TERMS OF PUBLICATION.

52 00 per annum, in advance—or \$2 50, if not paid within the year. No subscription taken for a less term than six months, and no discontinuance permitted until all arrearages are paid. A failure to notify " discontinuance at the expiration of a term, will be considered a new engagement.

Advertisements -- \$1 00 per square for the three first insertions, and twenty five cents for every subsequent one-

[AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM.

Whole No. 1304.

BY G. SANDERSON & E. CORNMAN.]

"OUR COUNTRY-RIGHT OR WEONG." Carlisle, Pa. Thursday July 25, 1839.

able compensation it should be passed.

of your committee prevented the sending for

persons and papers, and going into an investigation. The alleged frauds on the Gettys-

burg rail-road, & Huntingdon county breach,

WEST BRANCH.

On the 23d day of July last a letting of

work took place at Farrnadsville on this Ca-

ence of 9,788 dollars. Mr. Oliver was and

said in relation to them, and because they

show about the average difference.

A "missionary fund" was subscribed to

bed and paid could not be exactly ascertain-

of the citizens.

and Senate.

New Series-Vol. 4, No. 6:



COURTSHIP.

BY THOMAS MOORE. "Oh Laura! will nothing I bring thee E'er soften those looks of disdain? Are the songs of affection I sing thee All doomed to be sung thee in vain? I offer thet, fairest and dearest, A treasure the richest I'm

The warmest c'er glowed upon earth!" But the maiden, a haughty look flinging, Said, 'cease my compassion to move; For I'm not very partial to singing, And they're poor whose sole pleasure is love

My name will be sounded in story offer thee dearest my name; I have fought in the proud field of glory! Oh Laura'come share in my fame! I bring thee a soul that adoreth thee, And loves thee wherever thou art; Which thrills as its tribute it brings thee Of tenderness fresh from the heart.

But the maiden said "cease to importune! Give Cupid the use of his wings; Ah, fame's but a pitiful fortune And hearts are such valueless things!

Oh Laura, forgive if I've spoken Too boldly-nay turn not away-For my heart with affliction is broken-My uncle died only to-day! My uncle, the nabob-who tended My youth with affection and care, My manheot who kindly befriended-Has-died-and-has-left-nie-his

And the maiden said, "weep not sincerest My heart has been your's all along, Old hearts are of treasures the dearest-D', Edward go on with your song.'

REPORT.

Mr. BROADHEAD, from the committee appointby them and their agents, upon the Commonwealth, and into cases referred to Frevenue of the State, as may come under Their observation, made the following REPORT:

tee, that the public funds have been pervert-

partizan effect and political advancement. this report, upon which these allegations are founded, and to suggest most respectfully, ter men; they were to be brought up and the mode by which in some cases, the frauds the mode by which in some cases, the frauds the made to vote for Ritner. may be prevented from being consumated, and much money yet saved to the Common-

the Canal Commissioners directed to put certain parts of the said Canals under contract. They did so during the last summer, the cost of these twenty first the twenty five sections, in the twenty five sections, in the twenty five sections are the summer that the twenty stated, it would nave been contracted to the the cost of the present trary to this act, supposing other contracts mote the election of Rither. This no doubt the twenty-five sections, it is no doubt the twenty-five sections, were let on the twenty-five sections, it is no doubt the twenty-five sections, which improve the contract to the said Canals under contracts that been abandoned on or before the 7th of was the real object. About the time the twenty-five sections are the contract to this act, supposing other contracts mote the election of Rither. This no doubt the twenty-five sections, in the table stated, it would nave been contracted to the vision of the said Canals under contracts and the office, and from reports of the present trary to this act, supposing other contracts mote the election of Rither. This no doubt the twenty-five sections, in the table stated, it would nave been contracted to the vision of Rither Contracts are the contract to the said Canals and the office, and from reports of the present trary to this act, supposing other contracts mote the election of Rither Contracts are the contract to the contract the contract that the value of the contract t and during the last winter, before the ap ty-five sections, at the rejected bids, would and responsible bidder, as is the practice, at an advance in price of 19,956 dollars. and is obviously correct, but to men alone The legal notice, so far as the committee tion and that the re-letting was without the contracts, fifteen per cent was to be retainlegal notice, and to the original contractors, ed, to insure the completion of the work. without an abandonment of the work, in

them. Mr. Pennypacker, one of the Com-missioners said, in regard to the bids of defrom the conversation of the Commissioners, that the reason these bids were thrown away, was because they were bids of Porter men, and that the import of their conversation was, that they would not give contracts to Porter men.

