The Globe.

HUNTINGDON, PA.

Wednesday morning, Oct. 7, 1868.

WM. LEWIS, HUGH LINDSAY, } EDITORS.

The "Globe" has the largest number of papers of any other paper published in the county. Advertisers should remember this.

OUR CANDIDATES:

"WE WILL FIGHT IT OUT ON THIS LINE."

FOR PRESIDENT,

ULYSSES S. GRANT.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,

SCHUYLER COLFAX.

FOR AUDITOR GENERAL,

GEN. JOHN F. HARTRANFT,

OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY.

FOR SURVEYOR GENERAL,

GEN. JACOB M. CAMPBELL,

OF CAMBRIA COUNTY.

FOR CONGRESS,

DANIEL J. MORRELL, of Cambria county.

FOR ASSEMBLY,

SAMUEL T. BROWN, of Huntingdon boro.
AMOS H. MARTIN, of Mifflintown.

FOR SHERIFF,

Capt. DAVID R. P. NEELY, of Dublin twp.

FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONER,

SIMEON WRIGHT, of Union township.

FOR DIRECTOR OF THE POOR,

JOHN MILLER, of West township.

FOR COUNTY SURVEYOR,

JAMES E. GLASGOW, of Cass township.

FOR COUNTY AUDITOR,

WILLIAM H. REX, of Mapleton borough.

FOR CORONER,

S. BRYSON CHANEY, of Huntingdon bor.

ONLY 20 CENTS.

The GLOBE will be sent to campaign subscribers, singly or in clubs, until the 18th of November, for 20 cents. No voter in the County is too poor to take a paper at such a price. If there are any, we will send such the GLOBE free of charge. Send in the names immediately.

The Full Union Republican Ticket.

We give on the opposite page a column of correct and full Union Republican tickets. Cut them out and have them ready for voting—but examine *them again before voting* and be sure that they have not been tampered with—that they are the same as when you cut them out, *free from pasters.*—You cannot be too careful to have your tickets *right*—you might be deceived by one you would least suspect. Every trick possible will be resorted to to defeat a portion of our ticket.—Be on your guard to detect and expose any man who may attempt to deceive a voter with a spurious or pasted ticket.

TUESDAY NEXT.--RALLY!

Union Republican voters of Huntingdon county, don't forget that on next Tuesday you can have the pleasure of firing the first broadside into the enemy's camp. Remember that the battle well begun is half won. Be on the ground early—HAVE EVERY VOTE OUT—stay by the polls until the last paper bullet is deposited in the ballot-box—see that our friends are not deceived with spurious tickets *now in circulation. Watch the pasters.* A few tickets lost in each district might defeat a portion of our ticket.—STRIKE NOT A SINGLE NAME.—Do the work clean and the co-workers with the Rebels will retire to their holes disgusted with themselves.

Do You See It?

Republicans of Huntingdon county, do you see what the Democrats expect to accomplish by their infamous abuse of Capt. Neely? If they cannot *defeat him,* they expect at least to make his run in his party some hundreds behind other Republicans on the same ticket, and if they succeed in that they believe they will have the seed sown to bring about a *disorganization* of the Union Republican party in the county.

Do you see it—or will you go it blind?

Republicans, Look Out for Big Lies!

This week's *Monitor* will "go it" on a high horse to try to *defeat somebody.* Morrell, Brown, Martin and Neely will come in for a heavy dose of the strongest lies the Rebel co-workers can manufacture. Have but one purpose, Union Republicans, and let that purpose be the support of every man nominated by your party—and your whole ticket will be elected—and the goose will hang high.

SEND IN THE RETURNS EARLY.

We hope our friends in every election district in the county will take the trouble to send us the returns as soon after the votes are counted as possible. We will delay our paper until Wednesday noon for the purpose of giving our readers the result in the county and State as early as possible.

☞ Voters, remember that you vote in October whether the war is a failure. Remember that the South is now organizing for Seymour and Blair under the same leaders that fought to keep in power Jeff. Davis and Stephens.

