

AMERICAN VOLUNTEER.

JOHN B. BRITTON, Editor & Proprietor. CARLISLE, PA., MARCH 25, 1868.

Democratic State Nominations.

WM. A. PORTER, Of Philadelphia. WESLEY FROST, Of Fayette Co.

We return our thanks to Hon. William Bigler and Hon. John A. Ahl, for Congressional favors, also to Messrs. Fetter and Stuart for Legislative documents.

The First of April.—General moving day is coming on, and many of our subscribers will doubtless be moving from one point to another.

The Acquittal of Col. Sumner.—The statement that Col. Sumner had been acquitted of all the charges and specifications on which he was lately tried by court-martial at Carlisle, is confirmed by official publication of the findings of the court.

FINAL ADJOURNMENTS.—The House of Representatives at Washington, has passed a resolution fixing the first Monday of June as the time for the final adjournment of the present session of Congress.

The Committee of Conference of the two branches of our State Legislature, have agreed upon Thursday, the 22d of April, as the day for their final adjournment.

BITTEN BY A MAD DOG.—We learn from the Harrisburg Herald that on Friday morning last, very early, a farmer named William Hummel, living on the road some distance above Harrisburg, was bitten by a mad dog in the following manner: About 1 o'clock he heard his dog making a great noise, and went down stairs for the purpose of ascertaining what was the cause of it.

OUR BOROUGH ELECTION. Our Borough election passed off very quietly on Friday last. Below we give the official returns, by which it will be seen that our opponents have elected the Borough officers and also a majority of Councilmen.

- CHIEF BURGESS. Republican Ticket. Robert Irvine, Jr., 273 | William Cart, 322. ASSISTANT BURGESS. Andrew Martin, 274 | Francis Eckels, 322. ASSESSOR. Geo. W. Sheaffer, 250 | Henry Myers, 375. ASSISTANT ASSESSORS. Peter Gutschall, 300 | Samuel Wetzel, 348. J. C. Nell, 266 | G. G. Murray, 325. AUDITOR. E. D. Quigley, 278 | R. M. Hantich, 322. EAST WARD. TOWN COUNCIL. Peter Moyer, 206 | John Gutschall, 171. Michael Munch, 178 | Franklin Gardner, 143. Michael Holcomb, 162 | Samuel C. Luyett, 133. John Fagan, 161 | Wilson Bosler, 150. SCHOOL DIRECTOR. Philip Quigley, 192 | J. S. Colwell, 164. JUDGE OF ELECTION. Jap. Corman, 177 | William Bentz, 150. INSPECTOR. William Gipp, 180 | R. M. Black, 144. CONSTABLE. Jas. Underwood, 112 | R. M. Cartney, 211. WEST WARD. TOWN COUNCIL. W. McMullen, 110 | Jno. B. Parker, 208. Geo. S. Smith, 92 | W. Cameron, 194. W. L. Heller, 82 | Jno. D. Gargas, 194. S. Eschminger, 80 | Robert Moore, 192. Henry Gould, 70 | Jas. M. Allen, 188. SCHOOL DIRECTOR. Geo. Bettem, 75 | O. P. Humrich, 219. JUDGE OF ELECTION. Jas. Spottswood, 93 | T. B. Thompson, 199. INSPECTOR. Tho. P. Hackett, 100 | Jacob Bretz, 193. JUSTICE OF THE PEACE. Jacob Squier, 60 | A. J. Sponser, 224. CONSTABLE. [No opposition.] Jno. Henninger, 192.

PUNCTUALITY.

If you desire to enjoy life, avoid unpunctual people. They impede business and poison pleasure. Make it a rule not only to be punctual—but a little beforehand.—Exchange.

Mr. G. Packer has pardoned Thomas Berry, formerly of Greensburg, who, with a number of others, was convicted at Carlisle, in November, 1856, of riot, and sentenced to two years and nine months imprisonment in the Eastern Penitentiary.

REMOVED BY ADDRESS. In the ancient Commonwealth of Massachusetts judges are removed by address. That is to say, both branches of the Legislature unite in an address, requesting the Governor to remove a judge from office.

REMOVED BY ADDRESS. Judge Lorenzo has been removed by Gov. Banks; and if anybody outside of fanatical Massachusetts ever had confidence in the integrity of the present Executive of that State, they must now be convinced that they were mistaken in his character.

THE KANSAS ELECTION OF JANUARY.—Recent information from Kansas removes all doubt as to the election of the Free State ticket, and therefore Gen. Calhoun has declared his intention of giving certificates accordingly.

THE FIRST OF APRIL.

This notable period of time, which is redolent of bonds, mortgages, notes, money to lend and money to borrow, is tampering on our heels, and those of us who are in debt will have to stir our stumps or we shall be in a sad dilemma.

THE FIRST OF APRIL. Dear me, dear me, I shudder, As the thought runs through my brain To think the first of April Is about to come again.

THE NEW LICENSE LAW. The special committee of the House of Representatives reported a bill on Thursday last, remodelling the license law of the State.

THE DUTY OF DEMOCRATS. The Perry County Democrat, an Anti-Lecompton paper, in an able editorial, after commenting upon the exciting subject of Kansas now pending in the country, and alluding to the action of the State Convention, uses the following sensible and patriotic language.

LATER FROM CALIFORNIA.—By the arrival at New York from Astoria of the steamship Star of the West, we have later news from California, Oregon, and the Pacific regions generally. The steamer brings a million and a quarter of dollars in gold.

