


AMERICAN VOLUNTEER.

BY GEO. SANDERSON.



Now our flag is flung to the wild wind free, Let it float o'er our fatherland— And the guard of its spotless fame shall be, Columbia's chosen band.

CARLISLE:

THURSDAY, JANUARY 14, 1841.

FOR GOVERNOR IN 1841, DAVID R. PORTER.

Subject to the decision of a State Convention

"CLEANER" is unavoidably crowded out this week.

ELECTION OF DELEGATES.—By reference to the proceedings of the Standing Committee, published in another column, it will be perceived that Saturday the 30th inst. is fixed upon for the election of delegates to the County Convention which is to meet in the County Hall on the Tuesday following, for the purpose of appointing delegates to the State Convention. This is in accordance with the time-honored usages of the Democratic party in this county, and we call public attention to it thus early, that there may be a full representation from each borough and township in the County Convention. We do hope that the Democracy of the county will feel it to be their bounden duty to turn out at the Delegate elections, and elect well- tried and faithful men as their representatives who will honestly and fairly carry out their wishes. Let there be a full and free interchange of opinion in these primary assemblies, and let each and every individual consider that he is acting not only for himself but for the whole Democratic party of the State.

Governor's Message.

This important state paper will be found entire in this week's Volunteer, and we cannot too strongly recommend a careful perusal of it to all our readers. It is a plain, straight-forward, business-like document, worthy the head and heart of its author, and must meet the approbation of every unprejudiced mind in the community. The following notice of the message, from the Pennsylvania, so entirely accords with our views, that we cannot refrain from copying it for the special benefit of our readers:

"The Message will be found to be a plain, sensible document, ably written, and, to our view at least of the subjects upon which it treats, embodying principles of the soundest character. As regards banking generally, the Governor briefly but emphatically urges upon the Legislature the necessity of a reform of the system which has led to the present embarrassed state not only of the Banks themselves, but likewise of the whole country, and refers to his last annual Message for his sentiments at length in reference to this important matter. It will be found also that he is particularly desirous that suspension on the part of a Bank should be ipso facto a forfeiture of charter, that an effectual remedy may be found in Pennsylvania, if no where else, for troubles of this description. The ideas thrown out in reference to the State Debt, Internal Improvements, and other points of peculiar interest to the Commonwealth, are such, we think, as will receive a cordial response from the great body of the people. Any other course than that which he proposes would be productive of serious evils, to say nothing of the distinction which would be incurred should Pennsylvania prove false to her pledged faith. The embarrassments of the State are of the most serious description—difficulties beset her upon every side, and who have the control of her course, have a delicate and highly responsible duty to perform; but we must be careful that we do not, under the influence of temporary apprehensions, pursue any line of conduct that may hereafter be productive of regret.

The Message, though it does not go very fully into the important questions of currency, of which the Governor heretofore has given his impressions in an extended form, will be found a very interesting and creditable document, and will, we doubt not, be generally commended not by political friends alone, but by all who have the welfare of the Commonwealth at heart."

An article in the New York Standard shows, that the President elect has succeeded "by the skin of his teeth." Mr. Van Buren lost Maine by 416; New York by 13,993; Pennsylvania by 301; and New Jersey by 2,228—in all 16,232 votes.—The four States enumerated give 90 electoral votes—which added to the 60 he received, would make 150. "It results," says the Standard, "that in the face of all this tremendous boasting, all this mighty concentration of party and of pipe laying, Mr. Van Buren had received but 8,500 more votes in the above four States instead of Gen. Harrison, he would have been re-elected President, and had two electoral votes to spare."

Mr. INGERSOLL.—This gentleman is again contesting the right of Mr. CHARLES NAVLOR to a seat in the present Congress. By a vote of the House of Representatives, he was permitted to address that body in his own behalf. In alluding to his speech, the Globe of Thursday last says: "This gentleman, [Mr. Ingersoll,] in a few hours of the session yesterday, and to-day, opened the contested election case between Mr. Navlor and himself upon the testimony adduced before the Committee of Elections. It was not our fortune to hear Mr. I.; but from members who listened attentively to his whole statement, we learn that it was exceedingly exact and luminous, and his argument cogent and convincing. His speech equalled the expectation which his high talent created."

A. O. P. Nicholson, Esq. has been appointed by the Governor of Tennessee, U. S. Senator from that State, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of Mr. Grundy. Mr. N. is a thorough going democratic republican.