Union Republicans of Huntingdon County, Will you Permit the 'Monitor' Desperadoes to use you to Defeat your Party and its Candidates.

Be Not Deceived by Falsehoods.

Union Republicans, and independent voting Democrats, in all our experience as an editor we have never known of a more desperate attempt being made to destroy the character of a good and worthy citizen than is now being made by the editor of the *Monitor* and his "*aids*" to destroy the *unimpeachable* character of our brave soldier candidate for Sheriff, Capt. D. R. P. NEELY. Why they should make him a special mark of attack with all the vile falsehoods they can hatch, may not be understood by the careless observer of the political workings of parties. The office of Sheriff is an important office, as well in time of peace as in time of rebellion, and if Seymour and Blair should be elected it might be important to the Blair revolutionists to have one of their party filling the Sheriff's office in this and every other county in the loyal North. We do not say that Mr. Africa is not a *loyal* man, but we do say that he is a co-worker with disloyal men, and if elected he would feel in duty bound to act with his party and be influenced by its leaders. Mr. Africa's character as a citizen, is unimpeachable; politically he occupies the position of an earnest party man, and it is fair to suppose if elected he would act with his party no matter where the leaders might lead. After diligent inquiry from neighbors of Capt. Neely, men who have known him from boyhood up, we cannot learn anything else than that he as a citizen has as unimpeachable a character as can be claimed for his opponent Mr. Africa, the *falsehoods* of his political opponents and their few secret "aids" to the contrary notwithstanding.

But Capt. Neely must be defeated, or at least his majority must be reduced some hundreds below the *party vote!* The Democrats say it must be done. If they cannot defeat him they will be satisfied if they can reduce his majority so as to show that he was "cut" by a "faction" of his own party. Then at the next election they will call on the friends who stood by the Captain and voted the whole ticket, to "cut" the "faction" that opposed the regular nominees this fall, and by continuing at the same game they expect so to *disorganize* the Union Republican party of the county that they will in a short time be able to elect their own men with but little difficulty. Their plan to disorganize and finally to defeat the Republican party is a sharp enterprise—but it cannot be successful without the aid of Republicans, and we cannot think any Republican with his eyes open will lend himself as a tool to work out the defeat of his own party. If Republicans want an excuse to vote against Capt. Neely they should be honest enough to borrow one from some other source than the *Monitor.* If they can believe the *Monitor* falsehoods against Neely, it would be as convenient for them to believe its falsehoods against Grant. *Capt. Neely is the Union Republican nominee—he is a man of unimpeachable character as a citizen—was a brave soldier in the field against rebels and their sympathizers—and is worthy the vote of every Union Republican, and independent Democrat in the county.*

☞ Examine your tickets!

Examine your tickets!

EXAMINE YOUR TICKETS!

EXAMINE YOUR TICKETS!

Examine Your Tickets!

Republicans of Huntingdon county be sure to examine your tickets before you vote and see that you are not *deceived* into the support of the Democratic candidate for Sheriff. There are tickets now in circulation in every district in the county, printed just as full Republican tickets have been printed, with the single exception that the name of William Africa occupies the place that should be occupied by David R. P. Neely. Be not deceived into the support of such a ticket. See for yourselves before you vote that you have a *full* Republican ticket. Some of the *spurious* tickets are in the hands of men claiming to be good Republicans,—to what extent they will attempt to use them can only be exposed by vigilant friends of the Republican party who should make themselves active on the day of election.

Be vigilant!—be active!—and return a full and solid Republican vote from every district—but if you fail to do so, know who should take the responsibility.