THE KANSAS ELECTION OF JANUARY.—Recent information from Kansas removes all doubt as to the election of the Free State ticket, and therefore Gen. Calhoun has declared his intention of giving certificates accordingly.

DISGRACEFUL SCENE.

The revelations furnished in the printed report of the appraisers of the assets of the Bank of Pennsylvania have, naturally enough, excited the attention of the public, and called forth unqualified condemnation from the guilty authors of the wholesale fraud practiced upon the community.

THE MONTGOMERY IRON WORKS, says the Danville Democrat, will be partially put in operation in a few days, by a committee of the creditors. Arrangements to that effect have been made, and there will be no mistake about it this time.

THE MONTGOMERY IRON WORKS, says the Danville Democrat, will be partially put in operation in a few days, by a committee of the creditors. Arrangements to that effect have been made, and there will be no mistake about it this time.

THE MONTGOMERY IRON WORKS, says the Danville Democrat, will be partially put in operation in a few days, by a committee of the creditors. Arrangements to that effect have been made, and there will be no mistake about it this time.

THE MONTGOMERY IRON WORKS, says the Danville Democrat, will be partially put in operation in a few days, by a committee of the creditors. Arrangements to that effect have been made, and there will be no mistake about it this time.

THE MONTGOMERY IRON WORKS, says the Danville Democrat, will be partially put in operation in a few days, by a committee of the creditors. Arrangements to that effect have been made, and there will be no mistake about it this time.

THE COURT MARTIAL OF COL. E. V. SUMNER.

THE COURT MARTIAL OF COL. E. V. SUMNER. GENERAL ORDERS. No. 2. I. At the general court martial which convened at Carlisle barracks, Pennsylvania, pursuant to a Special Order No. 83 of March 2, 1868, from the War Department, and of which Brevet Major General Thomas S. Jessup, quartermaster general, is president, was arraigned and tried Colonel Edwin V. Sumner, of the first regiment of cavalry, on the following charges and specifications:

CHARGE I.—Conduct to the prejudice of good order and military discipline. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did at Syracuse, New York, on the 18th of January, 1857, attempt to make a personal attack upon the person of an official messenger, who was in the discharge of his duty, and who was accompanied by a general court martial which inquired of him in regard to his prejudice or bias against said Sumner.

CHARGE II.—Sending a challenge to another officer to fight a duel, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—

CHARGE III.—Upraising another officer for refusing a challenge, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did, at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—

CHARGE IV.—Upraising another officer for refusing a challenge, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did, at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—

CHARGE V.—Upraising another officer for refusing a challenge, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did, at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—

THE BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA EXPOSED.

THE BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA EXPOSED. The revelations furnished in the printed report of the appraisers of the assets of the Bank of Pennsylvania have, naturally enough, excited the attention of the public, and called forth unqualified condemnation from the guilty authors of the wholesale fraud practiced upon the community.

THE BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA EXPOSED. The revelations furnished in the printed report of the appraisers of the assets of the Bank of Pennsylvania have, naturally enough, excited the attention of the public, and called forth unqualified condemnation from the guilty authors of the wholesale fraud practiced upon the community.

THE BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA EXPOSED. The revelations furnished in the printed report of the appraisers of the assets of the Bank of Pennsylvania have, naturally enough, excited the attention of the public, and called forth unqualified condemnation from the guilty authors of the wholesale fraud practiced upon the community.

THE BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA EXPOSED. The revelations furnished in the printed report of the appraisers of the assets of the Bank of Pennsylvania have, naturally enough, excited the attention of the public, and called forth unqualified condemnation from the guilty authors of the wholesale fraud practiced upon the community.

THE BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA EXPOSED. The revelations furnished in the printed report of the appraisers of the assets of the Bank of Pennsylvania have, naturally enough, excited the attention of the public, and called forth unqualified condemnation from the guilty authors of the wholesale fraud practiced upon the community.

THE BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA EXPOSED. The revelations furnished in the printed report of the appraisers of the assets of the Bank of Pennsylvania have, naturally enough, excited the attention of the public, and called forth unqualified condemnation from the guilty authors of the wholesale fraud practiced upon the community.

THE COURT MARTIAL OF COL. E. V. SUMNER.

THE COURT MARTIAL OF COL. E. V. SUMNER. GENERAL ORDERS. No. 2. I. At the general court martial which convened at Carlisle barracks, Pennsylvania, pursuant to a Special Order No. 83 of March 2, 1868, from the War Department, and of which Brevet Major General Thomas S. Jessup, quartermaster general, is president, was arraigned and tried Colonel Edwin V. Sumner, of the first regiment of cavalry, on the following charges and specifications:

CHARGE I.—Conduct to the prejudice of good order and military discipline. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did at Syracuse, New York, on the 18th of January, 1857, attempt to make a personal attack upon the person of an official messenger, who was in the discharge of his duty, and who was accompanied by a general court martial which inquired of him in regard to his prejudice or bias against said Sumner.

CHARGE II.—Sending a challenge to another officer to fight a duel, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—

CHARGE III.—Upraising another officer for refusing a challenge, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did, at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—

CHARGE IV.—Upraising another officer for refusing a challenge, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did, at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—

CHARGE V.—Upraising another officer for refusing a challenge, in violation of the 25th of the articles of war. Specification.—In this, that he, the said Sumner, did, at Washington, D. C., on the 16th of February, 1858, upon account of said answer by said Sumner to said court martial, send a challenge to said Sumner to fight a duel, in words as follows:—