In making the allotments of the work, after some of the bids had been registered, Mr. Bradley further testified, that when a Berson's bid was for a fair price, who was not known to the Canal Commissioners, they sent the superintendent out to inquire into his moral character, or religious principles, which meant his political character, that, in one or two instances, where they desired to give work to particular individuals, and their bids were too high, they sent them back to have them altered, and in one instance, they altered a bid themselves, without sending it back, and in several instances, they did not give the work to the lowest bidder.

From this inquiry into the religious principles or political character of bidders, and declaration that their own friends alone were to have work, it would be fair to infer that some improper purpose was to be accomplished. But it is not left to inference, Mr. Bradley and other witnesses clearly prove the object. He testified that about two weeks previous to the inspector's election, Mr. Rutherford, the superintendent received a letter from Mr. Stevens, that he (Mr. R.) after having read it himself handed it to him to read, that it contained instructions in regard to conducting the election at Halifax; three sections, without any notice by adverthat it stated five hundred men ought to be on the works by the time of the election, and that he must be careful to have "no Porter Bosses" on the line, and that the contractors must bring their men up to the polls and see that they deposited their ballots, and that he well recollected this expression in

the letter-take care of the missionary fund. On the 28th day of September, the day of the inspector's election, Mr. Bradley testified that Mr. Rutherford the superintend-ent, brought to him the subscription to the ed to examine into the several lettings du- missionary fund-it was signed by eighteen ring the last year, by the late hoard of contractors, and the sum subscribed was Canal Commissioners, with respect to twelve hundred and forty dollars. The frauds alleged to have been committed writing to which the contractors subscribed The names of the contractors and the numwas of the following import: "we the underwas of the following import: "we the under-ber of men they gave in, were taken down signed agree to pay John P. Rutherford the sum set opposite our names for the purpose contractors at this time, that they should get them by the legislature, and into cases sum set opposite our names for the purpose where damages have been paid, where of diffusing useful knowledge among the where damages have been paid, where of diffusing useful knowledge among the last many men on the next they had been theretofore paid, and re-people? —Mr. Rutherford stated to Mr. fore the election. There was a scarcity of leaves of ven and also, into the improper Bradley that this was the inissionary fund, men on the work at this time. About the payments of public money or allowances and that it was to pay for handbills, circuupon contracts beyond the actual letting, lars, and for other electioneering purposes, his contract, and he (the engineer) was to allow it in the estimates, that is, allow so much more than the amount of same of Harrington an interest in the condamn amount was let, in all fifty sections. The same preference was given to their own noticed the work. Stavone colleged him on the preference was given to their own noticed the work. and such other incidental frauds on the that each contractor was to subscriber a sum

and the interests and rights of their constituents did not require their presence.

It has been the object of your committee to exhibit to the house, and the bolict to exhibit to the house, and the public, the truth without perversion or disguise. The result of the investigation, diffigure.

The result of the investigation of the testimony of several cithem from the works, that he would responsible men offered to construct, them for that sum less than those to whom the must go off the works, that he would responsible men offered to construct, them for that sum less than those to whom the must go off the works, that he would responsible men offered to construct, them for that sum less than those to whom the must go off the works, that he would responsible men offered to construct, them for that sum less than those to whom the construction of the Pennsylvania canal, are a full responsible men offered to construct, them for that sum less than those to whom the must go off the works, that he must go public, the truth without perversion or dis- lettings on this Canal, and bid for eight dif- Harrington was sent off the line guise. The result of the investigation, diffi- ferent sections much lower, than those to the election, when he returned. guise. The result of the investigation, difficult as it has been, because of the distance from the place where the wrongs were leged to have been committed, and the section and misuser of the public treasury are almost and the section at 29,858 dollars. These sections much lower, than those to whom they were let. He was informed by the officers, that it was in consequence of Shultz for the indication, and a man of bis canal commissioners. The following has here here of 9,788 dollars. The of November last, a re-letting of the election, when he returned.