☞ "Let us have peace"—not the prayer of the patriot, but the decree of a despot.—*Huntingdon Monitor.*

Grant not a patriot? Was he a despot when he crushed the rebellion? Was he a despot when he compelled General Lee to surrender? The Rebels would say he was. The Rebels would say Gen. Lee was a patriot and Gen. Grant was a despot—but we were not prepared to believe that a Democratic paper in loyal old Huntingdon would dare to denounce Grant as a despot after he had saved our country from being destroyed by Rebels. We would advise the *Monitor* to be politically honest and come out plainly and advocate secession and rebellion, and then the loyal portion of the Democratic party would see to where they are in danger of being dragged. "Let us have peace," not the prayer of a patriot? Shame on the man who can thus speak of General Grant.

☞ What does Gen. John A. Dix say? He says:

"I see but one source of *safety* for the country under existing circumstances, and that is the *election* of Gen. Grant."

Voters, Democrats as well as Republicans, listen to the warning words of one of the best men in the United States. John A. Dix is not a Republican—neither is he a Rebel "Democrat."

THE MONITOR'S BIG LIE.

Look Out for More of the Same Size.

The Editor Arrested and Bound Over.

The *Monitor* of last week published an article as editorial, charging Capt. Neely with being *beastly drunk* in this place on the Wednesday night previous. We give one paragraph of the article that our readers who do not see the *Monitor* may have an idea of the kind of *lying* our soldier candidate has to run against—it is as follows:

"It now becomes our humiliating duty—we say humiliating because we are related to Capt. Neely by closer ties than ordinary business or friendship—to warn the good people of this county against casting their suffrage for David R. P. Neely, for on Wednesday night last he was beastly drunk. During the day he put in his time in trading knives, and at 10 o'clock, p. m., commenced his drunken spree and kept it up until past midnight, when nature would stand it no longer, and sick with vomiting, the 'temperate' Captain was laid away for the night."

Now when we tell our readers that there is *not a word of truth* in the charges of the editor against Capt. Neely, what *can* they think of such a paper and the man or men who control its columns. We were in town during every hour Capt. Neely was here, and not until the Tuesday following was there a word uttered by any body charging Capt. Neely with being drunk here. We have seen some gentlemen who were in Capt. Neely's company on Wednesday evening until he went to bed, and they all say he was not drunk—that they did not believe he had tasted liquor during the evening or night. The Captain himself has told us that he did not taste any kind of liquor during the day or night. The Captain is not a drinking man, and we are very sure that we run no risk in venturing the assertion that there is not a respectable or responsible man in the town or county who will, under oath, say that Captain Neely is a drunkard or was drunk or under the influence of liquor on the Wednesday night referred to. The *Monitor* man and his "aids" must indeed be anxious to defeat Captain Neely when they will resort to such low filthy lying. They expected that they would not be interfered with—that they could lie as much as they pleased, but the Captain has put his foot down and now they must be satisfied with the course of law and justice. Capt. Neely, on Saturday last, had the editor of the *Monitor* arrested, and bound over to Court to answer for libel, and he is determined to put all others guilty of retailing the slander through the same "course of sprouts."

P. S.—See the statements of the gentlemen who were with Capt. Neely during the evening and night referred to.

☞ Frank Blair said the other night in an address to the Democracy of Pittsburg:

"Now, what is it that the Democrats propose if they obtain the power? They propose the restoration of peace by giving back the government of the Southern States into the hands of men of our own race, that created those Governments."

Mr. Blair means by this sentiment that if he should be elected Vice President that the Government of the Southern States will be given back into the hands of such men as Hampton, Forrest, Semmes, Vance, Wise and others, who are now clamoring to obtain that power. All their crimes of treason and rebellion are to be forgiven and forgotten; they are to be treated as if they had never rebelled; they are to be placed in the same positions they were before the war where they may be permitted to hatch over their schemes of breaking up the Union; they are to be given all they wanted when they sounded the tocsin of war and fired on Fort Sumter; their devilish and inhuman treatment of our Union soldiers is to be forgotten, and they are to be permitted to rule over the loyal men of the land.

