

Circulation LARGER than any other Paper in the Juniata Valley.

Republican State Ticket.

GOVERNOR: Gen. HENRY M. HOYT, OF LUZERNE.

JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT: Hon. JAMES P. STERRETT, OF ALLEGHENY.

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR: Hon. CHARLES W. STONE, OF YANAGO.

SECRETARY OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS: Capt. AARON K. DUNKEL, OF PHILADELPHIA.

REPUBLICAN COUNTY TICKET.

CONGRESS: HORATIO G. FISHER, of Huntingdon.

ASSEMBLY: SAMUEL M'VITTY, of Clay, WM. S. SMITH, of Jackson.

PROTHONOTARY: W. M'K. WILLIAMSON, of Huntingdon.

REGISTER AND RECORDER: I. D. KUNTZLEMAN, of Huntingdon.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY: GEORGE B. ORLADY, of Huntingdon.

TREASURER: S. H. ISENBERG, of Penn.

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS: W. H. BENSON, of Tod, S. P. SMITH, of Union.

DIRECTOR OF THE POOR: A. B. MILLER, of Porter.

AUDITORS: J. H. DAVIS, of Morris, A. W. BROWN, of Cassville.

TO THOSE CONCERNED.

All persons knowing themselves indebted to this office for subscription, advertising or job work, for over one year's standing, are expected to call and pay up at once. These accounts must be settled without further delay. We have shown great leniency to those owing us, but our business interests now require us to demand payment, and if this request is not complied with we will be compelled to add costs, something which we are averse to doing if it can be avoided.

We have bills against several townships and boroughs, for advertising their school and supervisors' accounts, which should have been paid long ago, and which must now be paid. All accounts remaining unpaid after the coming Court will be placed in the hands of an officer for collection.

FOUR is in favor of abandoning the canal, and if elected will use his influence to this end. "THE Child of the Circuit," A. H. Hill, has got a new name—"young-man-afraid-of-his-corporation-record."

FISHER stock has gone up five hundred per cent. since the advent of the great "Persuader" in this county.

DOYLE is opposed to the new penitentiary, and if elected, would vote against any appropriation of money for its erection. Vote for M'Vitty and Smith.

You desire a competent man to take charge of the county funds, elect Sol. Isenberg Treasurer. This office is too important to be filled by an incompetent and irresponsible person. Vote for Isenberg.

FOUR and Doyle, if elected to the Legislature, will cast their votes next winter for Speer, Hughes or some other equally obnoxious Democrat for United States Senator. Vote for M'Vitty and Smith.

ABRAHAM B. MILLER, of Porter township, will make a most capital Director of the Poor. He is a farmer by occupation, and his rare business qualifications eminently fit him for this position. Vote for Miller.

ONE more issue of the JOURNAL will close the political campaign, and if Republicans will but do their duty they will keep the Democratic and Greenback goose so brown that it will never quack again. Rally for the whole ticket.

The shining lights of the Democratic party in this State held a conference at the Girard House, in Philadelphia, the other day, and after a full and free expression of opinion, arrived at the conclusion that their State ticket was doomed to defeat. Let the music begin.

THE "Double Ender," as applied to Senator Fisher by R. Bruce Petrie, in a speech delivered at Orbisonia, last week, and of which "my organ" No. 1 boasts so much, is not original with that gentleman, but was borrowed from the Altoona Sun.

"Nor one cent for the Centennial Celebration of the country," said W. S. Stenger by his vote in Congress, but "millions upon millions of dollars to pay Democratic Rebel war claims." Vote for Fisher if you are opposed to the payment of Rebel war claims.

The Bellefonte Watchman and the Lewistown Sentinel, papers under the control of consistent Democrats, up to this time have failed to notice the Curt(a)in. Perhaps they cannot forget the time when the "war Governor" had their "needs under his heel." The prospects for Curt(a)'s defeat are most flattering.

As a lifer, Chairman Speer is a decided success. He lifts one hand to swear while with the other he lifts a \$5,000 Salary Grab. On the 5th of November the people of Pennsylvania will lift Gen. Hoyt and the balance of the ticket into the several offices for which they are named.