On the 7th of November last, a re-letting of the memorial commissioners. The of November last, a re-letting of the officers, that it was informed by the officers, that it w the statement of Mr. Bradley in relation to took place: ed to party purposes, and private ends, and the receipt of the letter from Mr. Stevens to the high and responsible office of Canal Mr. Rutherford, saw the subscription to the the receipt of the letter from Mr. Stevens to Commissioner used, not to carry out, sus-missionary fund in the hands of Rutherford, tain and render profitable to the people; our and heard him say, that was the only way noble system of internal improvements, they could get at the big purse, and that a they could get at the big purse, and that a which has cost so many millions, but for larger sum than was then subscribed to the paper (about 700 dollars) had already gone Your committee will now proceed to bring into Berks county. James M. Foster at-briefly to the view of the house, the material rended a meeting of the contractors, which parts of the evidence, substantially in the Rutherford attended. The object of the Tunkhannock, on the day of letting. language of the witnesses themselves, all of meeting, was to obtain more men on the Cawhich is herewith exhibited, and is a part of nal, and raise money. The men were to be

The present superintendent, Simon Salade, was called as a witness, who testified to the By the act of 14th of April, 1838, large to the present board of Canal Commissionappropriations were made to the Wisconis- ers, from the books &c. which he found in pointment of the present board, re-let cer- have been over twenty thousand dollars less; taid parts of the work, which they allege had than at the bids which were accepted. Eight been abandoned. Allegations were made, sections and one acqueduct were abandoned that the work was not let to the lowest, good and re-let, during the last summer and fall, who were of their own political creed, and could ascertain, was given. The report if the sixteen sections had actually been a town & other districts. Arrangements were who would in consideration of receiving further shows, that the contractors were over bandoned and re-let to individuals not confined at this meeting in regard to conductwho would, in consideration of receiving further shows, that the contractors were ovhigh prices, contribute largely, to promote the views of the Commissioners at the elec-

without an abandonment of the work, in point of fact having occurred. These high raid grave charges, the committee think, are fully sustained by the evidence, relative to the Wisconisco canal. It clearly shows gross and culpations on them for a moment, at the farm of J. Andrew Shulze, on easied operations on them for a moment, at the farm of J. Andrew Shulze, on advance of this improper letting and re-letting, very large sums of money lost that none but their political friends were the Commonwealth.

On the 8th of August last, a letting was had at Halifax, of work on the Wisconisco at Which all the Commissioners attended.—
James Bradley, the late principal assistant engineer on this Canal, was subpoined and three thousing the contracts were entered into in vivo as follows: That when the bids were open as follows: That when the bids were open. This is a brief statement of all the mate-

On the 31st of July last, a letting was had at Tunkhannock, of work on the North they have done. If any act of the legislature should be found and past which Messrs Stevens and Dickey attended. The same inquiry, into the moral character of the bidders, was made at this letting, as on the Wisconisco. Mr. Stevens said to two of the bidders, that if they would be good Ritner men, he thought they could get work. One of them, Philip Sullivan, testified that, although he intended to vote against Ritner, in consequence of the agreement he made with Mr. Stevens, before getting a contract, he voted for him.— The work on this Canal was not let to the owest, good and responsible bidder.

About the last of September, or the first of October, a meeting of the contractors, superintendents, clerks, &c. was held on this line at Tunkhannock. Two of the Canal Commissioners, Messrs. Stevens and Pennypacker, attended, and re-let or re-allotted tisement having been given. The section which had been contracted for by Mr. Smith and his partner, was re-let upon this occasion. One of the witnesses testified that the object of the meeting, as he understood it, was to get as many hands on the work as they could, and see how many would vote for Ritner. Two witnesses testified that although the meeting was organized somewhat informally, a clerk was called, and Mr. Stevens acted as chairman. He took a seat at the table; called the contractors names, or most of them, and wanted to know from each how many hands they had upon the work, and how many would vote for Ritner. time of the meeting, Mr. Stevens declared nal, at which two of the Commissioners, the contract of Mr. White abandoned.—White, it appeared, had given a man by the during the summer, work to a considerable That on the 15th day of May last, having first given due notice to the late board of ed upon the discharge of the duties assigned them, and have prosecuted the inquiries directed, at times when the house was not in rected, at times when the house was not in the estimates, that is, anow so the work. Stevens ordered him off the work. Stevens ordered him off the work. Stevens ordered him off the work was on the wisconsed North work, because, he alleged, that he had he hand to branch. The work was not let to the low for work, because, he alleged, that he had he hands to the truth in regard to his having an interest in the contract. White testified the work. Stevens ordered him off the work. Stevens ordered him off the work was on the wisconsed North work, because, he alleged, that he had he alleged, that he had he had he had he had he work. Stevens ordered him off the work was on the wisconsed North work, because, he alleged, that he had he alleged, that he had he had he had he had he work. Stevens ordered him off the work. Stevens ordered him off the work. Stevens ordered him off the work was on the wisconsed a work. Stevens ordered him off the work was on the wisconsed North work, he cause, he alleged, that he had he he had he h

Canal Office, Tunkhannock, October 16, 1838. CANAL LETTING.