Such is to be the situation of affairs if Frank Blair is made Vice President, and Horatio Seymour President. This, he says, is the way the Democratic party propose to restore peace. When peace is to come at such a sacrifice as this, then indeed will the Democratic professions that the war was a failure be true; then may the South, again organized under its old leaders, re-assert its dogmas, re-establish negro slavery, and pave the way for a final separation from the Union. Loyal men, can you trust the Democratic party with power in a time like this, and when the rebels are bold in declaring that they will get all they want when that party gets in power? This question we leave you to decide at the ballot-box in October and November.

☞ The utmost vigilance will be necessary on the part of the Republicans, to guard against fraudulent voters at the October election. Squads of new comers are making their appearance in this and many of the adjoining counties. These movements of strangers and carpet baggers are not of chance. There is a purpose to be executed, and it is the duty of Vigilance Committees to see that no fraudulent means are used to reduce our majority. The presence of these men, at such a time, and under the circumstances detailed to us, is sufficient to put Republicans on their guard. By placing these squads of carpet-baggers under strict surveillance, they can be prevented from voting should the attempt be made.

☞ We have been credibly informed by citizens of Barree that some few of our town Democrats were very active at the camp meeting in that township in retailing the *Monitor's* lies against Capt. Neely. They could have been engaged in a better business.

GET OUT EVERY UNION VOTE.

Has the "Monitor" Substantiated its Assertion?

At the conclusion of the *Monitor's* article charging Captain Neely with drunkenness is the following paragraph:

"If we cannot substantiate our assertion, within three days from this date (Sept. 29th, 1 P. M.) we will forfeit twenty-five dollars, and also publish the proof of the falsehood of our assertion in our columns next week."

Now, we are not a lawyer, and don't know exactly how a lawyer would take hold of the proposition of the editor—but we suppose he had the liberty at any time during the three days to "substantiate" his assertion. Has he done so—if not, will he pay over the $25 and take back the falsehood? On the last day of the three the editor "*slid,*" and remained away until the three days were up. *Perhaps* he had an eye on saving the $25 which were in danger if he had remained at home. But we are not particular about trifles—the important question is, has he *substantiated* his assertion? Up to this time, (Tuesday morning,) nobody has heard of any proof being offered by the editor to substantiate his assertion. His assertions hereafter will be worthless, and we are glad the enemies of Captain Neely have so early and openly exposed the game they are determined to play until the day of election. Republicans of the county, you will be perfectly safe in pronouncing FALSE *every* assertion the *Monitor* may make against any one of your candidates.—Wallace has given it a license to LIE to the extent of the abilities of its editor. Outside of politics we believe Mr. Cornman can and will tell the truth, but in politics, judging from the past, we don't believe it would be safe to believe a single word that may come from his lips or his pen.

☞ Gen. Frank P. Blair delivered a speech in Pittsburgh on Tuesday night last in which he says he did write the Broadhead letter, and he reiterated that the reconstruction acts are "null and void" and that the President of the United States *should maintain* the Constitution and see that the laws are not allowed to be executed. He proclaimed boldly that if Mr. Seymour is elected it will be his duty to support the rebel leaders in their insolent demands; aid them in forcibly resisting the laws; turn Congress out at the point of the bayonet, and treat it only as a "Rump," the "fragment of a Congress;" and, regardless of consequences, instal the rebels and reverse the verdict of the war.

All these things Blair does not hesitate to avow. Not Wade Hampton, or Forrest, or any rebel whatever, has yet uttered sentiments so revolutionary and dangerous as are contained in Blair's Tuesday night speech—a speech that was applauded as the genuine doctrine. It is the doctrine, we may be sure, that will shape the policy of the administration of Seymour, should the Democrats triumph—rather of Blair, for who believes that Blair would not be the leading spirit, if he did not become the real head of the administration?

