, pay a fine of six cents and

costs, and to be imprisoned for

three months. Maglaughlin for

plaintiff; Shearer for defendant.

Commonwealth vs. Charles Butler, Robbery—

larceny, receiving stolen goods.—In

the case of Butler, the defendant

was sentenced to imprisonment

for two years, and to restore the

property stolen. Maglaughlin for

prosecution; Shearer for

defendant.

Commonwealth vs. Michael Kenan.—Bribe and unlawful

assembly. Verdict not guilty, and

Henry Fowler to pay one half the

costs, and to be imprisoned for

three months. Maglaughlin for

prosecution; Shearer for

defendant.

Commonwealth vs. Nathaniel Sawtelle.—False

promise. Verdict not guilty, and

Henry Fowler to pay one half the

costs, and to be imprisoned for

three months. Maglaughlin for

prosecution; Shearer for

defendant.

Commonwealth vs. David Parker, Surety for

defendant.—The Court dismissed

the prosecution and directed the

parties to pay their own costs.

Commonwealth vs. Thomas Maglaughlin for

prosecution; Shearer for

defendant.

Commonwealth vs. Kate Sims, Perjury.

Verdict not guilty, and

Henry Fowler to pay one half the

costs, and to be imprisoned for

three months. Maglaughlin for

prosecution; Shearer for

defendant.

Commonwealth vs. Harriet Beck & James