

TERMS OF THE GLOBE.

Per annum in advance \$1.50
 Six months " " .75
 Three months " " .40
 A delivery to be discontinued at the expiration of the term subscribed for will be considered a new subscription.

TERMS OF ADVERTISING.

Four lines or less, 1 insertion, 2 cts. 3 do.
 Five lines, 1 insertion, 2 1/2 cts. 3 do.
 Six lines, 1 insertion, 3 cts. 3 do.
 One square, (12 lines), 1 insertion, 1 1/2 do.
 Two squares, 1 insertion, 2 do.
 Three squares, 1 insertion, 2 1/2 do.
 Over three weeks and less than three months, 25 cents per square for each insertion.

Six lines or less, 3 months, 6 months, 12 months.
 One square, 3 months, 50 cts. 6 months, 70 cts. 12 months, 1 1/2 do.
 Two squares, 3 months, 70 cts. 6 months, 90 cts. 12 months, 1 1/2 do.
 Three squares, 3 months, 90 cts. 6 months, 1 1/2 do. 12 months, 2 do.
 Four squares, 3 months, 1 1/2 do. 6 months, 2 do. 12 months, 2 1/2 do.
 Half a column, 3 months, 2 do. 6 months, 2 1/2 do. 12 months, 3 do.
 One column, 3 months, 3 do. 6 months, 3 1/2 do. 12 months, 4 do.
 Professional and Business Cards not exceeding four lines, 50 cts. per year.
 Advertisements not marked with the number of insertions desired, will be continued until notified and charged according to these terms.

The Globe

WILLIAM LEWIS, Editor and Proprietor. —PERSEVERE— TERMS, \$1.50 a year in advance.

VOL. XX. HUNTINGDON, PA., WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 1864. NO. 23.

THE GLOBE JOB PRINTING OFFICE.

THE "GLOBE JOB OFFICE" is the most complete of any in the country, and has the most complete facilities for promptly executing the best style, every variety of job printing, such as—

HAND BILLS, PROGRAMMES, BLANKS, POSTERS, GARDS, BILL HEADS, CIRCULARS, BALL TICKETS, LABELS, &c., &c. &c.

CALL AND EXAMINE SPECIMENS OF WORK, AT LEWIS' BOOK, STATIONERY & MUSIC STORE.

The Globe.

HUNTINGDON, PA.

SUNRISE.

The skylark cleaves the distant blue,
 That stoops to chase the waking world,
 While flowers fling up their crowns of dew,
 And buds of heaven are here unfurled.
 Pure as the dew dissolved in air,
 Like incense rising from the sod,
 Shall be our morning praise and prayer,
 While faith shall wing our words to God.

He rolls the sun to its declivity,
 And bows it on the realms afar,
 To let the modest glow-worm shine,
 And man behold the evening star.
 With wings of flame he sweeps away
 The stars above so thickly spread,
 To light the ant with golden ray,
 And show man where to find his bread.

Like silver rain the light will fall
 From rosy morning's torch of flame,
 Where matted beetle licks his ball,
 And the wise beaver builds his dam.
 The sun looked from the sky and smiled,
 And earth awoke with song of bliss,
 As wakes the sweet and smiling child,
 Waked by a mother's morning kiss.

An Appeal.

MR. EDITOR:—Past history furnishes ample evidence of the danger of a union of the civil and ecclesiastical departments of human government; but many of the benefits and blessings of life are the results of their harmonious working together. The line of separation between the province of benevolence and that of civil legislation oscillates with the advancement of the human race. Thus, we must have civil laws by which Cressus may enforce or collect his claims against Lazarus, and we must not have civil authority to compel a citizen to take up, and dress the wounds of the traveler who has fallen among thieves; but the principle of benevolence with powerful sanctions forbids Cressus committing Lazarus to the "tender mercy" of dogs, and sweetly constrains the neighbor to take tender care of the stranger who has fallen among thieves.

One of the greatest lights of the present age, both in theological and philosophical lore, was so deeply impressed with the danger of the civil encroaching upon the benevolent department, that he advocated the doctrine that the poor rates and levies in Scotland should be expunged from the civil statute book, and emanate freely from the province of benevolence.

Now, we can conceive of such a state of society as would justify the doctrine of the immortal Chalmers, but it is not our high privilege to enjoy it; on the contrary, we need the harmonious interaction of both these departments.