OVERDOING THEIR DIRTY WORK.—The *maliciously false charges of the Monitor* against Capt. Neely are driving honest men of the Democratic party in every part of the county over to his support. Republicans too, warm personal friends of Mr. Africa, who intended to vote for him, now declare they will vote for Capt. Neely because of the unjust abuse of a brave soldier by the warm party friends of the Democratic candidate. The friends of Gen. Grant cannot be used by the so-called Democracy to aid in defeating one of his brave soldiers. When the election is over, and Capt. Neely is declared elected, as he will be, his enemies will hang their heads in shame for the dirty part they performed to defeat him. They will then feel how *low* they stooped to conquer.

☞ John S. Miller expects to be elected—at least so he says. Well, if Republicans in this Representative district can vote for him to defeat Saml. T. Brown, one of the best men in the district, they will be prepared to do most anything else. We could as soon think that Republicans could vote for Seymour to defeat Grant, as to think it possible for Republicans to vote for Miller to defeat Brown. The idea cannot be entertained for a moment—a United States Senator will be elected, next winter, and the Republican who votes for Miller or Taylor votes for a so-called Democrat for United States Senator for six years. Good Union Republicans can't be caught in such a trap.

☞ Blair was nominated by the Democratic National Convention because he had said in a letter that Republican reconstruction in the Southern States should be upset by the bayonet. The Blair spirit is at work in the Southern States. Every day we see accounts of Republican meetings being disturbed and broken up by Rebels in arms. *Their conduct* now towards the loyal people of those States is mild to what it will be should Seymour and Blair be elected. Elect Seymour and Blair and there can be no doubt of another rebellion. Elect Grant and Colfax and we will have peace, as the rebels will have poor encouragement to continue their opposition to the loyal people of the South.

☞ The circulation of the *Globe* is now some hundreds greater than it ever was, and is much larger than any other paper in the county, and we have noticed wherever it circulates most general, there the most lively party feeling prevails and the most determined effort is making to give a full and solid vote for the whole ticket.

☞ Vote for Brown and Martin.

THE STATE.

We have cheering news from every part of the State. Hartranft and Campbell are popular with the people everywhere. They cannot be otherwise, for they were brave soldiers in the fight to save our country from Rebel rule, and should they be wanted again to face the enemy they will be on hand at the tapping of the drum. Every county promises to give an increased Union Republican vote over last year—and if they do as well as we believe old Huntingdon will do, our majority will not be less than fifteen or twenty thousand.

☞ Gen. Geo. B. McClellan arrived in New York from Europe last week. A procession of Democrats gave him a 'welcome home' in the evening. The General's speech was very short—making eight lines. Three cheers were proposed for Seymour and Blair, and given, but not without many hisses from the same men who cheered for M'Clellan. Thousands of warm friends of Gen. McClellan will vote for Gen. Grant—they are not ready to be handed over to the Rebels.

☞ Hon. D. J. Morrell, our candidate for Congress, will go over the course about as he did before, only a little more so. Col. Linton his opponent, is a popular Democrat, was a good soldier, is a very clever gentleman, but unfortunately for him he has hitched on with the rebels to help put them in power again before they are fit to be rulers over the country they tried to destroy. Col. Linton can't come in this time

☞ The "Democrats" say they will defeat a portion of our ticket. We don't believe they can. We have pledges from every district that the party will vote the ticket *solid.* There is not a single man on our ticket can be defeated if it receives the vote of the party, and there is no good reason why it should not. We are of the opinion that some of the anxious and easily fooled Democrats will be badly disappointed when they hear from certain districts.

☞ The *Monitor's* "crazy *boy*" story *did'nt pay expenses.* The "big drunk" will pay the editor about as well.—What next? Perhaps the Captain will have murdered somebody in this week's issue of that truth-telling paper. We will at least expect to hear that the Captain has been doing *something* to annoy the editor.

☞ A New Orleans paper says: "The Blair Guards, on Friday, and the Seymour Guides, on Saturday, paraded through the streets, carrying the Confederate colors—the three bars, red white and red—which attracted general attention, and excited emotions of approval or condemnation, according to the political bias of the spectator."

☞ There is nothing but revolution in the utterances of Democratic speakers, North and South. Can we have peace when such men get in power? Voters, ask yourselves the question.