The meeting of "The Peoples' League," composed of W. H. Day, the apostate, and a few other colored people who are coated and bought to attend as delegates, held in Pittsburgh, a few days ago, broke up in a regular fight, during which delegates were knocked down, revolvers drawn, and there was a regular stampede of the Democratic camp. If Mr. Speer paid a big price for this actor in the political drama he has been terribly cheated.

"HARK FROM THE TOMBS."

A correspondent in the Nationalist last week, writing from Brady township, in speaking of a Greenback meeting recently held there, says: "Mr. Doyle opened with a few remarks." We were under the impression that Mr. Doyle was in the habit of opening with prayer. He should certainly not have departed from his usual custom on this occasion. He might at least have reminded the Lord again of the fact that we were "suffering from unwise legislation," and how our naughty rulers had "neglected the interests of the people, and purchased their places with money," &c. But perhaps the Reverend gentleman came to the conclusion that as the party originated in "that other place" it is past praying for.

The correspondent almost goes into a duck fit at the eloquence of our friend Boring. Hear him. "Mr. Boring's thrilling speech swept like a besom of destruction through the cobweb sophistries on which the present financial policy hangs." Jo-rus-lem! Stand upon under! But then it was a voice from the spirit land.

But again, "Mr. Foust, one of our candidates for the Legislature was present." Indeed, what had become of the other one? And further, he is supposed to be "a true Greenbacker clean through." Possibly he may be so, for Ben has an eye to the greenbacks, and neglects no opportunity of raking them in; and those who know him best say that he is not very particular how he gets them. There was a time when Ben had not much to say in favor of the Greenbacks. That was when the Government was engaged in a death-struggle with armed treason and he and his Democratic friends were assisting their rebel friends at the front by giving our gallant soldiers a "fire in the rear." It might have been interesting to some of those "poor men," that the correspondent speaks of, who are now out of employment and out of means, to have had Ben's canal record read there. It would have had the effect of showing them how much he was willing to do for them in the way of employment, and how much they might expect from him next winter provided he should get votes enough to send him to Harrisburg.

That must have been a thrilling meeting, with fatism at one end and spiritualism at the other, and Ben there to "make it warm for them."

Some of the workmen at the Shoe Factory want to know whether the new Penitentiary will bring them in competition with convict labor. The JOURNAL will please inform them.

With pleasure. Not unless they commit some act against the laws which will consign them to that institution as prisoners, which is not at all probable, will they be brought in competition with convict labor. The Shoe Factory would not lose a dollar's worth of trade in a year by the creation of the penitentiary at this place. Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, two of the greatest manufacturing cities in the State, have each a penitentiary in their midst, and we do not hear of their mechanics being brought in competition with convict labor. No man with an idea above an oyster would ever think of such a thing. The opposition of that paper to the new penitentiary being built in Huntingdon is patent to every one who has paid any attention to its course since the hired scribbler undertook to run it for Speer, Foust and North. The men who own that paper have an idea that the location of the penitentiary at this place would make a few votes for Mr. Fisher, and in order to deprive him of this support, they are working to secure its location at some point outside of this county, to the injury of the working classes, the business of the town and the entire county. Laboring men, will you vote to sustain a party whose leaders are fighting against your best interests? Vote the whole Republican ticket, and you will assist in electing men who will guard your interests instead of doing all in their power against them.

THE Republican meeting in the Court House on Thursday evening, although not generally known, was well attended and a decided success. Hon. Thomas W. Cooper delivered an able speech in which he paid particular attention to the infamous record of Mr. Stenger. He was followed by Hon. H. G. Fisher. This was the first opportunity our people had of hearing from Mr. Fisher on the stump. He pleased every body—Democrats and Republicans—and should another opportunity be afforded the Court House would not contain his hearers.

His handling of the questions of the day was ably and eloquently performed, and free from the personal tirades so common among political speakers. He made a good impression while here and elsewhere in the county, and we have no hesitation in predicting for him a solid support on the part of the Republicans of Franklin.—Chambersburg Opinion.