Section 132 on the Tunkhannock line of by the contractors on this line, some paid, the Pennsylvania Canal, and all other aban some did not. The subscription paper was doned sections on said line, will be re-let at carried about by George Bressler the super-Tunkhannock, on Wednesday, the 7th day intendent, and the money paid to him at the of November next. Specifications of the time he paid the contractors their estimates, work may be seen at the Canal Office, in The contractors on the heavy jobs subscri-

(Signed)
E. HARDING, Jr., Supt. missioners to give at least two weeks public subscribers promise to pay the sums set opreleased and discharged from all further the canal.

In view ment above stated, it would have been consome witnesses testified that it was to prothe day and year above written. the rights of the citizens of this Common-wealth, might in some measure be justified and to increase the vote at Youngwomansternoon of the same day on which they were that the contractors should bring their men

ed, but it must have amounted to a very

cluding the one mentioned in the advertise- tion, a meeting of the contractors was held

ed, and he and others were about to register tractors have been over estimated and over assembly, of which the parties were bound cause it was desirable to know how the let- Pennypacker. The president laid before paid. The use of the term "missionary to take notice, and were therefore illegal.— tings were conducted, what he did with the tund" and in the connection stated in the The fact that the sections were re-let to the mocrats, or Porter men, that we should send the mid ways. Mr. Stevens then took a seat at the table, and the bids that were to be registered be put on the section of a collecting purposes, them under their original contract prices, is because of a corrupt design. Same contractors at such an enormous admitted to him for missioners and in the commissioners had in the lies spring, on the lower part of his farm, them and ways. Stevens then took a seat at the table, and the bids that were to be registered by purposes, the number of the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds, but he declined and for want of a seat at the table, and the public funds are table. them under their original contract prices, is came out of the public funds, but he declined and for want of u farm bridge, occasioned strong evidence of fraud in fact. Your combeing sworn. He was informed that he by the construction of the West Branch diseat at the table, and the was informed that he be registered, he put on the table, and threw taken in connexion with the testimony of strong evidence of fraud in fact. Your combeing sworn. He was informed that he a large number on the floor,—that he found Bradley, Foster and others, is evidence, that mittee are therefore of opinion that the connect on the thing which would crimthe amount of their subscriptions was not to come out of their own private funds. It is a well settled principle of law, that where a subscription will settled principle of law, that where a subscription will settled principle of law, that where a subscription will settled principle of law, that where a subscription will settled principle of law, that where a subscription will be subscription with the comnumber of persons have been shown to have been connected and combined in an unlawful transaction, the act and declarations of all advertise them for re-letting. By this means the committee to seek after facts and the sustained, and in lieu of the construction of one, become the acts and declarations of all.

If this rule were applied to Mr. Stevens, he would be clearly answerable, with Ruther-ford the superintendent, for all the illegal transactions on the Wisconisco and at Hallian.

NORTH BRANCH.

In this rule were applied to Mr. Stevens, he would not be paid transactions on the Wisconisco and at Hallian.

NORTH BRANCH.

In the illegal transactions on the Wisconisco and at Hallian.

NORTH BRANCH.

In the index of money will, without regard to technical rules of evidence, and therefore gave Mr. Bressler an opportunity to purge himself, or if he did subposed to do that, to acquit the right to claim any thing under the alleged contract, still no injustice should be done them. They should be paid a reasonable them. They should be paid a reasonable to the form the feel disposed to not yet been paid Mr. Shulze, and your committee to seek after facts and the sustained, and in lieu of the construction of truth without regard to technical rules of evidence, and therefore gave Mr. Bressler an opportunity to purge himself, or if he did should be fall bridges across the canal, on his property;

The committee issued a subpona for Mr. Shulze and opportunity to purge himself, or if he did should be fall bridges across the canal, on his property;

The committee to seek after facts and the cuntile to technical rules of evidence, and therefore gave Mr. Bressler an opportunity to purge himself, or if he did should be evidence, and the committee to seek after facts and the committee to sevidence, and the committee to sevidence, and the committee to sevidence, and the committee to sevidence and the committee to sevidence, and the committee to sevidence and the committee to sevide bar, and therefore discharged him.

