

THE VOLUNTEER.

John B. Bratton, Editor and Proprietor. CARLEISE, APRIL 15, 1852.

Presidential Electors.

- GEORGE W. WOODWARD, of Luzerne. WILSON MCANDLESS, of Allegheny. ROBERT PATTERSON, of Philadelphia. PETER LOGAN, of Philadelphia. GEORGE H. MARTIN, of Philadelphia.

FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER.

WILLIAM SEARIGHT, of Fayette.

The very lengthy letter of Mr. Bonham has compelled us to omit many articles intended for today's paper.

Hon. James X. McLanahan, House of Representatives, Washington, will accept our thanks for the receipt of a bond copy of the document "Commerce and Navigation."

Court.—The April term of our Court of Quarter Session, &c., opened in this place on Monday last, before Hon. James H. Graham, President Judge, and his Associate Judges, Woodman and Rurr.

LETTERS FROM MR. BONHAM.—In another column we publish a letter from Mr. Bonham, in answer to our remarks last week on the subject of the application that is being made by the Bank of Pennsylvania to be released from taxation, to which we invite attention.

Wm. Henry Miller, Esq., of Bloomfield, Perry county, has been appointed Aid to his Excellency Gov. Bigler, with the rank of Lieutenant Colonel. A very meritorious appointment. The top of the morning to you, Colonel.

APPOINTMENT BY GOV. BIGLER.—Mr. Samuel Cary of Carlisle, to be Aid to the Governor, with the rank of Lieutenant Colonel.

TAXING MONEY AT INTEREST FOR BOROUGH PURPOSES.—We are glad to learn that the bill introduced by Mr. Bonham, to tax money at interest belonging to citizens of Carlisle, for borough purposes, has passed both Houses, been signed by the Governor, and is therefore a law.

THE NEW TOWN COON.—The New Town Coon, club of Carlisle held their first meeting at the Council chamber on Saturday evening, and organized by the election of Col. Armstrong Nonis as President, and Thomas D. Moxon as Secretary.

BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA.—We stated in our last that this institution was applying to the Legislature to be exempted from the tax laws to which other banks are subjected.

HEALTH OF MR. CLAY.—A Washington letter says that Mr. Clay, after passing through a stage of encouraging improvement, begins to sink again, and to yield to the prostration of his physical energies.

The New York City Democrats have declared for Gen. Cass.

GLORIOUS NEWS!

The recent elections that have taken place, "ahow which way the wind blows," and is ominous of the down-fall of whiggery. The days of humbuggery are past, and the "other second thought" of the people has shown a result which gives joy and gladness to every lover of his country.

Below we give the result in Connecticut and Rhode Island:

CONNECTICUT—ALL HAIL!

In this State Federalism is routed, horse, foot, and dragons—SEYMOUR, the Democratic candidate for Governor, having a majority over all the other candidates, and a large majority of Democrats being elected to the Legislature.

RHODE ISLAND.

The election for State officers was recently held in Rhode Island, and ALLEN, Democrat, was elected Governor by over four hundred majority.

THE PRESIDENT.

Missouri—Missouri has appointed delegates to the Democratic National Convention, and instructed them for Gen. Cass for President.

New York.—A letter dated Albany, April 8, says—The Democratic State Convention, to day nominated Horatio Seymour, of Ulster, and John B. Skinner, of Wyoming, Delegates at large to represent the State in the Baltimore Convention, without instructions.

MARYLAND.—At a caucus of the Democratic members of the Maryland Legislature, held on Tuesday evening, resolutions were adopted, asserting that Gen. Cass is the preferred candidate of the Democrats of Maryland for the next Presidency.

THE COMPROMISE MEASURES.—The vote of the House of Representatives on the subject of the compromise measures is highly satisfactory, and we hope will prevent any future efforts from being made to revive agitation on the subject.

Resolved, That we recognize the binding efficacy of the Compromises of the Constitution, and believe it to be the intention of the people generally, as we hereby declare it to be ours individually, to abide by such Compromises, and to sustain the laws necessary to carry them out—the provision for the delivery of fugitive slaves, and the set of the last Congress for that purpose, included; and that we deprecate all further agitation of questions growing out of the provisions of the Constitution, and the acts of the last Congress known as the Compromise, and of questions generally connected with the institution of slavery, as unnecessary, useless, and dangerous.

Resolved, That the series of acts passed during the first session of the 31st Congress, known as the Compromises, are regarded as a final adjustment and a permanent settlement of the questions therein embraced, and should be maintained and executed as such.

Mr. Jackson's was adopted by the following vote:

Table with columns for Affirmative and Negative votes for Mr. Jackson's resolution.

The votes of Gov. Bigler have not only received the commendation of the partisan press of the State, but elicited the applause of most of our neutral journals.

TRADE IN KENTUCKY.—An affray occurred in Clay county, Ky., on the 27th ult., between Stephen Robertson and Graham Bowling, in which Robertson was stabbed slightly. Bowling was shot in the abdomen, and died in about twenty four hours.

Neal Dow, the author of the Maine Law, was defeated at the election for Mayor of Portland, on Tuesday, by Abner R. Parry, the Democratic candidate.

The Union men of Augusta, Geo., have resolved not to send delegates to the Baltimore Convention.

FIFTY MILLIONS A YEAR!

When such a Whig as Truman Smith, Senator from Connecticut, says—"the verily believe that corruption and extravagance have increased just about in the same proportion as the increase of our expenditures—more than one hundred per cent!"—since Whigs came into power, it is surely time for the Democrats to speak out in thunder tones against the demerit of that party, and call upon the people to elect them from power.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

When I came into Congress the received opinion amounted under the late administration, that the Government should in no event, exceed about twenty one millions of dollars per annum; and I remember very well that the expenditures by Gen. Jackson's and Mr. Van Buren's administrations, of about that sum, was what usually denominated the Harrison campaign, held up a contrast with the expenditures of the administration under John Quincy Adams, as being perfectly enormous and in the highest degree corrupt.

CALIFORNIA NEWS.

The Crescent City arrived at New York on Tuesday night with a million and a half of gold dust and 240 passengers, among whom are the four delegates to the Whig National Convention.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Whigs held their State Convention at Sacramento on the 27th February, to choose delegates to the National Convention, and prepare for the November Campaign.

The Bank of Pennsylvania.

Letter from Mr. Bonham. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Harrisburg, April 13, 1852.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

DEAR SIR—I have read, with some interest, your article on my course in relation to the Bank of Pennsylvania, in your last paper.

of this bank, until 1850, twenty years after the charter was granted.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.

It is no argument to say, as Mr. Purviance (of whom personally and professionally I entertain high respect) has said, and whose remarks on the subject before the Committee on Finance of the Senate, you have embraced in your article—that the Bank itself had a portion of the stock, (two fifths I believe) up until 1834—for that was no reason why the other three fifths of the stock should not be taxed on its dividends if the power existed.