W. S. STENGER, the Democratic nominee for Congress, and whose nomination was offered to be purchased by his friends, has been an office holder ever since he obtained his majority. He served three terms as District Attorney of his county and has been elected to Congress twice; but this fall he will be retired to private life. Vote for Fisher and give the "persuader" a back seat.

THE hired scribbler of Mr. Speer's Nationalist, better known as the "Mud-Slinger," in last week's issue of that vehicle of billingsgate and blackguardism, says that we are a "serub." If we be a "serub," in the name of God what is he? To tell what he is would take up more space than we have to spare at present; we are after larger game.

JIMMY G's assertion that Foust pays a dollar a day for work when only seventy-five cents are asked, is looked upon as a huge joke by those who know the avaricious and grasping disposition of the man. It won't do, James. He loves his dollars too well to be thus liberal with them.

WHEN the Mud-Slinger asserts that the JOURNAL has said one word about "public patronage" being taken away from it, it lies—not under a mistake—but knowingly lies.

"REPUBLICAN" favor will appear next week.

THE QUESTION SETTLED.

For the benefit of "my organs," both of which have occupied much space in publishing lies and slanders in regard to the late law firm of Woods & Williamson, we take pleasure in informing the honest(?) souls who figure as their nominal editors, that the firm of Woods & Williamson ceased to exist from the day that Mr. Williamson took charge of the Prothonotary's office. The men whose names appear as editors of "my organs," have each a little smattering of the law and they know that the law prohibits any practicing lawyer from acting as Prothonotary. They have known all the time that Mr. Williamson had ceased to practice law, but took this as a pretext to slander a better citizen and an honest man than either of them ever was.

Voters of Huntingdon county, vote for Mr. Williamson and show these slanders of an honest man that you do not approve of that kind of warfare.

P. S.—Since the above was put in type we have received the following letter from Mr. Williamson, which should satisfy all honorable men that the charges of "my organs" are the basest fabrications. "The men who figure as the editors of these papers have a spark of honor in their composition and they will publish the letter of Mr. Williamson and ask the pardon of their readers for having published week after week that which they knew to be false.—Here is what Mr. Williamson says:

Mr. Editors:—You will please emphatically deny for me that I am not, nor have I been since I entered the Prothonotary's office, a practicing attorney. At the time of my appointment as Prothonotary, the day I took charge of the Prothonotary's office, and since then I have had no other attorney. The editor of the Monitor knows, or should have known at least, that I dare not fill the office of Prothonotary and practice law at the same time. The law forbids it. Since I have been in the office I have endeavored to discharge my duties to the satisfaction of the people, and I believe I have been successful in doing so. If elected, as I believe I will, I promise the duties of the Prothonotary's office shall be discharged in such a way that no person will have cause to complain. Yours, hastily, W. M'K. WILLIAMSON.

HARD AT WORK.—No one can appreciate the earnest soul work being done by the Republican State Central Committee under Col. Quay's efficient management better than a personal visit to the headquarters at Tenth and Chestnut streets.

On every side is to be seen the evidence of active preparation. Huge piles of documents, exposing the financial heinousness of the little party with the big name; refuting Democratic arguments of past consistency, and then promises of future good behavior; and promulgating solid Republican arguments in favor of honest money and good government, are being daily sent to every part of the State. Lists of speakers, announcements of public meetings, and all the evidence of an aggressive and active campaign meet the eye on every side. Messrs. Milton S. Lytle, L. Rogers, Thomas B. Cochran, Charles H. Bergner and Gen. Robert B. Beath, the efficient secretaries, are working hard, and the voluminous correspondence from all parts of the State is rapidly disposed of. Mr. Edward F. Davis, the efficient clerk during the past campaigns, is again at his post, winning golden opinions. There is but one sentiment expressed by all the gentlemen at headquarters—Pennsylvania will give 90,000 majority.—Philadelphia Press.

GEN. HENRY M. HOYT.

