

TERMS OF THE GLOBE.

For annum in advance \$1 50
Six months 1 00
Three months 50
A failure to notify a discontinuance at the expiration of the term subscribed for will be considered a new engagement.

TERMS OF ADVERTISING.

1 insertion 20 cts. 2 do. 30 cts.
Four lines or less 25 cts. 37 1/2 cts. 50 cts.
One square (12 lines) 50 cts. 75 cts. 1 00
Two squares 1 00 1 50 2 00
Three squares 1 50 2 25 3 00
Over three weeks and less than three months, 25 cents per square for each insertion.

The Globe

WILLIAM LEWIS,

PERSEVERE.

Editor and Proprietor.

VOL. XVI.

HUNTINGDON, PA., JULY 25, 1860.

NO. 5.

Political.

To the Democratic Party.

It is, no doubt, already known to you that the late National Convention at Charleston and Baltimore, nominated Stephen A. Douglas, of Illinois, for President, and H. V. Johnson, of Georgia, for Vice President.

Under the regular call of the National Committee, acknowledged by every Democrat in the United States, and in conformity with the universal custom of the party, the Convention assembled at Charleston, on the 23d of April, 1860.

After a careful and patient investigation by the Committee, a report was made to the Convention. This was unanimous in every case, except that of New York.

This completed the organization of the Convention. The Rules of the House of Representatives of the United States were adopted for the government of the Convention.

Two principles of Legislative, or Parliamentary law, may be here stated, as they have important bearings upon the regularity of the nomination now being considered.

It is confidently asserted that both of these propositions are clearly established by the common law on the subject, in all civilized countries, unless some statute should provide otherwise.

With the first of these propositions every member of the Convention was fully satisfied, because every one acquiesced in the decision of the Convention and remained to participate in the further proceedings thereof.

The Convention continued in session with its 303 members, until the seventh and eighth days. At this time, some of the delegates became dissatisfied with the platform adopted by the Convention.

As already stated, the ground and the only ground, of this secession was the Platform adopted by the Convention.

Before proceeding to a ballot, a proposition was offered on behalf of some of the Southern States remaining in the Convention, to the effect that the President of the Convention should not declare any person nominated until such person should have received a two-thirds vote of the whole Convention when full.

On the 18th of June, the Convention re-assembled at Baltimore. No delegates appeared from South Carolina and Florida.

One delegation (the Seceders) appeared from Texas. This was not elected by the people, or by any Convention, but was accredited by the State Central Committee.

There was one contested seat from Massachusetts, one from Missouri, one from Delaware, three from Arkansas, six from Louisiana, nine from Alabama, and ten from Georgia.

This committee, after a session of several days reported by an average vote of about fifteen to ten, in favor of the admission of the Seceders from Delaware, two Seceders and one new delegate from Arkansas, the new delegates from Alabama and Louisiana, and one-half of each from Georgia.

The Convention proceeded to make its nominations, passed an additional resolution on the subject of the platform, appointed a national committee and adjourned. Of the seventeen votes before mentioned, sixteen were in lieu of Seceders from Louisiana, Alabama and Arkansas—one half vote from Massachusetts and Missouri each being contested on other grounds—51 and 50, of 101 deducted from 303, left in the Convention 202 members.

At Baltimore the 50 Seceders were joined by 40 of the Seceders from Charleston (South Carolina with 8 members and Florida with 3 remaining at Richmond) met in another part of the city, organized an opposition, or hostile Convention, gathered up some fifteen additional delegates from some quarter or other, and with 105 delegates of all descriptions, nominated Breckinridge and Lane.

I have already stated that the admission of 17 votes contrary to the wishes of the Seceders did not affect the result. On the second ballot, Judge D. had 181 1/2 votes. All others 15; the balance declining to vote.

As already stated, the ground and the only ground, of this secession was the Platform adopted by the Convention. You will, doubtless, feel anxious to know the character of the Platform which could thus drive off one-sixth of the members of the Convention.

The Convention (252 members remaining) continued in session and proceeded to ballot for a candidate. Fifty-seven votes were taken. On several of these, Judge Douglas received a majority of the whole Electoral College.

adopt "Intervention" instead of "Non-Intervention"; Congress with the question of slavery in the territories. Second the desire among the friends of the Seceders, if not among themselves, to bring about a dissolution of the Union itself.

The Seceders from this State, were rejected. They were elected to the Richmond Convention a body hostile to the Democratic party and proposing to nominate their own candidates.

Such was the tenor of all their meetings and speeches. Their Delegates showed credentials to Richmond and utterly refused to attend by the action of our Convention.