ture should be found necessary in order to of contracts on the public works, that they enable the contractors to receive this reason-should not always be let to the lowest bidder. This may be the case in particular in-Mr. Stevens in his defence before your stances, but as a general rule they should committee, attempted to prove that it was The Commonwealth cannot be the loser, bethe custom to advertise abandoned work on cause if the work is not prosecuted accord- allowed to him, to construct a road in lieu the Canals and Rail-roads, as was done in ing to the terms of the contract, the Canal of a farm bridge, and two hundred dollars this case. He produced several advertisements which concluded with a similar clause
to the one above stated, but only proved that

Besides fifteen per cent, upon the work is tages derived by him from the construction

ure-so large an amount of work should not of fifteen hundred dollars had been made by nal commissioners, he should have appealed be let without giving notice to the citizens of the Commonwealth. To do so, is a violation of the law and a fraud upon the rights to have been sustained by him by reason of and gave a release which recites the resoluthe construction of the Lycoming line of the tion, which authorized the payment to him, Many and loud complaints were made of West Branch Canal, which damages had and thus forever barred himself from making the improper conduct of the late board of been previously paid and a release given.— any further claims, or receiving any further Canal Commissioners and their agents supon. The committee obtained certified copies of damages. the Tioga line of the North Branch Canal, all papers, resolutions, &c. relative to the but the great distance and the limited time claim of Mr. Shulze on file in the Commisclaim of Mr. Shulze on file in the Commis-sioners' and Auditor General's offices, which resides, on the West branch canal. It apare herewith exhibited, and from which the

following statement is made: On the 9th of Nov. 1853, Mr. Shulze adwere not examined into, because the matter dressed a letter to the then board of Canal that place, and an endorsement "read Sepwas before other committees of the House Commissioners, claiming damages to the a- tember 17th, 1838, allowed \$1500" made mount of \$2850, for the want of bridges, for springs and timber destroyed, &c.
On the 5th of February, 1854, the follow-

ing appears upon the journal of the then It is stated to be however, for injury to his board—"The president laid before the board spring on the lower part of his farm as stated the claim of J. Andres Shulze, for 2850 in his claim for seventy dollars, and for dollars compensation for want of bridges ov- want of a farm bridge, to construct a road in er the Lycoming line, and for springs destroyed, which was read; and, on motion,

tified frankly and honestly, was strongly that he must go off the works, that he would and responsible men offered to construct that in the opinion of the board, the advantages derived by the testimony of several cillary on such man on. White remonstrated, them for that sum less than those to whom tages derived by him from the construction owns but one farm on the West branch ca-

ence of 5,549 dollars. These sections and see no reason for changing their decision precedes the resolution granting him the fifnames are mentioned because much has been upon the claim of J. Andrew Shultze, for teen hundred dollars, but if so, the commission compensation for damages occasioned by the ioners did not keep a true record. It is construction of the Lycoming line.".

five hundred dollars, to enable me to make for seventy dollars. The entry is "read a road to my island, and in lieu of a farm September 17th, 1888, allowed \$1500."

No written application was made to the bridge; and also received, same day, of same, No written application was made to the two hundred dollars, as a full compensation canal commissioners for a foot bridge, yet bed the largest sums. The amount subscrit for springsand timber destroyed by reason the supervisor is directed by the resolution of the construction of the Pennsylvania ca-nal, as per offer of the board of canal com-The claim, if one was actually made, and By the act of assembly of the 22d of A-considerable sum, for the average amount missioners, dated February 5th, 1834, making in all cases each contractor subscribed was over fifty ing in all, seven hundred dollars, which is had accepted the sum of seven hundred dollars. The writing to which they subscribed as a full indemnification for all damages shall be abandoned, it shall be the duty of bed was of the following import, as near as all damages occasioned as aforesaid; and the which he had sustained, over and above the the superintendent, or acting Canal Com- the witnesses could recollect: We the commonwealth of Pennsylvania is hereby

J. ANDREW SHULZE, [SEAL.]
-Witness: Augustus E. Shulze.

On the 31st of May, 1856, he addressed letter to the canal commissioners, stating that he had become the owner of an acre of ment, were re-let at a cost of four hundred near Youngwomanstown, at which two of land at the lower part of his farm, on which and thirty-three thousand three hundred and the Commissioners, Messra Stevens and a spring had been destroyed, and that it and unrety-three thousand three hundred and the Commissioners, Messrs. Stevens and a spring had been destroyed, and that it six dollars and twenty-five cents. This Pennypacker attended. The object of the would cost seventy dollars to dig a well in gross violation of the act of assembly and incetting was stated to be for the nurnose of lieu thereof and acknowledges to rescand the resolution granting the same to him. It is an unpleasant task to make the foregoing gross violation of the act of assembly and meeting was stated to be for the purpose of lieu thereof, and asking that sum in dam-the rights of the citizens of this Common-obtaining a greater force of men on the work, ages, or that a person should be employed to dig it. In the letter is a claim for thirty dollars, for damages done to two lots Nos. 11 and 12, in Marietta, Lancaster county.