Senator Conkling, of New York, addressed the Republicans of Philadelphia on Friday night last, and in the course of his speech he paid the following tribute to our gallant standard-bearer in this contest:

"In the report of a speech delivered some time ago by a son of Pennsylvania stand these words: 'Professing to be an honest man, the candidate of an honest organization, I favor honest money. [Great Applause.]' The volume of currency should be regulated by the legitimate demand and not by the requirements of wild speculators or bankrupts. The currency should be redeemable, as far as the exigencies of the country will permit, in the currency recognized by all civilized nations. The contracts of the Government should be held as sacred by the individual and the bonds should be paid according to the understanding between the Government and the lender. He who thus spoke is your Republican candidate for Governor, and I trust that you spoke I am here to raise my voice, and had I a thousand voices gladly would I raise them all to aid in his election."

Mr. STENGER, in the stereotyped speech which he is delivering over this district, has something to say of the expense that will be incurred in holding a special election for Senator in case Mr. Fisher is elected to Congress. This man Stenger has all of a sudden become very economical. He should have thought of unnecessary expenses when he voted for the appointment of the Potter smelling committee, and when he was rolling over the country in special cars, at the people's expense, as a member of that committee, he should have thought of the thousands of dollars he was assisting to squander in his efforts to make a little political capital for the Democratic party. Stenger is a demagogue of the first water, and we are happy to say that he has misrepresented this District for the last time in Congress.

DANIEL DOUGHERTY, "the silver-tongued orator," has joined the fish-market brigade and made his debut before a Lancaster audience, one night last week, in an attack on the Camerons. But Daniel the eloquent failed to tell his hearers that he had a "crow to pick" with the elder Cameron because that gentleman sat down on Mr. Dougherty's aspirations during President Lincoln's administration. Another spear heard from.—Next.

CHAIRMAN SPEER is sending out a lengthy circular letter to Methodists, accompanied with Dill stickers, importuning them to vote for him on sectarian grounds. We have been shown one of them received by a gentleman of this town, who is a consistent member of that church, but who will not touch the corporation candidate with a forty-foot pole. Stick his "stickers" in the stove.

DOYLE made a speech at Warriorsburg, the other night, in which he opposed the creation of the new penitentiary at Huntingdon. Voters, spot him!

THE communications from Warriorsburg and Franklin, owing to their length, are crowded out.

THE OFFICE OF RECORDER.

Both of "my organs" are sorely troubled concerning the office of Recorder of Philadelphia, an office that has been in existence in that city for more than half a century, and during forty years of that time has been filled by men of their political faith. The bill passed last winter, and for the support of which these papers are laboring to manufacture political capital against Mr. Fisher, only added additional duties to the office. It is entirely local, being confined to Philadelphia, and since Col. Quay has had charge of the office, a little over five months, he has collected and paid over \$35,300 revenue, derived from saloons and low grogeries, which could never have been reached in any other way. The howl set up against this office comes from men who should know better, but thinking that they can cast odium on the Republican party they will persist in their efforts in this direction regardless of the truth. Another false charge they make is in regard to the emoluments of the office. The Recorder gets ten per cent. on all collections made, and on the money paid in by Recorder Quay he received as fees \$3,500, much less than Mr. Speer's Salary Grab.

The Philadelphia North American, in an article on the Recorder's office, has this to say: "The office of Recorder of Philadelphia has always been subject to appointment by the Governor of Pennsylvania. At the present time, it is especially worthy of remembrance that during the entire lifetime of the Whig party, the office was held by a Whig Recorder. The old city always elected Whig Mayors and Councilmen, but always had a Democratic Recorder. It is not probable that the Whig Recorder was elected, it was, and it was submitted to and accepted as a mysterious dispensation. In the name of the County Courts never had a single Whig Judge on the bench until the Judges were made elective. The whole bench being thus permanently Democratic, the entire Judicial patronage went to Democrats, and, as a natural consequence, the Bar of the City became strongly Democratic. For a period of forty consecutive years the office of Recorder of Philadelphia was filled by Democratic incumbents. They had no salary, no appointment, no office expenses, no provision for clerks or other dependents. Yet they managed to make the office valuable that the control of it was a desirable thing to have. The Recorder had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose, and he was not accountable to any one. He had both civil and criminal jurisdiction, and as his office was always in Chestnut street, or in the County Jail, he was in a position to receive large and lucrative fees. He was not subject to any supervision whatever. He did as he chose