It is well known that some persons in the South have for a long time desired a dissolution of the Union. I believe some, if not all, of the South Carolina Delegation to Richmond, avow that desire.

There are two other causes of complaint, not yet mentioned—these are not given in justification of secession, but as reasons of dissatisfaction. One of these was the course of the convention in regard to the motion, or resolution of construction of the two thirds rule.

The new delegates were admitted—the old ones were liable to the objection already stated and also to the same objections hereafter given in the Alabama case. The old delegates from Georgia were liable to the same objection.

The new delegates were admitted—the old ones were liable to the objection already stated and also to the same objections hereafter given in the Alabama case. The old delegates from Georgia were liable to the same objection.

In the State of Alabama, the delegation admitted to seats, were chosen by a State Convention called together by the people, "for the purpose of appointing delegates to the National Democratic Convention at Baltimore, to nominate candidates who may be able to save the Government from the hands of those who will not regard our constitutional rights, and be the means of securing the perpetuity of the Constitution and the Union."

The Seceders from this State, were rejected. They were elected to the Richmond Convention a body hostile to the Democratic party and proposing to nominate their own candidates.

Such was the tenor of all their meetings and speeches. Their Delegates showed credentials to Richmond and utterly refused to attend by the action of our Convention.

It is well known that some persons in the South have for a long time desired a dissolution of the Union. I believe some, if not all, of the South Carolina Delegation to Richmond, avow that desire.

There are two other causes of complaint, not yet mentioned—these are not given in justification of secession, but as reasons of dissatisfaction. One of these was the course of the convention in regard to the motion, or resolution of construction of the two thirds rule.

The new delegates were admitted—the old ones were liable to the objection already stated and also to the same objections hereafter given in the Alabama case. The old delegates from Georgia were liable to the same objection.

The new delegates were admitted—the old ones were liable to the objection already stated and also to the same objections hereafter given in the Alabama case. The old delegates from Georgia were liable to the same objection.

was adopted. It was fully considered and discussed in committee, and passed by a vote of 25 to 2. In the convention it was again fully discussed and adopted by a vote of 107 to 103.

The first part of the Rule can not be objected to. When a gentleman accepts the trust under instructions, he does not represent himself, but his State.

All these, however, appear to me to be objections hunted up, on purpose, by men determined in advance to be dissatisfied, and going abroad in search of reasons to justify or bolster up as far as possible their course of action.

We have then all the binding force of a regular nomination and we have the usages of the party urging us to the support of our nominees. We have candidates in every way worthy of our support.

The Sunday Gazette tells the whole truth in the following article. Read it: Most of our Democratic exchanges have come to us, since the nominations at Baltimore, in a very shaky condition, and fully weak in the knees.

Most of our Democratic exchanges have come to us, since the nominations at Baltimore, in a very shaky condition, and fully weak in the knees.

Most of our Democratic exchanges have come to us, since the nominations at Baltimore, in a very shaky condition, and fully weak in the knees.

take the lead; and when it abjectly waits to receive instructions from those who are in fact its creatures, it compromises its influence, and very properly forfeits the respect of the public.

And had the Democratic press of the North hitherto spoken out in plain terms against the ruinous doctrines of Southern fire-eaters; slavery extensionists, and disunionists, which have been thrust upon the party as its political faith, we would not have been compelled to witness in this section of the Union that wholesale stampede from our ranks which has reduced us to a minority in the North, and has swollen the ranks of the Republicans until they threaten to overwhelm us.

There is a Farallone.

The advocates of the disunion ticket tell us John C. Breckinridge is no secessionist—that his opinions and sentiments are fervent for the integrity of our great Confederacy.

John C. Breckinridge was once a patriot, and might have excoriated as much as any man those who would raise their felon hand against the unity of these States.

On the other hand, in all cases where the State Conventions had neglected or refused to instruct, the delegates were allowed to vote as they saw fit.

All these, however, appear to me to be objections hunted up, on purpose, by men determined in advance to be dissatisfied, and going abroad in search of reasons to justify or bolster up as far as possible their course of action.

We have then all the binding force of a regular nomination and we have the usages of the party urging us to the support of our nominees. We have candidates in every way worthy of our support.

The Sunday Gazette tells the whole truth in the following article. Read it: Most of our Democratic exchanges have come to us, since the nominations at Baltimore, in a very shaky condition, and fully weak in the knees.

Most of our Democratic exchanges have come to us, since the nominations at Baltimore, in a very shaky condition, and fully weak in the knees.

Most of our Democratic exchanges have come to us, since the nominations at Baltimore, in a very shaky condition, and fully weak in the knees.

Most of our Democratic exchanges have come to us, since the nominations at Baltimore, in a very shaky condition, and fully weak in the knees.