On the Sd of January, 1888, the following entry appears upon the Journal of the canal

"Upon application, Resolved, That the re-let, of an intention to abandon them, and to the polls at different hours, so that the "Upon application, Resolved, That the then they were re-let to the same contract crowd would not be so great. Mr. Penny-Supervisor on the West Branch division, be

JOHN MOORE, Esq. Newville:
JOSEPH'M. MEANS, Esq. Hopewell township.
JOHN WUNDERLICH, Esq. Shippensburg.
WILLIAM M. MATER, Esq. Lee's Roads.
JOHN MEHAFFY, Dickinson township. GEORGE F. CAIN, Esq. Mechanicsburg.
FREDERICK WONDERLICH, do.
JAMES ELLIOTT, Esq. Springfield.
DANIEL KRYSHER, Esq. Churchtown.
JACOB LONGNECKER, E. Pennsboro' township.
GEORGE ENWERT Codes Spring. GEORGE ERNEST, Cedar Spring, Allen tp.

the board, the application of John Andrew Shulze, for 8 compensation, for injury vision Pennsylvania canal, which was read, considered and on motion, Resolved, That the supervisor on the West

Branch division, is directed to make an offer on the part of the board, to John Andrew, Shulze, of fifteen hundred dollars, as a full

the evidence, that it should not be paid to It may be said in reference to the letting him, because it is for the same damages which had been assessed, (with the exception perhaps of seventy dollars) the amount accented, and a release given. It will be perceived that by the first reso-

lution, the sum of five hundred dollars was in one instance, one or two sections were re-let which were not mentioned and particularly described in the advertisement.—
The amount of the cost of the re-letting of those not mentioned was not proved, and it might have been, as it frequently happens, of a small quantity of unfinished work on the sections. Had they, however, succeedthe sections. Had they, however, succeeded in establishing a custom of the kind, it
could not and should not prevail against the
express provisions of an act of the legisla
it the facts only are important. From son to change their decision. Including the first resolution were then acceded to,
them, the house will draw its own conclusions and take such action as may be thought the money received and the above release.

express provisions of an act of the legisla
it was alleged that an improper allowance dissatisfied with the first decision of the ca-

All the letters of Mr. Shulze are dated at pears by the journal of the commissioners that the allowance of fifteen hundred dollars was made at a meeting of the board held at upon the back of a claim for seventy dollars. The amount of his claim is not stated upon the journal as it should be, but left blank. spring on the lower part of his farm as stated lieu of which he had received five hundred dollars.

"Resolved unanimously, That the super-intendent of the West Branch division be from this evidence, and nothing was offered authorized to make an offer on the part of to repel it, that the allowance was illegal the board to J. A. Shultze of five hundred and improper, -whether or not with a cordollars, to enable him to make a road to his rupt design or for political considerations island in lieu of a bridge, and two hundred your committee do not decide, but leave all dollars for springs and timber destroyed; & to draw their own conclusions from the facts. singular too, that the amount which he claim-"\$700—Received, January 2nd, 1885, of ed should have been left blank on the jour-Wm. F. Packer, superintendent of the West nal, and the entry of an allowance of fifteen Branch division of the Pennsylvania canal, hundred dollars made upon an application

- In view of this evidence, and from all the information which can be obtained, your. committee are decidedly of opinion that the allowance of fifteen hundred dollars to Mr. Shulze was improper and illegal to use no harsher terms, and therefore recommended the passage of a law directing the present board of canal commissioners to rescind the lution under which the committe acted and a sense of official duty requires it.

If the late canal commissioners were now in office, your committee would feel bounds by the evidence adduced before them to recommend articles of impeachment particularly against Mr. Stevens, who seems to have been the principal transgressor, but as they are out of office, and not likely again to be in a situation to control the funds of the commonwealth, it is deemed inexpedient at