"The Unkindest Cut."

An Ex-Confederate denounces the Democratic Programme.

The Raleigh (N. C.) *Standard* publishes a long letter from General Rufus Barringer, formerly an officer of the Confederate army accepting the nomination of the Republican Elector of North Carolina. He says:—

"I can, under no circumstances, vote the present Democratic programme. I have no special fondness for Republicans; but they never deceived us, and I can at least respect and trust them. This I cannot do with the Northern Democracy. They encouraged our secession leaders into war and then deserted us—many of them leading the Union armies against us. The Republicans warned us against secession, and did only what they said they would. On some accounts I prefer a military man. As a class they have few prejudices. The soldiers of the two armies will be first to forget the unhappy past, and rejoice together in the hopes of the future. Gen. Grant was magnanimous to us in the surrender. He has uttered no unkind words to us. He has commended the noble qualities of the Southern army. He is neither a negro hater or a negro worshipper. It can now only be his ambition to restore the Union he has saved—to restore it in all its parts, its interests, its sympathies, and its aspirations. He will not only give us peace and prosperity, but a Union we can love and a government we can honor."

Democratic Naturalization Frauds in Philadelphia.

PHILADELPHIA, October 1.

John Devine, arrested by the police last night for snapping a pistol as a Republican procession was passing in the street, had on his person twelve certificates of naturalization signed by Prothonotary Snowden, with the seal of the court on them, but a blank left for names. He was committed.

Joseph A. Watson, who was vouched on *nearly one hundred naturalization papers,* has been arrested.

Six men were arrested to-day for attempting to get assessed in wards where they do not live, with the intention of duplicating the votes.

The excitement in relation to the naturalization is increasing. From an examination of the records in Court it appears that some thousands of papers have been issued in which the residences of the persons vouching for applicants were in no instance taken down, so that the record of the Court is useless in any attempt to prosecute the voucher for perjury in cases of fraud.

Fifteen roughs from Baltimore have been arrested for assailing the Boys in Blue on the cars.

☞ VOTE THE WHOLE TICKET.

Read! Read!

The "Monitor's" Slander against Capt. D. R. P. Neely

NAILED!

The following statements by respectable citizens of Huntingdon, should be sufficient to satisfy any reasonable man that the assertion of the *Monitor* that Capt. D. R. P. NEELY was "beastly drunk" in this place on Wednesday night the 23d ult., is FALSE. They should also satisfy any man that it will be unsafe to believe any assertion the *Monitor* has made or may hereafter make to injure our candidates. Read the statements, and then loan your paper to your neighbor if he should not have one of his own.

HUNTINGDON, Oct. 5, 1868.

To William Lewis, Editor Globe:—Dear Sir:—In last week's issue of the *Monitor,* there is a statement, charging Capt. D. R. P. Neely with being beastly drunk on Wednesday night, the 23d of September last. I consider it a duty I owe to Captain Neely, who was a brave and loyal soldier, to disprove this base slander. Capt. D. R. P. Neely was in the store of Cunningham & Carmon, the evening in question, up until the time of closing the store, which was about 9 o'clock in the evening. The Captain was neither drunk nor had he been drinking. The *Monitor* need not think by such base calumnies as these it can induce Republicans to vote against the soldier.

S. B CHANEY.

Sworn and subscribed before me this 5th day of October, 1868.

J. R SIMPSON, Prothy.

HUNTINGDON, Oct. 5, 1868.

To Wm Lewis, Editor Globe:—Dear Sir:—I was much surprised to see in last week's *Monitor,* the charge of drunkenness brought against Capt. D. R. P. Neely, stating that Capt. Neely was beastly drunk on the evening of Wednesday, the 23d of September last. I was with Capt. Neely and Sergeant Levi Graham on that evening up until 9 or half past nine o'clock. I can testify that he neither was drunk nor had he been drinking. Captain Neely has the reputation of a brave and loyal soldier, and it makes the blood of loyal men tingle to hear the *Monitor,* that sheet that called our brave soldier boys Lincoln hirelings, while the war was going on and they were periling life and all they held dear in defence of our country, now trying to blacken their character, when the people have nominated them for office.

