

Circulation LARGER than any other Paper in the Juniata Valley.

Republican State Ticket.

GOVERNOR: Gen. HENRY M. HOYT, of LUZERNE. JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT: Hon. JAMES P. STERRETT, of ALLEGHENY. LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR: Hon. CHARLES W. STONE, of YENANGO. SECRETARY OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS: Capt. AARON K. DUNKEL, OF PHILADELPHIA.

SETTLE UP.

The books of the late firm of J. R. Durbin & Co. are in my hands for collection, and I desire to have them settled at once. Subscribers, by examining the tab on their papers, can ascertain the amount of their indebtedness, which I trust they will remit without delay. I do not want to add costs, but if this notice is not complied with, I will be compelled to place all accounts in the hands of an officer for collection. J. A. NASH.

THE CAMPAIGN.

The Republican State Central Committee has been fully organized for the campaign, by the appointment of Hon. M. S. Quay as Chairman, and Lucius Rogers, of McKean county, and Milton S. Lytle, of Huntingdon, as Secretaries. The headquarters of the Committee will be at rooms 5 and 6, Continental Hotel, Philadelphia. The energy and determination with which work has been commenced by the Committee, is, in itself, an assurance of success. That the Republican ticket will be elected does not admit of a doubt.

Mr. Lytle's duties as Secretary may require him to be absent from Huntingdon, but will not interfere in any way with his labors in the editorial department of this paper. His position upon the Committee will afford him facilities for making the JOURNAL what we design it to be, the leading Republican newspaper of Central Pennsylvania.

EXECUTIVE MANSION.

DEAR MR. SECRETARY: If the commission which the President signed on the 24th inst., for James E. Anderson as Consul of the United States at Funchal has not been delivered to the appointee, the President will be pleased to have you retain possession of it until further inquiries as to Mr. Anderson's character are instituted. Very truly yours, Wm. K. Hoopes, Secy. to the Secretary of State.

THE CHIEF OF POLICE.

DR. LE MOYNE cremates dead bodies. The Ohio Medical College steals them. Take your choice.

THE COMMUNISTS.

There is an earth-quake among the Democracy.—JOURNAL. Quid pro quo! Quid pro quo!—Copperhead Organ.

LOOK AT THE DANGER!

In view of the dangers that threaten the country should the South come into power through its control of the Democratic party, it is not highly important that we continue a Republican administration in Pennsylvania? We know the opportunities of the State authorities to advance the good and to counteract the evil designs of those who may have in their hands the management of our national affairs.

THE GLOBE OF LAST WEEK.

Gen. Grant as his choice for the Presidency in 1860. A number of papers throughout the country have expressed a similar preference, and the idea seems to be popular. It is a long time yet before a candidate will be chosen, but at this stage of the game Grant's chances of again leading the Republican party to victory are reasonably promising.

TILDEN'S HUNDRED THOUSAND.

The evidence so far taken by the Potter investigating committee at Washington shows that the boldest and most corrupt effort to procure the commission of fraud in connection with the Louisiana election was made by Democratic agents. Judge A. B. Levee, now of Washington, one of the Hayes electors in that State, swears that one hundred thousand dollars were offered him to refuse to accept his certificate as an elector. A New Orleans banker, by the name of Asher, was the person by whom this bribery was to be effected, and who said he was to receive ten thousand dollars for it. This investigation, like all others instituted by the Democrats, has disclosed more Democratic rascality than Republican. Hadn't they better quit the business?

THE FREE TRADE PARTY.

Is the Democratic party in favor of free trade or tariff? Let us answer this question not with any assertions of the politicians, nor with the campaign professions of that party put forth for the purpose of influencing the approaching election. We take the record it has made in Congress upon Wood's so-called tariff bill, only so-called because it relates to the subject of tariff, and not because it is in the interest of protection. It is in reality a free-trade measure. The House of Representatives at Washington came to a vote upon the bill on the 5th inst. Mr. Wood lost confidence in its success before the vote was taken, on account of the almost solid Republican opposition to it, and in order to save it from defeat proposed to postpone its further consideration until next session. But the Republicans were not willing to permit such an incubus to hang over the country and insisted upon immediate action. The Democrats were therefore compelled to make their record. It is not so uncertain as the platform of the Pittsburgh convention. They acted sincerely, because upon their action depended the fate of their pet scheme. Of the 181 Democrats voting upon the bill, 113 voted for it and 68 against it. Does not this sufficiently answer the question at the head of this article? When the Democrats pretend that their party is in favor of protection, it is not necessary to refer them to that vote. An individual member of the party who is a tariff man is obliged to vote in opposition to his own views if he votes the ticket of his party. A few more Democratic members of Congress, and Wood's bill would have been passed. Give them greater strength in the next Congress than they have in the present one, and this bill, or a similar one, or a worse one, will be brought forward and the party machinery will be used to make it the law of the land. It is no reply to this that the bill was defeated in a Democratic Congress. So few of that party voted against it as scarcely break their lines. The Republicans were still more united than they. But 7 of the latter voted for free trade and 116 for protection. Pennsylvanians should study the great contrast between the two parties.

AN HONEST ACT.

The perjurer villain Anderson, the first and principal witness for the Democrats in their attack upon President Hayes' title, was appointed consul to Funchal in May, 1877. But some charges coming to the President's ears against his character, the following letter was sent to the Secretary of State:

EXECUTIVE MANSION.