Our country has indeed fallen amid thieves, robbers and perjured villains, but it filtered not nor quailed with ten thousand daggers pointed at its heart. Our struggle has been a protracted and fearful one against the combined powers of earth and hell; and we may truly say with the ancient prophet, that had not God been upon our side we would have fallen under the powers of darkness. Never since the history of ancient Israel, has the hand of God been so visible as it has been in our present struggle for national existence. At first our ground had but to stamp upon the ground and the lovers of human liberty looked to his standard by hundreds of thousands.—"This cruel war has continued over three years, the power of rebellion is still decreasing, the rebels begin to feel they are in the throes of death, that they have lost their power, and that their long cherished institution, for the perpetration of which they have deluged our land with blood, is falling to pieces by their own folly, and that slavery shall soon be written with things that are past. But in anticipation of final triumph, have we done, and are we doing, all that we should do, to mitigate the suffering of those who have so valiantly stood between our peaceful homes, and ruthless rebels who have been struggling for three long years to break down our model government, desolate our land and roll us back into the dark ages of anarchy and ruin.

No civil government has done so much for the benefit of their armies as ours has done; but it is obvious that it is not possible for our civil authority to reach all the claims which our sick, wounded and dying soldiers have upon us, and therefore our Sanitary and Christian Commissions have risen out of the province of benevolence, in order to alleviate those sufferings amongst our soldiers, which could not be reached in no other way. You search in vain for such a pouring out of wealth for benevolent purposes.—Witness the millions of money expen-

ded, the benignant and unpaid labors of agents, and listen to the testimony of the sufferers, and you must conclude that our Christian Commission has the seal of one of the strongest evidences of the living power of Christianity.—We again ask the question, Have we done all that we should do for the benefit of our suffering soldiers? We have no sympathy for that coercive agrarianism of radical politicians, which would break down all incentives to virtuous industry; but we have strong faith in that benevolence which labors to equalize the comforts of our race, beginning at home, and first amongst those who have been our benefactors, where benevolence is only the hand-maid of Justice. And where can we look for such objects of our kind regards if not amongst the thousands of our sick, wounded and dying soldiers, and those who are in cruel captivity.

The Christian Commission has been doing benevolent work on a larger scale than any voluntary institution of the kind to be found upon the pages of history; but we think its means might be greatly enlarged, and its field of usefulness extended. Up to the present time its funds have been in a great measure supplied by the rich whose profession has ministered to its benevolent labors. All that is now wanted to make the institution the admiration of the world is, to form such organizations as will appeal to every benevolent heart, collect the widows' mites, and the children's pennies, and give all an easy opportunity of contributing to the comfort of those who have contributed so freely to secure our peaceful firesides at such fearful expense to themselves. And here, without dictation, it is respectfully suggested, that if each county in the State and in every other State, would get up a proper organization, and conduct it with spirit, the aggregate of such collections would be immense.—Now, suppose good old Huntingdon county would set the example, and try for the experiment. Few towns of the same size contain as many professional men who make an open profession of Christianity as Huntingdon. Then let there be a county convention called, let there be a large central committee appointed, whose duty it might be to manage the affairs of the association, let there be a sub-committee appointed for each township and borough, let them call meetings or see to it that every family be visited, and requested to contribute something for the soldiers' comfort. If such action should be taken in every county, and each association become auxiliary to the Christian Commission, the sacrifices made by each individual would be small, and the comfort communicated would transcend all calculation. Will not some individuals have sufficient courage to call such a meeting, and try the experiment? Every benevolent gift tends to expand the human heart, and renders subsequent acts of kindness more pleasant, and every mite given might cool a burning fever, or wipe away a widow's tear.

WHAT THE SUN DOES.—Leaving out of account the eruption of volcanoes, and the ebb and flow of the tides, every mechanical action on the earth's surface, every manifestation of power, organic or inorganic, vital and physical, is produced by the sun. His heat keeps the sea liquid, and the atmosphere a gas, and the storms which agitate both, are blown by the mechanical force of the sun. He lifts the rivers and the glaciers up the mountains, and thus the catarract and the avalanche are produced with an energy derived immediately from him. Thunder and lightning are also his transmitted strength. Every fire that burns and every flame that glows dispenses heat and light which originally belonged to the sun. In these days, unappreciated, the news of battle is familiar to us, but every shock and every charge is in application or misapplication of the mechanical force of the sun. He blows the trumpet, urges the projectile, he bursts the bomb. And remember this is not poetry, but rigid mechanical truth. He rears, as I have said, the whole vegetable world, and through the animals; the lilies of the field are his workmanship, the vendure of the meadows, and the cattle upon a thousand hills. He urges the blood, he builds the brain. His fecundity is in the deer's foot; he springs in the panther; he coils in the eagle; he slides in the snake. He builds the forest and hews it down, the power which raised the trees and yields the axe being one and the same. The clover sprouts and blossoms and the sythe of the mower swings, by the operation of the same force. The sun digs the ore from the mines; he rolls the iron; he rivets the plates; he boils the water; he draws the train. He not only grows the cotton, but he spins the fiber and weaves the web. There is not a hammer raised, a wheel turned, a shuttle thrown, that is not raised and thrown by the sun. His energy is poured forth into space, but our world is a halting place where his energy is conditioned.—Professor Tyndal.