Yours, &c., JOHN MAJOR.

Sworn and subscribed before me this 5th day of October, 1868.

J. R. SIMPSON, Prothy.

HUNTINGDON, Oct. 5, 1868.

To William Lewis, Editor Globe:—Dear Sir:—I saw in last week's *Monitor* a statement, stating that Capt. D. R. P. Neely was "beastly drunk" on Wednesday night the 23d of September last. I consider it a duty that I owe to Captain Neely to clear his character from this vile charge. I was with Capt. Neely on the evening in question up until 10 o'clock, and know that he had not been drinking and that he was perfectly sober. I would further state that I have known Capt. D. R. P. Neely all my life, that we have lived near neighbors from boyhood, that I have never known or heard of his being a drinking character, that I was Sergeant of Co. I, 149th Regiment, of which Capt. Neely was Captain, and that neither in the army or out of it, I never knew Capt. Neely to be under the influence of liquor. The Captain was a brave and loyal soldier. I would in honor of the Captain state that when our company first enlisted to wit: Aug. 7th, 1862, Capt. Neely also volunteered, but was rejected on account of being crippled in the knee. He then persuaded a young man of this county, to represent him before the examining Surgeon, and after the examination Capt. Neely took his place, and by this means got into the service. He enlisted as a private, and by meritorious conduct rose to be Captain of the company. He was in every battle his company was engaged in.

LEVI G. GRAHAM.

Sworn and subscribed before me this 5th day of October, A. D., 1868.

J. R. SIMPSON, Prothy.

HUNTINGDON, Oct. 5, 1868.

To William Lewis, Editor Globe:—Dear Sir:—In last weeks *Monitor* there was a statement stating that Capt. D. R. P. Neely was beastly drunk. I consider it a duty that I owe to a brave soldier to clear his character from the foul charge brought against him by the *Monitor.* On the Wednesday evening in question, Capt. Neely was in my office with Mr. Levi Graham, of Mount Union for about one hour, and left the office about half past ten o'clock in the evening. Up until half past 10 o'clock that evening I can clear cheerful testimony Capt. Neely had not been drinking and was perfectly sober. I would further state that I have known Capt. Neely intimately for over 12 years and during that time I never know him to take a glass of liquor.

W. H. WOODS.

Sworn and subscribed this 5th day of October, A. D., 1868.

J. R. SIMPSON, Prothy.

HUNTINGDON, Oct. 5, 1868.

To Wm. Lewis, Editor Globe:—Dear Sir:—In the Huntingdon *Monitor* of the 30th ult., I notice an article charging that Capt. D. R. P. Neely, our candidate for Sheriff, was "beastly drunk" in this town on the night of Wednesday, the 23th ult. I cannot say that I am well acquainted with Captain Neely, but as I know this charge to be unjust and unfounded I deem it my duty to contradict it. It happened that on the evening in question I was called to Altoona on business, and returning to Huntingdon, with the 11 o'clock train I met Capt. Neely at the depot. I think that I had met him once before this time, and was only formally acquainted with him, but he recognized me and walked up street with me stating that he was stopping at the Jackson House. The train being a few minutes late, the Jackson House was closed, whereupon I invited him to the Morrison House, and we remained there together until morning, both of us sleeping in Room No. 12. Although the train was a few minutes late Capt. Neely was in bed before midnight. I conversed with him for some time but I noticed nothing in his conduct or conversation from which I can infer that he was under the influence of liquor. He talked rationally and intelligently and in every respect behaved himself in a courteous and becoming manner. The writer of the article above referred to has certainly been misinformed, and it is to be hoped that he will not hesitate to make the necessary correction. For the truth of my statement I refer to Mr. Hildebrand, the proprietor of the Morrison House, and if requested I will give the names of other gentlemen who saw and conversed with Captain Neely, on the night of the 23ult.