DEAR MR. SECRETARY: If the commission which the President signed on the 24th inst., for James E. Anderson as Consul of the United States at Funchal has not been delivered to the appointee, the President will be pleased to have you retain possession of it until further inquiries as to Mr. Anderson's character are instituted. Very truly yours, Wm. K. Hoopes, Secy. to the Secretary of State.

THE RAID ON REAL ESTATE.

"We demand equal and just taxation of all property whatsoever."—Greenback Platform. It requires no Daniel to interpret the language of that resolution. If there is to be equal taxation of all property, then no property can escape. The farmer and every other owner of real estate will be compelled to pay State tax upon every foot of land they possess, a burden from which they were relieved by the Republican party. It will increase the taxes of many land-owners a hundred per cent. or more. If not so, what can the brief sentence above quoted mean? Is it a declaration in favor of the taxation of bonds only? It does not say so, but says explicitly, "all property whatsoever." And then comes the question, who is to be benefited? Why, nobody but those who pay the State taxes now. They will be relieved to the extent that the farmers will be compelled to pay. The National Greenback party, claiming to be the anti-monopoly and anti-corporation party, is the first to attempt to regulate taxation in the interest of monopolies and corporations.

THE RAID ON REAL ESTATE.

The first International, or Communist, Congress met in Geneva, Switzerland in 1866, and in 1867 two sections of the International society were organized in the United States. The first was organized in London by the National Greenback party. Since that time the organization has grown rapidly, especially in our large cities and where there is a large foreign population. And we are free to say that the communists forming an alliance with Trade Unions in this country have been a vital source of evil, instigating strikes, and mobs, to the great injury of others, and no benefit to themselves. We believe that our country was thereby rendered more dangerous to the Republic than any other organization that has ever existed in this country. We have given this history of communists so that they may be known. Remember "evil communications corrupt good manners." The National Greenback Labor-reform party deny having any communists. Many of its members may be honest in saying so, but this does not disprove the fact that it is not a Communist party. Where the skin is not so perfect. Now, to prove this fact we need only refer you to the terrible outbreaks in Pittsburgh and other places last July. Many of the persons who favored the National Greenback party took a part in that strike from honest motives, but that their wages might be continued at the present rate was their object. Their person or property. But when the destruction of property commenced these honest men stood back where they had no interest in the matter, and they were not in any way connected with the party with a rabble capable of committing any deed of crime known to man. Here was committed a crime of a different character, and a danger of a political party forming an alliance with communists. They are gradually brought into the country, and are being used as a party hostile to our government without our knowledge that they are thus led along by a foreign power, and an enemy of our country.

LOOK AT THE DANGER!

In view of the dangers that threaten the country should the South come into power through its control of the Democratic party, it is not highly important that we continue a Republican administration in Pennsylvania? We know the opportunities of the State authorities to advance the good and to counteract the evil designs of those who may have in their hands the management of our national affairs.

THE GLOBE OF LAST WEEK.

Gen. Grant as his choice for the Presidency in 1860. A number of papers throughout the country have expressed a similar preference, and the idea seems to be popular. It is a long time yet before a candidate will be chosen, but at this stage of the game Grant's chances of again leading the Republican party to victory are reasonably promising.

TILDEN'S HUNDRED THOUSAND.

The evidence so far taken by the Potter investigating committee at Washington shows that the boldest and most corrupt effort to procure the commission of fraud in connection with the Louisiana election was made by Democratic agents. Judge A. B. Levee, now of Washington, one of the Hayes electors in that State, swears that one hundred thousand dollars were offered him to refuse to accept his certificate as an elector. A New Orleans banker, by the name of Asher, was the person by whom this bribery was to be effected, and who said he was to receive ten thousand dollars for it. This investigation, like all others instituted by the Democrats, has disclosed more Democratic rascality than Republican. Hadn't they better quit the business?

SOME RIGHTEOUS BULLDOZING.

It is surprising that anything usually so unrighteous as "bulldozing" can be made respectable and just. Whether criminal or not depends upon the manner in which it is done and the person upon whom it is perpetrated. The instance disclosed before is certainly commendable. It is contained in one of the letters sent by the President to the Potter investigating committee in response to their request for correspondence in his possession relating to the matter under examination. James E. Anderson, upon whose false testimony the Democrats are partly relying to make out their case against President Hayes, seems to have been valued at his true worth before the President recalled his commission as Consul to Funchal, and before he acknowledged himself on the witness stand to have committed willful and deliberate perjury. We can all enjoy this little bit of "bulldozing."

THE NATIONAL GREENBACK LABOR-REFORM PARTY.

Mr. Editor:—As the question has been frequently asked us, what we think of this party, you allow us to answer through the JOURNAL. One great object of the National Greenback party is, that its leading members are made up of disaffected office-seekers, and fault-finders. With everything the government has done for years is all wrong, and they would have us believe now, that they have been commissioned to investigate all the errors of our government, and that they are especially interested in the poor laboring class of men. The great trouble with these fault-finders is, that they have much clearer views of wrongs than rights. As long as they confine themselves to denunciations of the government, and to the exposure of its faults, they are doing good. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well enough so long as their object is to find fault, and to look at both sides of the question, and to point out the errors of the government. But when they begin to pull one up, just as it is with a government, it requires great wisdom to build one up again. So this National party may do well