Don't Give it Up.

"I can't do it, father. Indeed I can't."
 "Never say can't, my son. It isn't a good word."
 "But I can't father. And if I can't, the answer won't come out right."
 "Suppose you try again, Edward," said Mr. Williams, the father of the discouraged boy.
 "There's no use in it," replied the lad.
 "What if you go to school to-morrow, without the correct answer to the sum?"
 "I'll be put down in my class," returned Edward.
 Mr. Williams shook his head, and his countenance assumed a grave aspect. There was a silence of a few moments, and the father said:
 "Let me relate to you a true story, my son. Thirty years ago, two lads about your age were school companions. Both got on well for a time; but as their studies grew difficult, both suffered discouragement, and each said often to his father, as you said to me, 'I can't.' One of these boys whose name is Charles, had a brighter mind than the other, and could get through his 'table cases,' but his father was very indulgent to him, and when he complained that his lessons were too hard, and said, 'I can't do this,' and 'I can't do that,' he requested the teacher not to be so hard with him.
 "But it was different with the father of the other boy, named Henry. To every complaint he answered, 'don't give it up, my boy! Try again. You can do it—I know you can.'"
 "Thus encouraged, this lad persevered, and in every case, overcame the difficulties in his way. Soon, although his mind was not so active as his companions, he was in advance of him.—When they left the school, which was about the same time, he was far the best scholar. Why was this? He did not give up because his task was hard; for he had learned this important lesson—that we can do almost anything if we try.

"Well, these two boys grew up towards manhood, and it became necessary for them to enter upon some business. Charles was placed by his father in the office of a physician; but he did not stay there long. He found it difficult in the beginning, to remember the names and uses of the various organs of the body, and soon became so much discouraged, that his father thought it best to alter his intention regarding him and put him into a merchant's room, instead of continuing him as a student of medicine. Here Charles remained until he became of age. Some years afterwards he went into business for himself, and got on pretty well for a time; but every young man who enters the world dependent upon his own efforts, meets with difficulties that only courage, confidence and perseverance can overcome. He must never think of giving up. Unfortunately for Charles, these virtues did not make a part of his character. When troubles and difficulties came, he sunk under a feeling of discouragement, and he 'gave up' at a time when all that was needed for final success was a spirit of indomitable perseverance, that removes all obstacles. He sunk to rise no more.—In giving up the struggle, he let go his hope in the future—and ere he had reached the prime of life, he found himself shattered in fortune, and without the energy of character necessary to repair it.
 "In the same office where Charles was placed, Henry was entered as a student of medicine. At first when he looked into the books of anatomy, and read the names of bones, muscles, nerves, arteries, &c., it seemed to him that he could never learn these names, much less their various uses in the human body. For a time he gave way to the feeling of discouragement, but then he thought of the many hard tasks he had learned by application, and he would apply with renewed efforts. Little by little he acquired the knowledge he was seeking. Daily he learned something, and it was not long before he could look back and mark the steps of his progress. This encouraged him greatly. Soon new and greater difficulties presented themselves; but encouraged by past triumphs, he encountered them in a confident spirit, and came off conqueror.
 "Thus Henry went on, while Charles gave up quickly. In the end, the former was graduated with honor and then entered upon the practice of the profession he had chosen. There was not much to encourage him at first. People do not readily put confidence in a

SHERMAN.

The Beginning of his Movement—What he did Before he Started—His Probable Course—The Points open to his Blows—The Character of the Country—His Force and that Opposed to Him—Chances in Favor of Success.