U. S. BANK.

This sickly concern held an election for directors on the 4th. The following persons were elected: Thomas Dunlap, James Martin, J. B. McIlvaine, George Handy, Thomas Fleming, Robert Ralston, Robert Taylor, Lawrence Lewis, P. L. Laguerre, Wm. M. Meredith, Matthew Newkirk, Richard Price, John Hemphill, James Robertson, Wm. Ayres, Dauphin; Samuel Jaudon, London; Harmon Danks, Pittsburg; John Steele, Chester; John C. Boyd, Danville; Jonathan Roberts, Montgomery county.

THOMAS DUNLAP, was re-chosen President. The deficit of the bank, says the Harrisburg Reporter, and the financial ability of its conductors, ought to be the delight of its stockholders, which they contemplate its statement. The deficit is about \$8,500,000!! The following article from the Philadelphia Spirit of the Times, is a very excellent condensation of the unmeaning and jumbled up figures of the balance sheet of the Bank.

UNITED STATES BANK.—A meeting of the stockholders of this "great regulator" was held in the Palace yesterday morning, when a report was made on its condition and prospects, which must prove quite gratifying to the "poor widows and orphans" whom it so generously feeds, and clothes, and comforts. The profits of the bank during the last six months amount, according to the report, to \$69,429 57. The current expenses of the same period are stated at \$138,859 97,—after deducting this sum, add the balance in favor of profit and loss, \$2,404,056 49, as reported by the former Dividend Committee, and it will appear that the profits of the Bank amount to \$3,465,036 41.—But, lest we should be run away with by such an absurd notion as this, the report rightly informs us that we must deduct a small item of \$1,308,561 89 paid for interest on "Loans in Europe," less on Foreign Exchange, cost of specie, &c. and another item of \$100,000, under the semi-annual appropriation to pay the "bonuses." We then have an aggregate statement of profits amounting to \$2,057,004 52, which, it must be confessed, looks very fair, but which, unfortunately, won't hold good through subsequent calculations.

The "contingent fund" appears to have been \$6,505,281 88, but as the losses chargeable to it are \$5,790,630 57 it dwindles down to \$714,651 31, and is completely lost sight of, when the following other losses are "taken to account." Estimated probable loss on the suspended debt and real estate, and the losses at agencies and offices, not transferred to the books; amounting, together, to \$5,658,496 56! Against this however we must place \$612,125 87 interest on that part of the suspended debt which is estimated good, and which, if ever obtained, will reduce the loss to \$5,146,370 69!!! By adding this loss to that chargeable to the "contingent fund," and subtracting "profits" from the amount, we will appear that the actual losses are \$8,879,936 74, or about one-fourth of the capital of the bank!!!

After reading the above, it will not appear at all remarkable that the following resolution was adopted by the delighted stockholders:— "Resolved, That it is inexpedient at this time to declare a dividend on the capital stock of this bank."

The New York Evening Post, referring to the recent correspondence in case of McLeod, between Mr. Fox and Mr. Forsyth, has the unkind:—"The firm and able answer of the American Secretary of State, appears to have commanded the approbation of men of all parties in the House of Representatives. That he who commits a homicide or an outrage upon property within our territories is to be protected from the tribunals of law because he acts by the command of the British authorities, is one of the grossest of all possible absurdities. Suppose a pickpocket could show a commission from Lord Melbourne, meet the President interfere to deliver him from the hands of the police officers. If an Englishman blows out the brains of an American citizen on American soil is his person to be held sacred from arrest because he can show a warrant from some officer of his Government."

This is an English passport to supercede the administration of our criminal laws! It would puzzle Mr. Fox, as Mr. Forsyth truly observes, to point out any principle of the law of nations which gives to one government powers of this kind within the territory of another.

In the mean time we perceive that McLeod has been charged against him, the bail will probably be returned and forfeited. If he was innocent, he will have the same advantages of a trial as are granted to an American citizen. The interference of the government of his country will probably end here. The British lion will be content with having shown his teeth."

The Washington correspondent of the New York Express, in referring to the same matter, holds the following language:

"The avowal of Mr. Fox that an act of arson, the burning of the Caroline at midnight, was an authorized act, was as bold a confession as ever came from a Highwayman giving testimony in behalf of the State. Had along the American minister at London, been pushing the British authorities for such a confession. But confession or denial have come till now, and the acknowledgment made, you see, is by authority, Mr. Fox speaking of the act done, as one "well known" to have been done under the sanction, if not the direction of the British Government. It may be that Mr. Fox, in the hope of saving one of her Majesty's subjects from a perpetual imprisonment, or something worse, has blundered into the acknowledgment he has made, and under the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of McLeod, set at liberty. If so, the British Minister has a most improper name, for with none of the skill of diplomacy, he has shown more of the cunning of the fox. The acknowledgment he has made, and the plea that McLeod acted by authority, expected that the higher authority would have been arraigned for the offence, and the lesser one, in the person of