Yours, &c., P. M. LYTLE.

HUNTINGDON COUNTY SS:

P. M. Lytle, having duly been sworn, says that the above statement is correct and true as he verily believes. Witness my hand the 5th day of October, 1868.

PETER SWOOPE, J. P.

HUNTINGDON, Oct. 5, 1868.

To William Lewis, Editor Globe:—Dear Sir:—In the last issue of the "Monitor" I see it stated that Captain D. R. P. Neely on the night of Wednesday, the 23d of September last, was beastly drunk. I consider it my duty to inform you that Captain Neely that night, stayed at the Morrison House, of which I am one of the proprietors, that he went to bed about 11 o'clock in the same room with P. M. Lytle, and that he was perfectly sober.

W. W. HILDEBRAND.

Sworn and subscribed before me this 5th day of October, A. D., 1868.

J. R. SIMPSON, Prothy.

BIDDING FOR THE Temperance Vote.

The "Democrats" are *SLANDERING* Capt. NEELY for the purpose of securing the votes of temperance men for their candidate!—and the men most active in the abuse of the brave soldier are those who drink the hardest. Out upon such vile slanderers, and stand by the man who faced in many a battle field the rebel enemies of our country.

Union Republican Voters,

OF EVERY ELECTION DISTRICT

Believe that *Your Tickets* ARE RIGHT ONLY AFTER You EXAMINE THEM CAREFULLY at the Window

JUST BEFORE VOTING.

See for yourselves that EVERY NAME IS *CORRECT.*

The "Democrats" expect to have you DECEIVED in some way. WATCH the **Spurious and Pasted Tickets.**

See that no one slips into your pocket or exchanges with you a spurious or pasted ticket.

WATCH EVERYBODY

and be sure you are not deceived at the LAST MOMENT.

Stand by your Ticket, it was fairly nominated and is a GOOD one.

628. HOOP SKIRTS 628.

AND

CORSETS, CORSETS.

WM. T. HOPKINS,

NO. 628 Arch Street, PHILADELPHIA,

MANUFACTURER OF THE

Celebrated "Champion" Hoop Skirts

FOR LADIES, MISSES AND CHILDREN,

The largest assortment, and best quality and styles in the *American Market. Every lady should try them,* as they *recommend themselves* by wearing longer, retaining their shape much better, being lighter and more *elastic* than all others—WARRANTED *in every respect,* and sold at very low prices. Ask for HOPKINS' "CHAMPION" SKIRT.

Superior Hand-made Whale-Bone CORSETS in Fifteen different Grades, including the "Imperial" and Thompson & Langdon's "GLOVE FITTING" CORSETS, ranging in prices from $1 Cents to $5.50; together with Joseph Rockel's CELEBRATED FRENCH WOVEN CORSETS, superior shapes and quality, Ten different Grades, from $1.10 to $5.50. They are the finest and best goods for the prices, ever imported. The trade supplied with HOOP SKIRTS and CORSETS *at the Lowest Rates.*

Those visiting the City should not fail to call and examine our Goods and Prices, as we defy all competition.

se, t16, to dec26.

CREW'S

Prepared Sinapism or Spread

MUSTARD PLASTER.

Warranted to preserve unimpaired its strength in any climate equally with the Ground Mustard.

The attention of Physicians, the Drug Trade, and the public generally, is respectfully called to the above specialty, designed to meet a want which is believed to have been long felt among the appliances of the sick room, viz: An easy and expeditious method of obtaining the *remedial effects of mustard, without resorting to the ordinary crude and troublesome mustard poultice,* with its attendant discomforts.

Put up handsomely in boxes of one dozen each, in three different sizes. Price 75, 87, and $1 per dozen.

A liberal discount to the Wholesale Trade.

Prepared only by B. J. CREW, 55 North Sixth Street, Philadelphia, Pa. July 15, '68-3m; spurgh.