Since the rebel papers have seen fit to announce to their readers that their War Department had received "startling news" from Sherman, and at the same time have shown no desire to publish it, and since, according to a dispatch in our telegraphic columns, the rebels have refused of late to exchange papers, public curiosity has a right to be highly aroused, and to wonder where Sherman is, and what he is doing. There are two theories relative to this mysterious and bold advance. First, that he is marching towards the Atlantic coast with hostile intentions on the rebel prison pens and on the cities of Savannah and Charleston; and the other is that he is advancing on Mobile. The first is the general Northern theory, because Sherman hinted darkly in a letter to a Western Sanitary Commission agent; because our *Sanitary* prisoners are all in that direction; because it would cut the Confederacy in two, and divide all that part in which the rebellion throbs with the intensest life—North Carolina and Virginia—and because his officers and men believe he is going there. The rebels seem to lean to the latter theory, because the last dates they had from him came from Selma, Alabama, and because a great fleet of Union transports and war vessels have been concentrating in the harbor of Mobile. Our readers can judge for themselves from this brief statement of the reasons and facts that support the two theories which is the most likely—which, if carried out, would be the most advantageous to the Union cause. Mobile is 270, Charleston 230, and Savannah 210 miles from Atlanta in a direct line.—To the east of Macon and one hundred and seventy miles southeast of Atlanta lies Andersonville, and short distances to the northwest and southwest are the other pens in which our soldiers are murdered by slow tortures. There are no topographical difficulties between Atlanta and Mobile, but the country is not half so well adapted for the supply of an advancing army of invaders. There are no topographical difficulties between Atlanta and Charleston and Savannah, but the country is fruitful, and an army can live.

The movements of Sherman, however, point out with sufficient clearness what his objects may be. After a few strategic moves, by which he drew Hood out of Georgia, and planted him on the shores of the Tennessee, at Florence, Alabama, he suddenly makes a dart at right angles to his former course, and returns to Atlanta, arriving there November 7th.—Hood having then, and probably having now, four weak corps, under Lee, Chatham and Taylor, amounting in all to about 25,000 men, five thousand cavalry, and about 70 pieces of artillery. He left the army of the Cumberland, 35,000 strong, under Thomas, to entertain this rebel company, while he hid him away South, to carry out other plans, every day leaving the army that would have impeded his march miles in his rear. On November 9th Sherman had 47,000 men, 10,000 of whom were cavalry.—These he divided into two columns, the first of which he marched along the railroad to Macon. On November 12th, the second column moved toward Augusta, where it is believed the programme was to unite for further operations. On the 10th the army in camp and the army on the march stretched along the Macon railroad as far down as the Chattahoochee river, which river is crossed by the railroad eight miles north of Atlanta. To the rear-guards were assigned the task of burning everything combustible in the whole country from Atlanta to Dalton. This work, we believe, has been thoroughly done. Every town and hamlet has been destroyed; Atlanta is a heap of ashes, and the inhabitants have all been sent to Nashville. They were mostly women and children, the men having gone South, either voluntarily or compulsorily. On the night of the 12th the last torch had been applied, and Sherman's axiom was again verified—not a resting place for an enemy was left in his rear. The last train of cars left Atlanta on the morning of the 12th; the railroad was destroyed to Dalton; all communication with Sherman ceased, and his subsequent movements have been involved in utter mystery. Cast loose from all base lines, afloat in the very midst of rebellion, but with a steady, fearless and skilful pilot to guide, our army is on

Labor no Barrier to Knowledge.

"It is difficult," says Bancroft, "to pride to put its ear to the ground, and listen to the teachings of a lowly humanity." The opinion and the educated have but little idea of the great mental achievements of the children of toil, and the labor of those who had to rely upon their exertions and diligence for all that they have done. Genius is universal, and there are no barriers fencing in a possession of humanity from perceptions and intellectual enjoyments peculiar to another section. There are so many instances of genius in humble life recorded upon the historic page, that the lowly laborer may read, and thereby be incited to emulate the examples of those who, from humble beginnings and obscure origin, rose to distinction and eminence. Many of the finest ancient poets were from the ranks of labor and the poor; and some, not merely from the ranks of servitude, but of slavery, thus precluding the idea of divorcing literature from labor. Efforts have been made to divorce them, by endeavoring to render labor disagreeable.—The farmer's boy sighs for the time when he may leave the plow, and the mechanic's son the drudgery of his father's workshop, and betake himself to the employments which by reason of a false and senseless pride they esteem more respectable.

A writer has truly said:—"Mind indeed rules all; the hand could not do without the mind, but neither could the mind without the hand; mind gives the conception and the plan, but the hand gives to the mind execution and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be neither Literary nor Labor, without both of these in combination. How is it that in the course of these latter ages these two have diminished their respect for each other? The hand has sunk to the hand, and durability; in fact, in our true sense, man could be